UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, MIAMI DIVISION

TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE, TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRR TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALEJANDRO MORENO, SHANNON PETERSON, TERESA H. CAMPBELL, SHIRLEY JACKSON, SHERYL FLAUGHER, NATHAN SCHMIDT, CAROLYN KISSICK, RYAN LITTLE, SCOTT CARROLL, RUBIE DONAGHY, SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP, SAN DIEGO COUNTY CREDIT UNION, SOUTH FLORIDA AUTO RECOVERY, DOES 1-100 INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-24273-RKA

Judge: Roy K. Altman

NOTICE OF FILING DECLARATION OF SHANNON Z. PETERSEN IN SUPPORT OF SDCCU DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND/MOTION TO EXPEDITE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendants, San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU"); its attorneys Defendants Alejandro Moreno and Shannon Petersen; its employees Defendants Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Sheryl Flaugher, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn Kissick, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Rubie Donaghy; and its law firm Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP (collectively, the "SDCCU Defendants"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby files this Notice of Filing Declaration of Shannon Z. Petersen in support of their opposition to Plaintiffs' Demand/Motion to Expedite Summary Judgment.

CASE NO. 1:24-CV-24273-RKA

Respectfully submitted,

LIEBLER, GONZALEZ & PORTUONDO Courthouse Tower - 25th Floor 44 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33130 (305) 379-0400

By:/s/ Michael D. Starks

ANDREW KEMP-GERSTEL
Florida Bar No. 0044332
MICHAEL D. STARKS
Florida Bar No. 0086584
Attorneys for Defendants, Alejandro
Moreno, Esq., Shannon Peterson,
Esq., Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley
Jackson, Sheryl Flaugher, Nathan
Schmidt, Carolyn Kissick, Ryan
Little, Scott Carroll, Rubie Donaghy,
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton
LLP, and San Diego County Credit
Union

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this **27**th day of November, **2024**, I electronically caused the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record in the manner specified via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF, as well as on Plaintiffs by email at kevinlwalker@me.com; macbrooks17@aol.com; steven@walkernovagroup.com; and team@walkernovagroup.com, and by US Mail at 15822 North West 87th Court, Miami Lakes, Florida 33018.

/s/ Michael D. Starks
MICHAEL D STARKS
, ESQ.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, MIAMI DIVISION

TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE, TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRR TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALEJANDRO MORENO, SHANNON
PETERSON, TERESA H. CAMPBELL,
SHIRLEY JACKSON, SHERYL
FLAUGHER, NATHAN SCHMIDT,
CAROLYN KISSICK, RYAN LITTLE,
SCOTT CARROLL, RUBIE DONAGHY,
SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER &
HAMPTON LLP, SAN DIEGO COUNTY
CREDIT UNION, SOUTH FLORIDA AUTO
RECOVERY, DOES 1-100 INCLUSIVE,

Case No. 1:24-cv-24273-RKA

Judge: Roy K. Altman

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF SHANNON Z. PETERSEN IN SUPPORT OF THE SDCCU DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND/MOTION TO EXPEDITE SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- I, Shannon Z. Petersen, declare as follows:
- 1. I am a named defendant in this action and a California-licensed attorney with the law firm of Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP, regular outside legal counsel for Defendant San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU"). I regularly represent SDCCU in litigation. In May of 2024, Steven MacArthur-Brooks ("Brooks") and Kevin Walker ("Walker") started sending abusive, harassing, and threatening emails and calls to SDCCU employees. SDCCU forwarded the relevant emails and messages to me, as well as relevant account information for SDCCU member Brooks, including records relating to his auto loan, default, and the repossession of his vehicle. SDCCU retained me to respond to the demands, including

SMRH:4928-6674-5856.2 -1-

threats of litigation, by Brooks and Walker, which I did and have continued to do. Since May of 2024 through the present, I have communicated directly with Brooks and Walker (or Walker purporting to be Brooks), mostly by email. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this declaration.

- 2. Throughout my communications with Brooks and Walker, they have claimed to be attorneys. Based on my investigation, they are not. In particular, based on my investigation, they are not licensed to practice law in California or Florida. Nor have they claimed to be licensed to practice law in any state. Instead, Walker has claimed to me that while he is not an "attorney at law," he is an "attorney in fact" based on an "Affidavit." Walker is not a member of SDCCU and appears to have no relationship with SDCCU but claims to be the "blood cousin" of Brooks. Walker appears to operate a website, www.walknerovagroup.com, which he has repeatedly referenced in his communications with me. According to the website I have personally accessed and reviewed, Walker offers services to consumers aiming to evade their debt obligations in exchange for compensation. These services are based on unfounded and absurd theories that courts have consistently debunked. Such theories include claims of "sovereign citizenship," the assertion that a debt does not exist if an original, wet-ink signature on the loan document is unavailable, and the belief that debt can be disputed with mere "affidavits" without substantive proof. I believe that many of the communications purportedly drafted and sent by Brooks regarding this dispute have actually been drafted and sent by Walker.
- 3. In May and July of 2024, Brooks sent letters titled "Affidavit and Plain Statement of Facts," "Affidavit," and "Affidavit Certificate of Dishonor Default Non-Response Judgement and Lien Authorization," to SDCCU, which sent them to me.

SMRH:4928-6674-5856.2 -2-

- 4. In a letter dated June 3, 2024, my partner and co-Defendant Alejandro Moreno responded to the "Affidavits," writing they are "nonsensical and do not have any basis in the facts or the law." Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the letter dated June 3, 2024. I requested that Mr. Moreno handle this response because I was on vacation at the time.
- 5. Again at my direction and request, since he had handled the original response, in another letter dated July 17, 2024, Moreno sent Brooks another letter responding to the "Affidavit Certificate of Dishonor Default Non-Response Judgement and Lien Authorization," stating the letter "continues to assert nonsensical positions and does not have any basis in the facts or the law." Moreno further informed Brooks that SDCCU rejects all contentions in his letters/affidavits. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the letter dated July 17, 2024. Based on these two letters alone, Brooks has named my partner Moreno as a defendant in this action.
- 6. Despite the rejection of the "affidavits" Brooks and Walker continued to claim that SDCCU failed to rebut their affidavits. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the September 25, 2024 email exchange between Walker and myself as counsel for SDCCU.
- 7. On September 25, 2024, I emailed Walker, stating "SDCCU denies your claims of wrongdoing and violation of the law. Your claims are meritless." *See id*.
- 8. On October 2, 2024, Brooks sent another email to SDCCU and to me, demanding the return of his vehicle. I responded to Brooks' email, writing "Again, your claims are meritless." Attached as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the October 2, 2024 email exchange between Walker and myself as counsel for SDCCU.
- 9. In response, Brooks continued to send messages to the SDCCU Defendants, claiming that the facts have been "irrevocably established" and that the three "unrebutted

SMRH:4928-6674-5856.2 -3-

affidavits have become the judgment in commerce." Attached as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct

copy of the email exchange between Brooks and myself.

