	/I	
1	Kevin Walker, sui juris, In Propria Person a	ı
2	Donnabelle Mortel, sui juris, In Propria Pe	
_	C/o 30650 Rancho California Road #406-2	251
3	Temecula, California [92591]	
4	non-domestic <i>without</i> the <u>U</u> nited <u>S</u> tates Email: <u>team@walkernovagroup.com</u>	
5	Entan. team warkernovagroup.com	
6	Attorney(s)-In-Fact, Executor(s), and Author	ized Representative(s),
	for Real Party(ies) in Interest/Plaintiff(s)	PRESS⊚ TRUST
7	TMKEVIN WALKER®, TMDONNABELLE N	
8		
9		DISTRICT COURT
	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL	FORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION
10	™KEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, ™DONNABELLE MORTEL© ESTATE,	Case No.: 5:25-cv-00339-JGB-DTB
11	TMKEVIN WALKER© IRR TRUST, TMWG	PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u>
12	EXPRESS TRUST©,	CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT PHH MORTGAGES'
13	Real Party(ies) in Interest, Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO
14	VS.	DISMISS AND PLAINTIFFS'
	Jay Promisco, Joseph Moran, Christian	VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT,
15	Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia	SANCTIONS, AND PLAINTIFFS'
16	Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew	VERIFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT
17	Fuerstenbergerm, James E. Coffrini, Paul	AND SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AS A MATTER OF LAW, WITHOUT
18	Gustafson, Devin Ormonde, SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC,	HEARING.
	GREENHEAD INVESTMENTS INC,	
19	PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, PRIME RECON LLC, Does 1-100 Inclusive	
20	Defendant(s).	
21		
22	PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED CONDITION	NAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT
23		OTION, MOTION TO DISMISS AND
24	PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND	FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT,
25	SANCTIONS, AND PLAINTIFFS' VER	IFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND
26	SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AS A MA	TTER OF LAW, WITHOUT HEARING
27	COMES NOW, Plaintiffs ™KEVIN WALK	KER© ESTATE, ™DONNABELLE
28	MORTEL© ESTATE, ™KEVIN WALKER©	© IRR TRUST, ™WG EXPRESS TRUST©

-1 of 43-

Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025

1	(hereinafter "Plaintiff(s)" and or "Real Party(ies) in Interest"), by and through their	
2	Attorney(s)-in-Fact, Kevin: Walker and Donnabelle: Mortel, who are both	
3	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, and by Special Limited Appearance.	
4	Kevin and Donnabelle are natural <i>freeborn</i> Sovereigns and state <u>C</u> itizens of	
5	California and Washington the republic in its De'jure capacity as one of the severa	
6	states of the Union 1789. This incidentally makes them both a national of the	
7	republic as per the De'Jure Constitution for the United States 1777/1789 .	
8	Plaintiffs, acting through their Attorney(s)-in-Fact, assert their <i>unalienable</i> right to	
9	contract , as secured by Article I , Section 10 of the Constitution , which states: "No	
10	State shall pass any Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts." and thus which	
11	<i>prohibits</i> states from impairing the obligation of contracts .	
12	This clause unequivocally prohibits states from impairing the obligation of	
13	contracts, including but not limited to, a trust and contract agreement as an	
14	'Attorney-In-Fact,' and any private contract existing between Plaintiffs and	
15	Defendants. A copy of the 'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact,' is attached hereto	
16	as Exhibits H and incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiffs further rely on their	
17	unalienable and inherent rights under the Constitution and the common law —	
18	rights that predate the formation of the state and remain safeguarded by due	
19	process of law.	
20	I. 'Attorney-in-Fact': Legal Authority and Recognition	
21	An attorney-in-fact is a private attorney authorized by another to act on their	
22	behalf in specific matters, as granted by a power of attorney . This authority can be	
23	limited to a specific act or extend to general business matters that are not of a	
24	legal character.	
25	According to Bouvier's Law Dictionary, Black's Law Dictionary (1st, 2nd, and 8th	
26	editions), and the American Bar Association (ABA):	

