1 2 3 4	Steven MacArthur-Brooks, <i>sui juris, In Prop</i> Kevin: Walker, <i>sui juris, In Propria Persona</i> . C/o 15822 North West 87th Court Miami Lakes, Florida [33018] non-domestic <i>without</i> the <u>U</u> nited <u>S</u> tates	pria Persona
5	Email: team@walkernovagroup.com	authonized
6	Attorney(s) In Fact, Executor(s), Trustee(s), A Representative(s), and Secured Party for Plain	ntiff(s)
7	TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROÖKS© EST TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRF	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO	URT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
9	FLORIDA MIA	AMI DIVISION
10	TMOTELLENIALA CARTILLIR	
11	TMSTEVEN MACARTHUR- BROOKS© ESTATE, et al.	Case No. 1:24-cv-24273-RKA
12	Plaintiff(s),	Judge: Roy K. Altman
13	VS.	NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED
14	ALEJANDRO MORENO, et al,	ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT
15	Defendant(s).	JUDGEMENT, STRIKING ALL DEFENDANTS' FILINGS FOR
16		NONCOMPLIANCE AND
17		SANCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS.
18		DETERMINE.
19		
20	NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED	ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT
21	<u>JUDGEMENT, STRIKING ALL</u>	DEFENDANTS' FILINGS FOR
22	NONCOMPLIANCE AND SANCTION	ONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS
23	COMES NOW, Plaintiffs ™STEVEN M.	ACARTHUR-BROOKS© ESTATE and
24	™STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS© IRF	R TRUST (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), by and
25	through their Attorney(ies) In Fact, who ex	xercise the authority granted by an
26	executed 'Affidavit of Powers of Attorney-	-In-Fact,' (Exhibit D). Plaintiffs,
27	proceeding in accordance with their unalie	enable right to contract, as secured and
28	protected by the Constitution of the United	d States of America, and in particular
I	II	

1	Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution, which states: "No State shall pass any
2	Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts."
3	Plaintiffs hereby propose the attached ORDER , granting default judgement against
4	Defendants, in favor of Plaintiffs, striking ALL Defendants' filings for
5	noncompliance and sanctions against ALL Defendants, in accordance with the Law
6	and principles, and 'ORDER IN CASES WITH MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS.'
7	//
8	Legal Maxims, Standards, and Principles
9	1. In support of the attached this proposed ORDER DEFAULT JUDGEMENT,
10	STRIKING ALL DEFENDANTS' FILINGS FOR NONCOMPLIANCE AND
11	SANCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS, in favor of the Plaintiffs, as a matter
12	of law, in accordance with Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.510(a), and Federal
13	Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a), Plaintiffs cite the following established legal
14	maxims, standards, and principles:
15	ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. (God's Law - Moral and Natural
16	Law). Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40;
17	Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. 'No one is above the law.'
18	IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE
19	EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21) Legal maxim: 'To lie is
20	to go against the mind.'
21	• TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5;
22	Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
23	• IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John
24	8:32; II Cor. 13:8) Truth is sovereign and the Sovereign tells only the
25	truth.
26	AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN
27	<u>COMMERCE.</u> (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). 'He who does not deny,
28	admits '

- "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings <u>may</u> be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- See, Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 (1952)., "Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time permitted," thus, under the specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the facts in question were deemed admitted as true. Failure to answer is well established in the court. Beasley v. U. S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948)., "I, therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted." Also as previously referenced, "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may[must] be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- 'The state cannot diminish Rights of the people." —Hurtado vs.
 California, 110 US 516.
- "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." — AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406 F2d 137 t.
- "Immunity **fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility** while liability promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the government to its people." (Civil Rights) **Rabon vs Rowen Memorial Hospital, Inc.** 269 N.S. 1, 13, 152 SE 1 d 485, 493.
- "When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary

5

3

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
- "Judges not only can be sued over their official acts, but could be held liable for injunctive and declaratory relief and attorney's fees." Lezama v. Justice Court, A025829.
- "Ignorance of the law does **not** excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
- "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel (1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817; People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard (1929), 98 C.A. 33, 276 P. 368.
- "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that **ignorance of** the law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 421, 84 P. 332.
- "the people, not the States, are sovereign." Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419, 2 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793).
- "Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
- HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT. (Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) -- Legal maxim: 'He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.'
- AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN **COMMERCE.** (Heb. 6:16-17;). 'There is nothing left to resolve.'
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court GRANT this ORDER for Judgement in favor of the Plaintiffs, unless the Court intends to act contrary to contract law, legal maxims, principles, the Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.), and the Constitution.?