10. On October 25, 2024, I sent Brooks and Walker an email and cease and desist

letter, reiterating that their claims are meritless. I further informed them that "SDCCU has not

stipulated or agreed to anything and denies [their] allegations." Attached as Exhibit F is a true

and correct copy of the email and letter dated October 25, 2024, that I sent to Brooks and

Walker.

11. At all relevant times, Moreno and I have repeatedly denied the baseless

accusations and claims of Brooks and Walker on behalf of ourselves, our law firm, our client

SDCCU, and SDCCU's various employees named as defendants in this action.

12. Based solely on my communications with them denying their claims on behalf of

my client SDCCU, Brooks has named me as a co-defendant in this action. I was not involved in

or aware of the repossession of Brooks' vehicle authorized by SDCCU until after the

repossession took place. Similarly, Moreno did not become involved in this dispute until after

the repossession took place. Plaintiffs have no good faith basis for including Moreno and myself

as defendants in this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the

State of Florida that the facts stated in this declaration are true and correct.

Executed on November 26, 2024 at San Diego, California

Shannon Z. Petersen

EXHIBIT A

SheppardMullin

Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 501 West Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, California 92101-3598 619.338.6500 main 619.338.3815 fax www.sheppardmullin.com

June 3, 2024

File Number: 074L-168656

VIA U.S. MAIL

Steven MacArthur-Brooks 15822 North West 87th Court Miami Lakes, Florida 33018

Re: Correspondence Regarding Your Car Loan With SDCCU (XXXXXX8356-14)

Mr. MacArthur-Brooks:

We are legal counsel for San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU"). On May 20 and May 28, 2024 SDCCU received correspondence from you regarding your car loan with SDCCU (Loan No. XXXXXX8356-14) (the "Loan"), which is secured by a 2018 GMC Sierra 1500 (VIN: 3GTP1NEC0JG447243). Please direct all communications regarding your claims to us.

Your letters, titled "Affidavit and Plain Statement of Facts" and "Affidavit," are nonsensical and do not have any basis in the facts or the law. They appear to be part of a frivolous effort to avoid repayment of your Loan. SDCCU intends to continue collecting on your Loan and will exercise all lawful rights in the event of your default.

SDCCU will not provide any further response to the positions asserted in your correspondence beyond reiterating that they are nonsensical and do not excuse your debt. Should you choose to send similar correspondence in the future, you should not expect any further response.

Sincerely,

Alejandro E. Moreno

for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

SMRH:4872-4617-8243.1

EXHIBIT B

SheppardMullin

Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP 501 West Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101-3598 619.338.6500 main 619.234.3815 main fax www.sheppardmullin.com

619.338.6664 direct amoreno@sheppardmullin.com

File Number: 074L-168656

July 17, 2024

VIA U.S. MAIL

Steven MacArthur-Brooks 15822 North West 87th Court Miami Lakes, Florida 33018

Re: Correspondence Regarding Your Car Loan With SDCCU (XXXXXX8356-14)

Mr. MacArthur-Brooks:

As you know, we are legal counsel for San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU"). On July 15, 2024, SDCCU received correspondence from you regarding your car loan with SDCCU (Loan No. XXXXXX8356-14) (the "Loan"), which is secured by a 2018 GMC Sierra 1500 (VIN: 3GTP1NEC0JG447243). As previously indicated, please direct all communications regarding your claims to us.

Your letter, titled "Affidavit Certificate of Dishonor Default Non-Response Judgment and Lien Authorization," continues to assert nonsensical positions and does not have any basis in the facts or the law. This letter is apparently part of your frivolous continuing effort to avoid repayment of your Loan. Please note that SDCCU rejects all contentions in your letters. SDCCU intends to continue collecting on your Loan and will exercise all lawful rights in the event of your default.

SDCCU will not provide any further response to the positions asserted in your correspondence beyond reiterating that they are nonsensical and do not excuse your debt. Should you choose to send similar correspondence in the future, you should not expect any response or a different response.

Sincerely

Alejandro E. Moreno

for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

SMRH:4872-4617-8243.2

EXHIBIT C

From: Shannon Petersen

To: <u>team@walkernovagroup.com</u>

Subject: RE: MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Alejandro, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Does 1-100 (FRAUD, THEFT, EXTORTION,

RACKETEERING..)

Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2024 3:38:08 PM

Mr. Walker:

As you know, we represent San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU") including its employees and executives. You claim to be an attorney, but I do not see you are admitted to the California Bar. As you should know, the Rules of Professional Conduct prohibit you from communicating with a party you know to be represented by legal counsel. Please cease and desist all direct communications with anyone at SDCCU.

Please direct all communications to me.

SDCCU denies your claims of wrongdoing and violation of the law. Your claims are meritless.

I am checking on my end to see if SDCCU ordered the alleged repossession. To the extent it did, it would be because your client failed to pay his auto loan debt as owed. To the extent your client would like to recover personal property from the vehicle, I expect the repo agent has or soon will provide instructions on how to do so.

I will follow up once I learn more from SDCCU.

Shannon Z. Petersen

+1 858-720-7483 (direct)

spetersen@sheppardmullin.com | Bio

SheppardMullin

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130-4092 +1 858-720-8900 | main

www.sheppardmullin.com | LinkedIn | Twitter

From: WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2024 3:06 PM

To: sflaugher@sdccu.com

Cc: Alejandro Moreno < <u>AMoreno@sheppardmullin.com</u>>; Steven .

<steven@walkernovagroup.com>; Steven Brooks <macbrooks17@aol.com>

Subject: MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Alejandro, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Does 1-100 (FRAUD, THEFT, EXTORTION, RACKETEERING..)

Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Steven, just spoke to **Sheryl Flaugher** on the phone.

Steven MacArhur-Brooks, sui juris, has already reserve his rights and exemptions as a private citizen, State Citizen, and national.

You or your Agents, at your direction, have trespassed onto private property and stolen a **2018 GMC SIERRA 1500, VIN # 3GTP1NEC0JG447243**. This trespass into a private gated community and theft was captured on camera and there were witnesses to this event that disturbed the peace.

The subject property is the private property of SKYE UNLIMITED TRUST, an irrevocable trust, as evidenced by UCC Filing # **2024403283-5**. You receive a true copy and notice of via Registered Mail # RF204463 891US, as evidenced by the respective form 3811.

Tender of payment was also made in accordance with **House Joint Resolution 192 of 1933 public law 73-10**, **section 3-104**, **3-311 and 3-603** of the Uniform commercial Code. All purported debt associated with this matter has been discharged, and "tender of payment" has been made to the person requires to enforce. The tender of payment was made in by way of a BILL OF EXCHANGE, LETTER OF CREDIT, and respective forms 1099-A and 1099-OID, notifying the IRS. This transaction and claim to all INSTRUMENTS is also evidenced by U.C.C. filing **# 2024405802-2**. All of these debt instruments and documents were sent via **Certified Mail # 9589071052701733216000**, and signed for as **evidenced** by the respective form 3811.