-2 of 43-

An attorney-in-fact derives their authority from a written instrument,

commonly referred to as a **"power of attorney."**

27

28

- A **constituent** may lawfully delegate authority to an **attorney-in-fact** to act in their place.
- This designation is distinct from an attorney-at-law, as it pertains to an
 individual acting under a special agency or letter of attorney for particular
 actions.
- Even individuals who are otherwise disqualified from acting in their own legal capacity, such as minors or married women (historically referred to as femes coverts), may act as an attorney-in-fact for others if they have the necessary understanding.

Black's Law Dictionary defines an **attorney-in-fact** as follows:

"A person to whom the authority of another, who is called the constituent, is by him lawfully delegated. The term is employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to be performed; but in a more extended sense, it includes all other agents employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another."

The American Bar Association (ABA) further affirms that the individual named in a power of attorney is legally referred to as an agent or attorney-in-fact and has the authority to take any action expressly permitted in the document. The American Bar Association (ABA) official website explicitly states:

"The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf is commonly referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take any action permitted in the document." — See Exhibit SS.

II. Statutory and U.C.C. Recognition of 'Attorney-in-Fact' Authority

- TThe authority of an attorney-in-fact is explicitly recognized in various statutory and commercial codes, reinforcing its binding nature:
 - U.C.C. § 3-402: Establishes that an authorized representative, including an attorney-in-fact, can bind the principal in contractual and financial transactions.

- 28 U.S.C. § 1654: Confirms that "parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel", reinforcing the Plaintiffs' right to self-representation and the use of an attorney-in-fact.
- **26 U.S.C. § 2203**: Recognizes executors, including attorneys-in-fact, in matters of estate administration and tax liability.
- **26 U.S.C. § 7603**: Acknowledges that an attorney-in-fact may lawfully receive and respond to IRS summonses on behalf of the principal.
- **26 U.S.C. § 6903**: Confirms that fiduciaries, including attorneys-in-fact, are recognized in tax matters and are legally bound to act in their principal's best interest.
- **26 U.S.C. § 6036**: Establishes that attorneys-in-fact can handle affairs related to the administration of decedent estates and trust entities.
- **26 U.S.C. § 6402**: Grants attorneys-in-fact the authority to receive and negotiate tax refunds and credits on behalf of the principal.

Plaintiffs have clearly presented a valid "Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact" (Exhibit H), which lawfully confers upon them the authority to act in this matter. The legal principles established by the UCC and statutory law further reinforce the binding authority of Plaintiffs' affidavits and agreements.

Defendants' assertion that a trust cannot be represented by an attorney-in-fact contradicts well-established statutory, commercial, and legal principles. By denying this legal reality, Defendants engage in intentional misrepresentation and mockery of long-standing legal doctrine, further demonstrating their lack of credibility and bad faith in these proceedings.

III. Neil J Cooper's, Defendant's, and Counsel's Incompetence and Willful War Against Law, Logic, and the Constitution

The American Bar Association (ABA) explicitly recognizes the legal validity of a power of attorney, affirming that such an instrument grants an individual any power conferred upon them by the grantor. This official acknowledgment stands

