Steven MacArthur-Brooks, sui juris, In Propria Persona. Kevin: Walker, sui juris, In Propria Persona. Florida non-domestic without the United States Email: team@walkernovagroup.com 5 Attorney In Fact, Executor, Trustee, Authorized Representative, and Secured Party for Plaintiff(s) TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS® ESTATE, 7 TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRR TRUST 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION 9 10 TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© 11 ESTATE, TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-Case No. 1:24-cv-24273-RKA BROOKS© IRR TRUST 12 NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED Plaintiff(s), ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY 13 JUDGMENT. 14 ALEJANDRO MORENO, et al, 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 **Notice of Filing Proposed Order Granting Summary Judgment** 18 COMES NOW the Plaintiffs, TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE and 19 TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRR TRUST (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), and 20 hereby propose the attached ORDER, granting summary judgment against 21 **Defendants** in favor of the Plaintiffs, in accordance with the Law and principles. 22 Legal Maxims, Standards, and Principles 23 24 1. In support of the attached this proposed ORDER GRANTING summary judgement in favor of the Plaintiffs, as a matter of law, in accordance with 25 26 Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.510(a), California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(c), and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a), Plaintiffs cite the following 27

established legal maxims, standards, and principles:

28

- ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. (God's Law Moral and Natural Law). Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. 'No one is above the law.'
- IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: 'To lie is to go against the mind.'
- TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
- IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8) Truth is sovereign -- and the Sovereign tells only the truth.
- AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN
 COMMERCE. (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). 'He who does not deny, admits.'
- "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- See, Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 (1952)., "Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time permitted," thus, under the specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the facts in question were deemed admitted as true. Failure to answer is well established in the court. Beasley v. U. S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948)., "I, therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted." Also as previously referenced, "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may[must] be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).

6

8

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 'The state **cannot** diminish **Rights** of the **people."** —Hurtado vs. California, 110 US 516.
- "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." – AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406 F2d 137 t.
- "Immunity fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility while liability promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the government to its people." (Civil Rights) Rabon vs Rowen Memorial Hospital, Inc. 269 N.S. 1, 13, 152 SE 1 d 485, 493.
- "When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
- "Judges not only can be sued over their official acts, but could be held liable for injunctive and declaratory relief and attorney's fees." Lezama v. Justice Court, A025829.
- "Ignorance of the law does **not** excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
- "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel (1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817; People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard (1929), 98 C.A. 33, 276 P. 368.
- "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that **ignorance of** the law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 421, 84 P. 332.

Express Mail

- 1								
1	• "the people, not the States, are sovereign." — Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall.							
2	419, 2 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793).							
3	 "Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all ir 							
4	a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.							
5	HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT.							
6	(Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) Legal maxim: 'He who does not repel a wrong							
7	when he can occasions it.'							
8	AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN							
9	COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). 'There is nothing left to resolve.'							
10	//							
11	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant this							
12	Motion for Summary Judgement in favor of the Plaintiffs, as a matter of law, unles							
13	the Court intends to act contrary to contract law, legal maxims, principles, the							
14	Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.), and the Constitution.?							
15	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:							
16	County of Riverside)							
17) Commercial Oath and Verification							
18	The State of California)							
19	I, STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS, under my unlimited liability and Commercia							
20	Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained							
21	herein are true, correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant							
22	knowledge and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and sta							
23	this to be HIS Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>20TH</u> day o							
24	NOVEMBER in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four:							
25	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,							
26	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, U.C.C. §§ 1-308, 3-402.							
27	By:Steven wacArthur-Brooks, Attorney In Fact, Secured Party							
28	Executor, national, private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxx							
	-4 of 7- NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT.							



COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:

2	County of Riverside)						
3) Commercial Oath and Verification						
4	The State of California)						
5	I, KEVIN WALKER, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding						
6	in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true						
7	correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belie						
8	under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of						
9	Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>20TH</u> day of <u>NOVEMBER</u> in the year						
10	of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four:						
11	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,						
12	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, U.C.C. §§ 1-308, 3-402.						
13	By:						
14	Kevia Walker , Attorney In Fact, Secured Party, Executor, national, private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxxx						
15	Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be						
16	established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen						
17	take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of tw						
18	or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every						
19	word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.						
20	Sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,						
21	By:c						
22	(WITNESS)						
23	ecial Limited Appearance,						
24	Ву:						
25	Donnabelle Mortel (WITNESS)						
26	//						
27	//						
28	//						
	-5 of 7-						

NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT.



1	PROOF OF SERVICE							
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)							
3) ss.							
4	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)							
5	I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within							
6	action. My mailing address is the Koda's World, 5476 North West 77th Court, suite							
7	# 613, Miami Lakes, California [33018]. On November 20, 2024, I served the within							
8	documents:							
9	1. NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY							
10	JUDGMENT							
11	2. [PROPOSED] ORDER.							
12	By Electronic Service on November 20, 2024. Based on a court order or an							
13	agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the							
14	documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed							
15	below.							
16	Michael D. Starks C/o ANDREW KEMP-GERSTEL and LIEBLER, GONZALEZ,							
17	44 West Flagler Street Miami Florida, [33130]							
18	mds2@lgplaw.com sck@lgplaw.com							
19	service@lgplaw.com akg@lgplaw.com							
20	mkv@lgplaw.com							
21	Shannon: Peterson, Alejandro: Moreno C/o SheppardMullin							
22	12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California [92130-4092]							
23	<u>spetersen@sheppardmullin.com</u> <u>amoreno@sheppardmullin.com</u>							
24	Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Sheryl Flaugher							
25	SAN DEIGO COUNTY CREDIT UNION 6545 Sequence Drive San Diogo, California [92121]							
26	San Diego, California [92121] spetersen@sheppardmullin.com							
27	Edwyn: Martinez and Blake: Partridge C/o SOUTH FLORIDA AUTO RECOVERY CORP and SASTRE.							
28	C/o SOUTH FLORIDA AUTO RECOVERY CORP and SASTRE, SAAVEDRA & EPSTEIN, PLLC							



PO BOX 226185 Miami, Florida [33222] blake@sselegal.com

Ιc	leclar	e und	er pena	lty of	f perjury	under t	he laws	of the	State	of Califor	nia t	that t	he
abo	ove is	true a	and cor	rect.	Executed	l on No	vember	20, 20	24 at R	Riverside,	Cali	iforni	ia.

______/s/Donnabell E. Mortel/ Donnabelle E. Mortel

Do

NOTICE:

Using a notary on this document does *not* constitute any adhesion, *nor does it alter my status in any manner*. The purpose for notary is verification and identification only and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction.

ANKNOWLEDGEMENT:

State of California)	A notary public or other officer completing this certificate				
) ss.	verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.				
County of Riverside)					
On this <u>20th</u> day of <u>November</u> ,	<u>2024</u> , before me	e, <u>Joyti Patel</u> , a Notary Public,				
personally appeared <u>Kevin Wa</u>	<u>lker</u> , who prove	d to me on the basis of satisfactory				
evidence to be the person(s) w	hose name(s) is/	are subscribed to the within				
instrument and acknowledged	nstrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/					
her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the						
instrument the person(s), or the	e entity upon be	half of which the person(s) acted,				
executed the instrument.						
I certify under PENALTY OF P	ERJURY under	the laws of the State of California				
that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.						
WITNESS my hand and official	seal.	JOYTI PATEL				
		Notary Public - California Riverside County Commission # 2407742				
P		My Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026				

-7 of 7-