You and/or Teresa H. Campbell, and Shirley Jackson has received, considered, and accepted 4 affidavits and a contract agreement. **ALL affidavits remain unrebutted** and thus stand as **Truth** and become the **agreed Judgement** regarding these matters. **There is also ESTOPPEL.**

At this point the vehicle has been stolen, and the personal property inside as well. Every day that vehicle is missing the equitable damages due are \$1,000/day.

If a response is not received, you will force Judicial intervention and we will be forced to move forward and file a VERIFIED COMPLAINT for all applicable causes of action, declaratory relief, and motion the court for summary judgement in the amount of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00 USD), as already agreed and stipulated, which you know, MUST be granted, as a matter of law, given there is no material dispute of fact. The Defendant(s) listed will be Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Sherriel (extension 2388), Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive, and a formal claim will be filed against your BOND.

California Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as **truth**. **California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(a)**: Summary judgment is appropriate where there is no triable issue of material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as **a matter of law**. The <u>unrebutted</u>

<u>affidavits</u> submitted by Plaintiffs establish that there **are no material facts in dispute**, and Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment based on the evidence provided, and **as a matter of Law**

Section 90.105 of the Florida Evidence Code addresses preliminary questions that a court must resolve before evidence can be admitted in a trial. Specifically, subsection (1) grants the court the authority to determine issues concerning the qualification of a witness, the existence of a privilege, or the admissibility of evidence. Subsection (2) deals with the relevance of evidence dependent on the existence of a preliminary fact. It states that the court shall admit proffered evidence if there is prima facie evidence sufficient to support a finding of the preliminary fact. If such prima facie evidence is not initially presented, the court may(must) still admit the evidence, contingent upon the subsequent introduction of the necessary prima facie evidence. This "statute" underscores that the unchallenged affidavit of facts establishes prima facie evidence of those facts, creating a presumption of their truth. Unless rebutted by contrary evidence, the court must treat these facts as true, highlighting the necessity for timely and effective rebuttal to prevent unchallenged affidavits from being accepted as fact in proceedings

Also See, Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 (1952)., "Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time permitted," thus, under the specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the facts in question were **deemed admitted as true. Failure to answer is well established in the court**. Beasley v. U. S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948)., "I, therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted." Also as previously referenced, "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may[must] be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976)

Legal Maxims reaffirm the law and precedent:

- 1. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE. (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). "He who does not deny, admits."
- 2. **AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN COMMERCE.** (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve."
- 3. **TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT.** (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
- 4. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind." Oriental proverb: "Of all that is good, sublimity is supreme."
- 5. HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT. (Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) -- Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.

Again, If a response is not received, you will force Judicial intervention and we will be forced to move forward and file a VERIFIED COMPLAINT for all applicable causes of action, declaratory relief, and motion the court for summary judgement in the amount of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00 USD), as already agreed and stipulated, which you know, MUST be granted, as a matter of law, given there is no material dispute of fact. The Defendant(s) listed will be Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive, and a formal claim will be filed against your BOND.

WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

South Florida Auto Recovery (305) 638-8600

EXHIBIT D

 From:
 Shannon Petersen

 To:
 REALWORLDFARE

 Cc:
 Steven.; Steven Brooks

Subject: RE: MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Alejandro, Shannon, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Does 1-100 (FRAUD, THEFT,

EXTORTION, RACKETEERING)

Date: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 10:15:22 AM

Again, please direct all communications to me and not directly to SDCCU.

Again, your claims are meritless. To recover any personal property and the vehicle, follow the instructions provided.

SDCCU owes you nothing and will pay you nothing.

If you choose to file a lawsuit, SDCCU will defend. I am authorized to accept service. Also note that the loan at issue here is subject to a binding arbitration agreement for disputes like this one. If you file suit in Court, SDCCU will move to compel arbitration.

Shannon Z. Petersen

+1 858-720-7483 (direct) | +1 858-449-2978 (mobile) spetersen@sheppardmullin.com | Bio

SheppardMullin

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130-4092 +1 858-720-8900 | main www.sheppardmullin.com | LinkedIn | Twitter

From: REALWORLDFARE <suijuris@realworldfare.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 9:28 AM

To: sflaugher@sdccu.com

Cc: Shannon Petersen <spetersen@sheppardmullin.com>; Alejandro Moreno <AMoreno@sheppardmullin.com>; Steven . <steven@walkernovagroup.com>; Steven Brooks <macbrooks17@aol.com>; REALWORLDFARE <suijuris@realworldfare.com>

Subject: MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Alejandro, Shannon, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Does 1-100 (FRAUD, THEFT, EXTORTION, RACKETEERING)

Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Alejandro Moreno, Shannon Peterson, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

This communication pertains to the unlawful seizure of the subject property: a **2018 GMC Sierra 1500**, VIN # 3GTP1NECOJG447243. I have discussed the matter with South Florida Auto Recovery, the entity involved in the repossession, and have been informed that their actions were executed under the "order" of **San Diego County Credit Union**.

It is important to note that the repossession contractor/agent, acting on behalf of your organization, **trespassed upon private property** situated within a gated community, where entry without explicit

permission constitutes a violation of both property rights and relevant state statutes. As previously stipulated, a **daily charge of \$1,000** is applicable for each day the vehicle remains improperly seized. The vehicle has now been withheld for **eight (8) days**, resulting in **a total sum of \$8,000** due for the period the property was misappropriated and removed from my possession. Absent immediate rectification and resolution, I shall proceed with the **filing of a verified complaint** on or before **October 18, 2024**. At that time, legal action will be initiated to enforce my rights under all applicable laws, including claims for trespass, wrongful repossession, and any other remedies available at law or in equity.

This notice constitutes a formal demand for immediate redress of the situation, inclusive of payment for the accrued charges as outlined above.

You should inform your client how much they will be paying to respond to the lawsuit once filed. Especially since this automobile is worth **\$25,000-\$30,000**.

Steven MacArthur-Brooks, sui juris

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Secured Party
WALKERNOVA GROUP
WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

EXHIBIT E

From: Shannon Petersen

To: WALKERNOVA GROUP; steven .; Steven Brooks

Subject: RE: (\$2.975 Billion Lawsuit) MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, Shannon, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE,

Et Al.

Date: Tuesday, October 22, 2024 1:35:42 PM

Mr. MacArthur-Brooks:

Because you have threatened litigation and purport to be an attorney (you are not), no one from SDCCU will communicate with you. Please communicate only through me. I have previously responded to you. Again, your claims are baseless and SDCCU will not pay you any money.

I am preparing a more thorough written response but will not have it to you until later this week.