1	in direct contradiction to Defendants' position, further evidencing the	
2	incompetence of their counsel.	
3	By ignoring this clear legal precedent, Defendants' counsel, Neil J. Cooper, not onl	
4	demonstrates a fundamental lack of legal comprehension but also engages in	
5	willful misconduct, attempting to override constitutional rights, well-established	
6	contract law, and common law principles. Their reliance on false legal arguments	
7	constitutes an intentional war against logic and the foundational principles of	
8	justice, as protected under both state and federal law.	
9	Furthermore, Neil J. Cooper is willfully violating Rule 8.4 of the Bar Association	
10	which prohibits attorneys from engaging in dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or	
11	misrepresentation. His conduct reflects a blatant disregard for professional ethics	
12	and a direct attempt to undermine the judicial process through bad faith	
13	litigation tactics.	
14	This deliberate obstruction and misrepresentation of law serve as an undeniable	
15	demonstration of bad faith litigation tactics, violating the professional and ethical	
16	standards required of officers of the court. It is clear that their position is not	
17	grounded in legitimate legal reasoning, but rather in a calculated effort to deprive	
18	Plaintiffs of their lawful rights through fraud, deception, and a reckless disregard	
19	for constitutional mandates. A copy of the American Bar Association's official	
20	website affirming the validity of a power of attorney is attached hereto as Exhibit	
21	SS, and a copy of Rule 8.4 of the Bar Association, which clearly outlines the	
22	prohibition of dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation, is attached as	
23	Exhibit TT, and incorporated by reference herein.	
24	IV. Neil J Cooper's, Defendant's, and Counsel's Violations of	
25	Constitutional Safeguards Under Article V	
26	Under Article IV, Section 4 of the United States Constitution, the United	
27	States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of	
28	Government. A Republican form of government inherently upholds	

individual sovereignty, private contractual rights, and limitations on state interference. Defendants' actions, by seeking to impose unlawful restrictions

3

5

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

contradict this constitutional mandate and further highlight the egregious violations at issue in this matter. Additionally, Article V of the United States Constitution ensures that no amendment can deprive a state of its equal suffrage in the Senate without its consent, further reinforcing the foundational principles of self-governance and protection of inherent rights. Any attempt to circumvent these principles by

restricting Plaintiffs' lawful rights and contractual freedoms is a direct affront to

and misrepresentations on Plaintiffs' contractual and legal rights, directly

the constitutional structure designed to safeguard individual liberty. **Constitutional Basis:**

Plaintiffs assert that their private rights are secured and protected under the Constitution, common law, and exclusive equity, which govern their ability to freely contract and protect their property and interests..

Plaintiffs respectfully assert and affirm:

"The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is *unlimited*. He owes no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." (Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 47 [1905]).

- "The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime." Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489.
 "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S.
 "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this
 - "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional **rights**." —Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F. 945.
 - "A law repugnant to the Constitution is **void**." *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 177 (1803).
 - "It is not the duty of the citizen to surrender his rights, liberties, and immunities under the guise of police power or any other governmental power." *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966).
 - "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed."—

 Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886).
 - "No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law, and no courts are bound to enforce it." 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 177, Late Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 256.
 - "Sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts." *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356, 370 (1886).

VI. <u>Supremacy Clause</u>

Plaintiffs respectfully assert and affirm that:

The Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) establishes that the Constitution, federal laws made pursuant to it, and treaties made under its authority, constitute the "supreme Law of the Land", and thus take priority over any conflicting state laws. It provides that state courts are bound by, and state constitutions subordinate to, the supreme law. However, federal statutes

and treaties must be within the parameters of the Constitution; that is, they must be pursuant to the federal government's enumerated powers, and not violate other constitutional limits on federal power ... As a constitutional provision identifying the supremacy of federal law, the Supremacy Clause assumes the underlying priority of federal authority, albeit only when that authority is expressed in the Constitution itself; no matter what the federal or state governments might wish to do, they must stay within the boundaries of the Constitution.

VII. <u>Description of Affected Private Trust Property</u>

This action affects title to the private Trust property (herein referred to as "private property" and/or "subject property") situated in the county of Riverside, California, commonly described as a '31990 Pasos Place, Temecula, California,' and described as follows: Lot 5 of Tract No. 23209, in the City of Temecula, California, County of Riverside, on file in Book 320, Pages 79 through 97 records of Riverside County, California,' hereinafter referred to as the "Property," and all bonds, securities, Federal Reserve Notes, assets, tangible and intangible, registered and unregistered, and more particularly described in the Authentic UCC1 filing and NOTICE #2024385925-4 and #2024385935-1, and UCC3 filing and NOTICE #2024402433-7 and 2024411182-7, all Filed in the Office of Secretary of State State Of Nevada. Attached hereto as Exhibits A, B, C, and D respectively, and incorporated herein by reference.

credits, funds, assets, bonds, Federal Reserve Notes, notes, bills of exchange, entitlements, negotiable instruments, or similar collateralized, hypothecated, and/or securitized items in any manner tied to Plaintiffs' signature, promise to pay, order to pay, endorsement, credits, authorization, or comparable actions (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Assets").