1	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
2	County of Riverside)
3) Commercial Oath and Verification
4	The State of California)
5	I, STEVEN MACARTHUR-BROOKS, under my unlimited liability and Commercia
6	Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained
7	herein are true, correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant'
8	knowledge and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state
9	this to be HIS Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>20TH</u> day o
10	NOVEMBER in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four:
11	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
12	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, U.C.C. §§ 1-308, 3-402.
13	By: After Market Late
14	Steven MacArthur-Brooks , Attorney In Fact, Secured Party, Executor, national, private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxxx
15	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
16	County of Riverside)
17) Commercial Oath and Verification
17) Commercial Cant and vernication
18	The State of California)
	,
18	The State of California)
18 19	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding
18 19 20	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true
18 19 20 21	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and believer the state of the correct of the state of the
18 19 20 21 22	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of
18 19 20 21 22 23	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belie under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>20TH</u> day of <u>NOVEMBER</u> in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four: proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The State of California) I, KEVIN WALKER, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this 20TH day of NOVEMBER in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	The State of California) I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belie under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>20TH</u> day of <u>NOVEMBER</u> in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty four: proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,

-5 of 7NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGEMENT, STRIKING ALL DEFENDANTS' FILINGS FOR NONCOMPLIANCE AND SANCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

1	Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be
2	established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen,
3	take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two
4	or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every
5	word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.
6	Sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,
7	By: Cary Deffert Wolfer
8	Corey Walker (WITNESS)
9	Sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,
10	By: Jan Flu
11	Donnabelle Mortel (WITNESS)
12	PROOF OF SERVICE
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
14) ss.
15	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
16	I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within
17	action. My mailing address is the Koda's World, 5476 North West 77th Court, suite
18	# 613, Miami Lakes, California [33018]. On November 29, 2024, I served the within
19	documents:
20	1. NOTICE OF FILING PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT
21	JUDGEMENT, STRIKING ALL DEFENDANTS' FILINGS FOR
22	NONCOMPLIANCE AND SANCTIONS AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS.
23	By Electronic Service on November 29, 2024. Based on a court order or an
24	agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the
25	documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed
26	below.
27	Michael D. Starks C/o ANDREW KEMP-GERSTEL and LIEBLER, GONZALEZ,
28	44 West Flagler Street

28

1 2 2	Miami Florida, [33130] mds2@lgplaw.com sck@lgplaw.com service@lgplaw.com akg@lgplaw.com mkv@lgplaw.com
3	
5	Shannon: Peterson, Alejandro: Moreno C/o SheppardMullin 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 192130-40921
6	San Diego, California [92130-4092] spetersen@sheppardmullin.com amoreno@sheppardmullin.com
7	Teresa H. Campbell, Shirley Jackson, Sheryl Flaugher SAN DEIGO COUNTY CREDIT UNION
8 9	6545 Sequence Drive San Diego, California [92121] spetersen@sheppardmullin.com
10	Edwyn: Martinez and Blake: Partridge
11	C/o SOUTH FLORIDA AUTO RECOVERY CORP and SASTRE, SAAVEDRA & EPSTEIN, PLLC
12	PO BOX 226185 Miami, Florida [33222] blake@sselegal.com
13	<u>bianc@ssciegai.com</u>
14	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
15	above is true and correct. Executed on November 29, 2024 at Riverside, California.
- 1	
16	/s/Chris Yarbra/
16 17	<u>/s/Chris Yarbra/</u> Chris Yarbra
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	