Shannon Z. Petersen

+1 858-720-7483 (direct) | +1 858-449-2978 (mobile) spetersen@sheppardmullin.com | Bio

SheppardMullin

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130-4092 +1 858-720-8900 | main

www.sheppardmullin.com | LinkedIn | Twitter

From: WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 22, 2024 10:01 AM

To: Shannon Petersen <spetersen@sheppardmullin.com>; Alejandro Moreno <AMoreno@sheppardmullin.com>; sflaugher@sdccu.com; tcampbell@sdccu.com; sjackson@sdccu.com; nschmidt@sdccu.com; ckissick@sdccu.com; rlittle@sdccu.com; sfargo@sdccu.com; scarroll@sdccu.com; rdonaghy@sdccu.com

Cc: Kelly E. Mitchell kmitchell@sheppardmullin.com; steven . kmitchell@sheppard

Subject: Re: (\$2.975 Billion Lawsuit) MACARTHUR-BROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, Shannon, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Et Al.

Shannon Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/Registered Foreign Agent/ Officer of the Court/Treasonous Traitor/Defendant, Alejandro Moreno, Kelly Mithcell, Ruby Donaghy, Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn Kissick, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

I just spoke with Sheryl Falugher (San Diego County Credit Union, at extension 2388), who refused to provide any information regarding the account and the subject property.

At this juncture, your collective non-responsiveness and the exhaustion of all available

administrative remedies are **evident**. By unlawfully and illegally retaining the private automobile and creating a controversy, you are leaving no alternative but to proceed with the filing of the verified complaint/lawsuit.

Steven, sui juris

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee/Secured Party/Secured Creditor

™STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

On Oct 22, 2024, at 8:41 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com> wrote:

Shannon Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/Registered Foreign Agent/ Officer of the Court/Treasonous Traitor/Defendant, Alejandro Moreno, Kelly Mithcell, Ruby Donaghy, Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn Kissick, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Attached herein is the amended version of the verified complaint, now including all relevant parties copied on this communication and correcting any prior errors contained within the original complaint.

Key sections, highlighted in **red** for emphasis, reference the statutory penalties, including imprisonment of 50 to 100 years and mandatory monetary damages exceeding \$100,000,000, which must be adjudicated as a result of your continued willful, intentional, and bad faith actions.

Furthermore, any additional retrieval of my private property without the return of my private automobile will result in further damage and injury, thereby compounding the existing harm sustained.

<Screen Shot 2024-10-22 at 8.38.58 AM.png>

<Final VER COM. - MACBROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, SAN DIEGO CREDIT.pdf>
<UCC-1-Initial Financing Statement For 5654784.pdf>
< 2018 GMC - VIN XXX 447243 - UCC-3-Amendment Collateral Add For 5714640.pdf>
<2024 - BOE - SAN DIEGO CREDIT UNION.pdf>

Steven, sui juris
Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee

™STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS® ESTATE
WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

On Oct 22, 2024, at 7:04 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com > wrote:

Shannon Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/Registered Foreign
Agent/ Officer of the Court/Treasonous Traitor/Defendant, Alejandro
Moreno, Kelly Mithcell, Ruby Donaghy, Sheryl Flaugher (extension
2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn
Kissick, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Today, **October 22, 2024, at 7;50am**, I was contacted by the third-party agent **you engaged** to unlawfully seize the **private trust property.** Your extortion, coercion, fraud, theft, embezzlement, larceny, deprivation of rights under the "color of law," and treason persists. They continue to refuse the release of the automobile and withhold its location, despite

being duly provided with the UCC1 and UCC3 filings that clearly evidence the perfected interest and lien. I am retrieving my children's car seats and other personal property, as you and your co-defendants remain unwilling to return the private automobile/transport.

<(Ver Comp)MACBROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, SAN DIEGO CREDIT.pdf> <Screenshot 2024-10-22 at 8.00.53 AM.jpeg>

Steven, sui juris
Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee

™STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE

WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

On Oct 22, 2024, at 6:00 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com > wrote:

Shannon Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/Registered Foreign Agent/ Officer of the Court/Treasonous
Traitor/Defendant, Alejandro Moreno, Kelly Mithcell, Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn Kissick, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Every single **man or woman** on the executive team, will be personally named in this lawsuit if necessary,

As you have been noticed, you and your co-defendants have **illegally and unlawfully** taken possession of/**stolen** the subject property (2018 GMC SIERRA 1500, with VIN # 3GTP1NECOJG447243), with an agreed usage fee of \$1,000.00 USD per day. As a result, the amount due solely for the use of the vehicle is \$20,000.00 USD for the 20 days you have been in unauthorized and unlawful possession of the automobile.

I emailed you the verified complaint you stipulated you were authorize to receive however, we have not received a response indicating you and/or your co-defendants intend on acting in good faith, returning the stolen automobile, and paying the appropriate and stipulated restitution of \$10,000,000.00 USD.

Yesterday at approximately **11:30am** I verbally spoke with your assistant "**Kelly Mitchell**" whom instructed us that **you were indeed in the office.** I also informed Kelly of my Sovereign status and that I am a private citizen/national/internationally protected person/foreign government (See 18 U.S. Code § 112).

At this point your bad faith, non-response, obstruction, fraud, deceit, theft of private property, extortion, coercion, false pretenses, monopolization of trade and commerce, and treason are overwhelming.

Upon contacting the "repossession AGENT" they informed me that they do NOT know where the vehicle is, they will not tell me where the vehicle is, and they are refusing to release my private property.

As previously stipulated, If a response is not received, we will proceed with filing the verified complaint and request the court for **summary judgment**, given there is **no material dispute of fact. All issues are deemed** settled as **res judicata**, **stare decisis**, and **collateral estoppel** applies, as the three (3) **unrebutted affidavits** have become the **judgment in commerce**.

The summary judgment amount is, and will be, \$2,975,000,000.00 USD, as stipulated, considered, accepted, and agreed upon by you and all defendants.

Previously a **settlement** amount of **\$10,000,000.00 USD** was offered. That amount has now **increased** due to your continued bad faith, and I am hereby extending a new settlement offer of, **\$33,000,000.00 USD**. The settlement amount increase with each additional day that passes.

A response acknowledging the intent to settle, return the automobile, or compensate for the total amount due must be received by 1:00 PM Pacific Time today; otherwise, we will finalize the verified complaint and file it with the court for judicial intervention.

- AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN <u>COMMERCE.</u> (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). "He who does not deny, admits."
- 2. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve."
- 3. TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
- 4. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind." Oriental proverb: "Of all that is good, sublimity is supreme."
- HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY
 <u>DEFAULT.</u> (Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) -- Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.
- 6. IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8) Truth is sovereign -- and the Sovereign tells only the truth.
- 7. **WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE**. The first of these is expressed in Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Mat. 10:10; Luke 10"7; II Tim. 2:6. **Legal maxim:** "It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property.
- "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- 9. **ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW.** (God's Law Moral and Natural Law). Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17,

19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. "No one is above the law".

<(Ver Comp)MACBROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, SAN DIEGO CREDIT.pdf> <Screen Shot 2024-10-22 at 5.52.19 AM.png>

Steven, sui juris

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee/Secured Party/Secured

Creditor.

WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

On Oct 21, 2024, at 4:04 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com > wrote:

Mr. Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/3rd party/Defendant, Alejandro Moreno, **Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388)**, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive.

As you are aware, you and your co-defendants have **illegally and unlawfully** taken possession of/stolen the subject property (2018 GMC SIERRA 1500, with VIN # 3GTP1NECOJG447243), with an agreed usage fee of \$1,000.00 USD per day. As a result, the amount due solely for the use of the vehicle is \$19,000.00 USD for the 19 days you have been in unauthorized and unlawful possession of the automobile. If a response is not received, we will proceed with filing the verified complaint and request the court for summary judgment, given there is no material dispute of fact. All issues are deemed settled as res judicata, stare decisis, and **collateral estoppel** applies, as the three (3) unrebutted affidavits have become the judgment in commerce.

The summary judgment amount is, and will be, **\$2,975,000,000,000.00 USD**, as stipulated, considered, accepted, and agreed upon by you and all defendants.

Previously a **settlement** amount of **\$10,000,000.00 USD** was offered. I am hereby extending the settlement offer of, **\$10,000,000.00 USD**, one last time.

A response acknowledging the intent to settle, return the automobile, or compensate for the total amount due must be received by 1:00 PM Pacific Time today; otherwise, we will finalize the verified complaint and file it with the court for judicial intervention.

- AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS
 TRUTH IN COMMERCE. (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). "He who does not deny, admits."
- 2. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve."

- TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
- 4. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE

 RESOLVED MUST BE EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16;
 Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: "To lie is to go against the mind." Oriental proverb:
 "Of all that is good, sublimity is supreme."
- HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST
 LOSES BY DEFAULT. (Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.
- 6. IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN.
 (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8
) Truth is sovereign -- and the Sovereign tells only the truth.
- 7. WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE. The first of these is expressed in Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Mat. 10:10; Luke 10"7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: "It is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property.
- "Statements of **fact** contained in affidavits which are **not** rebutted by the opposing party's **affidavit or pleadings <u>may</u>** be accepted as **true** by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. (God's Law Moral and Natural Law). Exodus 21:23-25;
 Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. "No one is above the law".

<(Ver Comp)MACBROOKS v Shannon, Alejandro, SAN DIEGO CREDIT.pdf>

So, according to you, you're above the law, and this is all "meritless," correct?

Kindly inform us if you intend to proceed, and we will advance the matter accordingly. At that juncture, we shall also engage the Attorney General, Inspector General, and the U.S. Attorney General, while simultaneously filing a claim against your surety bond and BAR registration. We will be accepting it at its assessed value and formally demanding that you escrow your BAR card as collateral.

Steven MacArthur-Brooks, sui juris

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee
WALKERNOVA GROUP
WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this email message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

On Oct 2, 2024, at 10:37 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < team@walkernovagroup.com > wrote:

Shannon/DEBTOR/fraudster/3rd party/Defendant, **Sheryl Flaugher** (extension 2388), Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Your assertion that I "must" communicate directly with you is hereby conditionally accepted upon proof of claim, demonstrating the existence of a valid and enforceable contract between us. In the absence of such proof, you stand guilty of fraud, extortion, coercion, monopolization of trade and commerce, racketeering, among other violations, necessitating the involvement of the **District Attorney** and the pursuit of judicial relief to address your criminal conduct. You are already fully aware that it is your duty to be conversant with the law. Your willful and intentional disregard for the law, including established legal maxims, statutes, statutes at large, Acts, and the Constitution, accompanied by your frivolous response labeling my claims as "meritless," is noted as baseless and legally unsound. We further acknowledge your continued acts of dishonor, fraud, extortion, coercion, deprivation under the color of law, bank fraud, embezzlement, theft, racketeering, and your bad faith

actions, all of which are duly recorded. Consequently, we will initiate a formal claim against your license/bond and demand that it be held in escrow. In light of the foregoing, we shall proceed with filing a lawsuit and a motion for summary judgment, which, given your silence, shall[must] be granted. The facts have been undisputedly and irrevocably established, and there is no longer a matter to discuss.

There is material dispute of fact and there is ESTOPPEL.

Moreover, we will notify the IRS, the U.S. Attorney General, and promptly initiate the process for criminal prosecution. You have transcended any notion of "immunity" and, having been properly noticed, you and **Alejandro** will be named as obvious Defendants in the forthcoming legal actions. This email chain will serve as an additional EXHIBIT when filing the verified complaints. Also, we will be filing the referenced UCC commercial lien and we will send you a copy as well.

Your **agreement to accept service via email** is duly acknowledged and appreciated.

<SHEPP SAN DIE COUTY CRED Form 3949A - Organized Crime and Racketeering report.pdf> <VER COM. - MACBROOKS v MORENO, SAN DIEGO CREDIT.pdf> <1099C-SAN DIEGO COUNTY CREDIT UNION.pdf> Steven MarArthur-Brooks, sui juris Real party of Interest/CREDITOR/Plaintiff

Executor/Trustee/Attorney In Fact/Secured Party
WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROUP.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

> On Oct 2, 2024, at 10:15 AM, Shannon Petersen

<<u>spetersen@sheppa</u> <u>rdmullin.com</u>> wrote:

Again, please direct all communications to me and not directly to SDCCU.

Again, your claims are meritless. To recover any personal property and the vehicle, follow the instructions provided.

SDCCU owes you nothing and will pay you nothing.

If you choose to file a lawsuit, SDCCU will defend. I am authorized to accept service. Also note that the loan at issue here is subject to a binding arbitration agreement for disputes like this one. If you file

suit in Court, SDCCU will move to compel arbitration.

Shannon Z. Petersen

+1 858-720-7483 (direct) | +1 858-449-2978 (mobile) spetersen@sheppardm ullin.com | Bio

SheppardMulli

n

12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130-4092 +1 858-720-8900 | main www.sheppardmullin.co m | LinkedIn | Twitter

From: REALWORLDF

ARE

<suijuris@realworldf

are.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2024 9:28

AM

To: sflaugher@sdccu

.com

Cc: Shannon Petersen

<spetersen@sheppa

<u>rdmullin.com</u>>;

Alejandro Moreno

<<u>AMoreno@sheppar</u>

dmullin.com>;

Steven.

<steven@walkernov

agroup.com>;

Steven Brooks

<macbrooks17@aol.

com>;

REALWORLDFARE

<suijuris@realworldf

are.com>

Subject: MACARTHU R-BROOKS v Alejandro, Shannon, Shirley, SAN DIEGO STATE, Does 1-100 (FRAUD, THEFT, EXTORTION, RACKETEERING)

Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388),

Alejandro Moreno, Shannon Peterson, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive, This communication pertains to the unlawful seizure of the subject property:

a 2018 GMC Sierra

1500, VIN #

3GTP1NEC0JG44724 3. I have discussed the matter with South Florida Auto Recovery, the entity involved in the repossession, and have been informed that their actions were executed under the "order" of San Diego County Credit Union.

It is important to note that the repossession contractor/agent, acting on behalf of your organization, trespas sed upon private

property situated within a gated community, where entry without explicit permission constitutes a violation of both property rights and relevant state statutes. As previously stipulated, a daily **charge of \$1,000** is applicable for each day the vehicle remains improperly seized. The vehicle has now been withheld for eight (8) days, resulting in a total sum of **\$8,000** due for the period the property was misappropriated and removed from my possession. Absent immediate rectification and resolution, I shall proceed with the filing of a verified complaint on or before October 18, **2024**. At that time, legal action will be initiated to enforce my rights under all applicable laws, including claims for trespass, wrongful repossession, and any other remedies available at law or in equity.

This notice constitutes a formal demand for immediate redress of the situation, inclusive of payment for the accrued charges as outlined above. You should inform your client how much they will be paying to respond to the lawsuit once filed. Especially since this automobile is worth \$25,000-\$30,000.

Steven MacArthur-Brooks, *sui juris*

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Secur ed Party

WALKERNOVA GROUP

WALKERNOVAGROU P.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intende

d only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distri bution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify us by e-mail or telephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the above sender shall not be considered an electronic signature under Civil Code § 1633.2. The information in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied consent to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Civil Code § 1633. Thank you.

message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.

EXHIBIT F

Secretary of the state of the s

Steven, suljuris Attorney in Fact/Executor/Secured Party

WALKERNOVA GROUP

The information contained in this is e-mail energies is prologed and confidential, intended only for the use of the indicatal or entiry ramed above. If the inactor of this needed in the intended encigion or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended encipient, this are leavily melhidated. If this is delivered to the intended encipient in the contact of the parties does not give implied convert to conduct a transaction in this email and/or the conduct of the parties does not give implied convert to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Cell Code § 15313. That is not contact to the parties does not give implied convert to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Cell Code § 15312. That is not contact to the parties does not give implied convert to conduct a transaction by electronic means under Cell Code § 15312. That is not contact to the intended encipient.

On Oct 23, 2024, at 6:46 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP < Dearn@beallernovalroup.com/> wrote:

I get it now, Shannon.

You're either an incompetent like most alleged indocrinated "lawyers/lawyers or you're a treasonous har that understands you are a registered agons, fair, thiss your BAR CARD(Bond, and you are simply solvering your client into the system to be taken advantage or

Next, you're ganna say the (CRS) court registry investment system is "baseless" and "mentless" as well right

To control the control to the control to con

You're no longer acting on the authority of the United States, and you are now acting in the capacity of a pirate thief

Entertaining your little games is over.

Once the lawsuit is filed, there will literally be no settlement. Please again be advised of this

We will move the court for summary judgment and every single effort you move forward to settle will be stepped on, and we will move this all the way to the end Securing judgment.

This is not my first rodeo

We appreciate you making this critical mistake and opening up yourself to liability and to be obliterated by way itigation

You can also expect a plethora of news, articles, videos, and other publicity.

You call yourself a "(Attorney)" but you're nothing but a license "liar"/"lawyer" a.k.a. "attorney at law" and your definitely not in "(Attorney) in fact."

You, Shannon are nothing but a lying, conniving, cheating, fraudulent, registered agent that is munipulating everybody. You are engaging with and committing treason, amongst all states, others, and private citizens.

I will be filing every single paper possible to ensure that you face juil time, including aggressively, filing claims with the district attorney, the attorney general, the US Attorney General, and any other appropriate covering personnel

I want you to keep all the same energy when they start trying to put the handouffs on you OK?

I'm gonna make sure that you get everything you deserve. This is not my first rodes and i'm not as nice as my blood family (Steven). I'm not gonna tolerate your nonsense

I too have full power of attorney all entities relating to this matter. You do not have standing nor does your client.

«CRIS-Court-Registry-Investment-System pdf» Kevin L. Walker, sui juris On Oct 23, 2024, at 6:12 AM, WALKERNOVA GROUP cleans@walkernowarroup.com> wrote Lam a national/state citizen, and private man, claiming all of mexemptions and reserving my rights again for the record, in accordance with UCC 1-308. Jam not required to be an "attarner at law", however, attached in the day secreted "Affidard. Alterner in Fest," which you have already been provided. For the record, here it in again, in the part of the part o Steven, sul juris, Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee/Secured Party/Secured Creditor Because you have threatened litigation and purport to be an attorney (you are not), no one from SDCCU will communicate with you. Please communicate only through me. I have previously responded to you. Again, your claims are baseless and SDCCU will not pay you any money. I am preparing a more thorough written response but will not have it to you until later this week. SheppardMullin
12275 El Carriso Biosi, Butte 193
Sen Dego. CA 92735-4562
1 435-273 ED00 (1998
seew.sheppardmulin.com) Linkedin | Tattar lijust sooke with Sharly Falagher (San Diego County Credit Union, at entension 2388), who rehand to provide any information regarding the account and the subject property.

At this protoce, you collective non-responsiveness and the extraction of all available estimates for comments are evident. By such availably sool legally retaining the privide automobils and creating a controversy, you are leaving no alternative but to proceed with the filling of the veri Shannon Peterson/OLES DOR/Insudster/Registered Foreign Agent/ Officer of the Court/Treasonous Traiter/Defendant, Alexandro Moreino, Felly Mithoel, Ruby Dorughy, Sheny Raugher festension 2388), Terma H. Campbell, Shifey Jackson, Ruthun Schmidt, Carolin, Risakt, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Felluclanifes), Does 1-100 Inclusive, der/Registered Foreign Agent/Officer of the Coort/Treasonous Traiter/Defendant, Alejandro Moiren, Selly Mittoell, Ruby Dosaght, Sharry Flaugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Sheley Jackson, Nathan Schreidt, Carchy Nissck, Ryan Little, Scott Carroll, Educain/(es), Does 1-100 Industry Totals, Order 22, 2021, 47.750ms. In constructed by the indicious representational of the indicious representation of the control of the indicious representation rep formation contained in this e-mail message is prolleged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby conflict that any innotion, distribution, or copying of this communication in stricting inhabitation. If this individual is not to be considered an electronic significance under CNE CNEW § 1801.2. The reader is not the considered of the product of the prince for except of on/DESTOR/Fraudset/Registered Foreign Agent/ Officer of the Court/Tresscoous Fraiter/Defendant, Alexandro Morero, Kelly Mitscell, Sheryl Raugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Nathan Schmidt, Carolyn Klasick, Ryan Lattle, Scatt Caroll, Fiduciary(les), Does 3-100 Every single man or woman on the executive team, will be personally named in this lawsuit if necessary. As your have been retired, you and your condition facilities in finguity and unlabeliship vision procession of blackers in unlabeliship vision procession of blackers in unlabeliship vision procession of blackers in the unlabeliship vision procession of branching in SERIO, and the United SERIO, which is SERIO, and the United SERI Vestionfay at approximately 11:30am (verbally golds with your assistant 'Relly Mildhell' whom instructed us that you were indeed in the office. I also informed Killy of my Sovereign status and that I am a private citizen/national/internationally protected person/foreign government (See 18 U.S. Code 6 112). At this point your bad faith, non-response, electruction, fraud, deceil, theft of private property, extertion, coercion, false protesses, monopolization of trade and commerce, and treason are overwhelming, Upon contacting the "repossession AGENT" they informed me that they do NOT know where the vehicle is, they will not tell me where the vehicle is, and they are rehasing to release my private property.

As previously stipulated, if a response is not received, we will proceed with firing the verified complaint and request the count for numerary judgment, gene there is no material dispute of fact. All issues are deemed settled as no judgeted, store decision, and collateral estapped applies, so the three collaborations and the process in the purpose is not received, we will proceed with firing the verified complaint and request the countries.

(I) uses that contracts of the contract of the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, as on a process in the purpose is not received, and as on a process in the purpose is not received, and as on a process in the purpose is not received, and as on a process in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will proceed a process in the purpose is not received, and as on a purpose is not received, and as on a purpose is not received, and as on a purpose is not received. The purpose is not received, and as on a purpose is not received, and as on a purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will not received in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will not receive in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will not receive in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will not receive in the purpose in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received, we will not receive in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received in the purpose is not received in the purpose is not received.

If the purpose is not received in the purpose is not received in the purpose is not received in th

The information contained in this e-mall message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible, please debies it to the intended recipient. You are hereby conflict that any dissemination, or capping of this communication is strictly probabled. If this in intended recipient. You are hereby conflict that any dissemination, or capping of this communication is strictly probabled. If this in intended recipient, to one or confidence and intended in the intended recipient is the confidence and intended in intended intended in intended in intended in intended in intended in intended intended in intended intended in intended

Mr. Peterson/DEBTOR/fraudster/3rd party/Defendant, Alejandro Moreno, Shend Flaugher (extension 2388), Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiduciary(es), Does 1-100 Inclusive,

Kindly informs of you intend to proceed, and we will advance the matter accordingly. At that juniture, we shall also engage the Attorney General, Inspector General, and the U.S. Attorney General, while simultaneously filing a claim against your surely bond and BAR registration. We will be accepting it at its asserted value and formuly demanding that you exceed your BAR cord is collisional.

Attorney In Fact/Executor/Trustee WALKERNOVA GROUP

Shannon/DE8TOR/fraudstor/3rd party/Defendant, Sheryl Flaugher (extension 2388), Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Fiducias/iesi, Does 1-100 Inclusives

tion apportunit had "more" communicated directly with you in hereby conditionally accepted uses proof of claim, demonstrating the entirect of a will and enforceable contents between us, in the absence of such proof, you stard goiny offend, entertion, coercion, monopolatation of trade and amount of the Christian Statement of the Christian State

The information contained in this is mail message to proletinged and confidential, intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended excipated on the original reason, containing, or any intended or propriet or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient. You are hereby excluded that any documents, containing, containing, or any original recipient or any original recipient

Again, please direct all communications to me and not directly to SDCCU.

SDCCU owes you nothing and will pay you nothing.

If you choose to file a lawsuit, SDCCU will defend. I am authorized to accept service. Also note that the loan at issue here is subject to a binding arbitration agreement for disputes like this one. If you file suit in Court, SDCCU will move to compel arbitration.

SheppardMullin 12775 TJ Gerres Red, Byte 100 Ber Degs, EA (2130-4)62 +1 856-720-8900 (man

Shery/Ringsher (extension 2384), Alipsedo Nómero, Chamann Fristran, Torquis H. Carppall, Shiriy Jackson, Fidasarylani, Done 3.100 Pickawa, This communication perfaints to the utilizabili solver of the subject regionary is 2003 GMS. Semi-1300, Wile 3 (PITINC GGAPT-13). How discusse the motifier with South Finds Acta Decovery, the entity removed in the response on the properties of the subject regionary of the South S

fication and resolution. I shall proceed with the fillies of a verified complaint on or before October 18, 2024. At that time, legal action will be instituted to enforce my rights under all applicable laws, including claims for trespass, weoneful reconsession, and any other

remedies available at lear or it requity.
This notice constitutes a formal demand for immediate sedess of the situation, inclusive of payment for the scrued charges so cultimed above the notion constitutes a formal demand for immediate sedess of the situation, inclusive of payment for the scrued charges so cultimed above the notion of the second of t

WALKERNOVA GROUP

The information contained in this e-mail message is privileged and confidential, intended only for the use of the instandal or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended exciption or the employee or agent responsible, please deliver it to the intended recipient.
You are hereby notified that any discommation, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this is delivered to you in error, please notify in the ye mail or belephone, and delete the original message. The information in this email including the name of the

Stantics. This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is prinkiped or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, places notify the sender by reply e-mail and deline the message and any attachments

Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92130-4092 858.720.8900 main 858.509.3691 fax www.sheppardmullin.com

Shannon Z. Petersen 858.720.7483 direct spetersen@sheppardmullin.com

File Number: 074L-400831

October 25, 2024

Via Email & U.S. Mail

Steven MacArthur-Brooks
15822 North West 87th Court
Miami Lakes, Florida 33018
steven@walkernovagroup.com
macbrooks17@aol.com
suijuris@realworldfare.com

Kevin Walker Walkernova Group team@walkernovagroup.com

Re: Demand to Cease and Desist Harassing Communications

Mr. Steven MacArthur-Brooks and Mr. Kevin Walker:

As you know, we are legal counsel for San Diego County Credit Union ("SDCCU"). Over the last several weeks, you both have been sending over two dozen harassing, abusive, intimidating, and threatening messages to employees at SDCCU and my law firm, Sheppard Mullin. We demand that you immediately cease and desist this campaign of harassment. If you ignore this request and continue engaging in such harassing conduct, SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin will initiate a legal action against you, including by seeking a temporary restraining order followed by a permanent injunction, as well as damages, penalties, and fees as applicable.

Moreover, I have repeatedly informed you to communicate only through me, not with SDCCU and its employees. This includes phone calls, emails, and any other methods of communication. Please direct all future communications to me and do not contact SDCCU directly.

Also, due to the abusive and harassing character of your communications, do not contact my assistant or anyone else at Sheppard Mullin. Just me.

As set forth below, you both have continuously harassed individuals at SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin by calling on multiple occasions and sending numerous emails, asserting SDCCU illegally and unlawfully took possession of Steven's vehicle, claiming \$2.95 billion in damages from the repossession of the vehicle, demanding that SDCCU pay \$33 million in settlement, threatening to file a lawsuit, and using harassing and/or other inappropriate language. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

- "Every single man or woman on the executive team, will be personally named in this lawsuit if necessary[.]"
- "You can expect the verified complaint to be filed within the next 24 to 48 hours. I'm going to see to it that it's buttoned up real nice and filed for you. I'll be here the entire

Steven MacArthur-Brooks Kevin Walker October 25, 2024 Page 2

> entire litigation as well, and I will be continuously making every effort necessary to get the district attorney and all governing agencies involved, to ensure that every criminal involved with this is appropriately taken before the court."

- "Your organized crime, racketeering, fraud, bank fraud, identity theft, theft, extortion, coercion, robbery, deprivation 'under the color of law,' conspiracy to deprive under the color of law, monopolization of trade and commerce, tax evasion, creation of trust in restraint of trade and commerce, false pretenses, larceny, transportation of stolen goods, and violation of the revenue laws, has been reported to the INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE."
- "Your extortion, coercion, fraud, theft, embezzlement, larceny, deprivation of rights under the 'color of law,' and treason persists."
- "As previously stipulated, If a response is not received, we will proceed with filing the verified complaint and request the court for summary judgment, given there is no material dispute of fact. All issues are deemed settled as res judicata, stare decisis, and collateral estoppel applies, as the three (3) unrebutted affidavits have become the judgment in commerce. The summary judgment amount is, and will be, \$2,975,000,000.00 USD, as stipulated, considered, accepted, and agreed upon by you and all defendants. Previously a settlement amount of \$10,000,000.00 USD was offered. That amount has now increased due to your continued bad faith, and I am hereby extending a new settlement offer of, \$33,000,000.00 USD. The settlement amount increase with each additional day that passes."
- "if a response is not received, we will proceed with filing the verified complaint and request the court for summary judgment, given there is no material dispute of fact. All issues are deemed settled as res judicata, stare decisis, and collateral estoppel applies, as the three (3) unrebutted affidavits have become the judgment in commerce. The summary judgment amount is, and will be, \$2,975,000,000,000.00 USD, as stipulated, considered, accepted, and agreed upon by you and all defendants. Previously a settlement amount of \$10,000,000.00 USD was offered. I am hereby extending the settlement offer of, \$10,000,000.00 USD, one last time."
- "If a response is not received, you will force Judicial intervention and we will be forced to move forward and file a VERIFIED COMPLAINT for all applicable causes of action, declaratory relief, and motion the court for summary judgement in the amount of TEN MILLION DOLLARS (\$10,000,000.00 USD), as already agreed and stipulated, which you know, MUST be granted, as a matter of law, given there is no material dispute of fact. The Defendant(s) listed will be Alejandro Moreno, Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Sherriel (extension 2388), Fiduciary(ies), Does 1-100 Inclusive, and a formal claim will be filed against your BOND."

Steven MacArthur-Brooks Kevin Walker October 25, 2024 Page 3

You have been sending multiple harassing emails on a daily basis. Just on October 22, 2024 alone, you sent eight emails to individuals at SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin at 6:00 a.m., 7:04 a.m., 7:05 a.m., 8:41 a.m., 8:42 a.m., 8:55 a.m., 10:01 a.m., and 2:03 p.m. On October 23, 2024, within a span of one hour, you sent four emails at 6:12 a.m., 6:14 a.m., 6:47 a.m., and 7:14 a.m.

Moreover, in many of these emails you have also engaged in personal attacks against me as follows:

- "You're either an incompetent like most alleged indoctrinated "lawyers/lawyers or you're
 a treasonous liar that understands you are a registered agent, liar, thus your BAR
 CARD/Bond, and you are simply ushering your client into the system to be taken
 advantage of."
- "You are now acting in the capacity of a pirate thief."
- "You call yourself a '(Attorney)' but you're nothing but a license 'liar'/'lawyer' a.k.a. 'attorney at law' and your definitely not in '(Attorney) in fact."
- "You, Shannon are nothing but a lying, conniving, cheating, fraudulent, registered agent that is manipulating everybody."
- "I want you to keep all the same energy when they start trying to put the handcuffs on you OK? I'm gonna make sure that you get everything you deserve. " (Emphasis added.)
- "Your assertion that I 'must' communicate directly with you is hereby conditionally
 accepted upon proof of claim, demonstrating the existence of a valid and enforceable
 contract between us. In the absence of such proof, you stand guilty of fraud, extortion,
 coercion, monopolization of trade and commerce, racketeering, among other violations,
 necessitating the involvement of the District Attorney and the pursuit of judicial relief to
 address your criminal conduct."
- "Moreover, we will notify the IRS, the U.S. Attorney General, and promptly initiate the
 process for criminal prosecution. You have transcended any notion of 'immunity' and,
 having been properly noticed, you and Alejandro will be named as obvious Defendants
 in the forthcoming legal actions."
- "At that juncture, we shall also engage the Attorney General, Inspector General, and the U.S. Attorney General, while simultaneously filing a claim against your surety bond and BAR registration. We will be accepting it at its assessed value and formally demanding that you escrow your BAR card as collateral."

These are just some examples of many communications you have sent, which are harassing, abusive, intimidating, and threatening.

Steven MacArthur-Brooks Kevin Walker October 25, 2024 Page 4

Your conduct amounts to harassment in violation of the law. See Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 527.6(b)(3). SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin will take any and all appropriate legal action to protect their employees from threats and harassment. See, e.g., Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 527.8.

Please confirm that you will cease and desist any and all harassing, abusive, intimidating, and threatening conduct towards individuals at SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin and that you will not contact SDCCU and its employees. Any and all continued such conduct towards SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin will not be tolerated, and we will pursue legal actions against you and avail ourselves of all available legal remedies, including injunctive relief and the recovery of damages, penalties, and fees.

Please note that SDCCU has no intention of prohibiting you from engaging in lawful communications. In the event you believe it necessary to make such contact, it must be done through me personally.

Moreover, we understand that you have threatened to file a lawsuit against SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin. This letter is not intended to prohibit any rights you may have, including any right to pursue your claims through the judicial process. Nevertheless, as I have explained to you previously, your claims are meritless. SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin have not done anything wrong, owe you nothing, and will pay you nothing. In the event you choose to file a lawsuit, SDCCU and Sheppard Mullin will defend themselves vigorously. I am authorized to accept service on behalf of SDCCU.

Also as previously explained, in the event you file a lawsuit in court, we will move to compel arbitration. In the Retail Installment Sale Contract and in his Account Disclosures and Agreement with SDCCU, Mr. MacArthur-Brooks expressly agreed that any claims arising from or relating to the vehicle are subject to binding arbitration. In any event, if you have not already done so, we encourage you to consult with an attorney licensed in California and/or Florida before filing any action so that he/she may properly advise you on the merits of your claims and your risk of sanctions for filing frivolous claims.

Sincerely,

Shannon Z. Petersen

for SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

SMRH:4867-1571-2754.2