VIII.

'Standing'

- 1. Plaintiffs are <u>undisputedly</u> the Real Party(ies) in Interest, holder(s) in due course, Creditor(s), and hold allodial tittle to <u>any and all</u> assets, registered or unregistered, tangible or intangible, in accordance with contract law, principles, common law, exlcusive equity, the right to equitable subrogation, and the U.C.C. (Uniform Commercial Code). This is further evidenced by the following UCC filings, all duly filed in the Office of the Secretary of State, State of Nevada: UCC1 filing #2024385925-4 and #2024385935-1, and UCC3 filing #2024402433-7 and 2024411182-7 (Exhibits A, B, C, and D), and in accordance with UCC §§ 3-302, 9-105, and 9-509.
- Plaintiffs' standing is further affirmed and evidenced by the GRANT DEED recorded in Official Records County of Riverside, DOC #2024-0291980, APN: 957-570-005, File No.: 37238 KH, where the private trust property is titled to 'WG Private Irrevocable Trust, dated February 7, 2022' (Exhibit E).
- 3. Plaintiffs maintain **exclusive and sole standing** in relation to said assets and their interests, as duly recorded and affirmed by these filing.
- 4. Plaintiff(s) alone possess(es) exclusive equity.

IX. Foundational 'Case Law' on Standing, Mortgage Fraud, <u>Foreclosure, Corporate Overreach</u>

Plaintiffs referenced the following case law summary highlights key legal principles on jurisdiction, standing, and procedural requirements in financial and mortgage-related cases. Courts consistently void judgments rendered without proper jurisdiction and emphasize the need for a party to demonstrate legal **standing**. Fraudulent lending practices, including violations of federal regulations, have led to dismissals with prejudice. Corporate overreach by banks is curtailed through rulings that prohibit lending credit and ultra vires contracts. Evidentiary standards stress the **sufficiency of affidavits** and the **duty** of full and complete disclosure of

information to prevent fraud. Contract **principles** underscore the nullification of agreements lacking proper consideration.

A. Jurisdiction and Standing in Court

- Courts have consistently held that judgments rendered without subject matter jurisdiction are void from inception, and parties must have **standing** to invoke a court's jurisdiction. Notable cases emphasize that plaintiffs must demonstrate ownership of notes and mortgages at the time of filing to proceed with foreclosure actions. Failure to do so results in jurisdictional dismissal.
- 1. Patton v. Diemer, 35 Ohio St. 3d 68; 518 N.E.2d 941 (1988): "A judgment rendered by a court lacking subject matter jurisdiction is void ab initio. Consequently, the authority to vacate a void judgment is not derived from Ohio R. Civ. P. 60(B), but rather constitutes an inherent power possessed by Ohio courts. I see no evidence to the contrary that this would apply to ALL courts."
- 2. Lebanon Correctional Institution v. Court of Common Pleas, 35 Ohio St.2d 176 (1973): "A party lacks standing to invoke the jurisdiction of a court unless he has, in an individual or a representative capacity, some real interest in the subject matter of the action."
- **3. Wells Fargo Bank v. Byrd**, 178 Ohio App.3d 285, 2008-Ohio-4603, 897 N.E.2d 722 (2008): "If plaintiff has offered no evidence that it owned the note and mortgage when the complaint was filed, it would not be entitled to judgment as a matter of law."
- **4. Indymac Bank v. Boyd**, 880 N.Y.S.2d 224 (2009): "To establish a prima facie case in an action to foreclose a mortgage, the plaintiff must establish the existence of the mortgage and the mortgage note. It is the law's policy to allow only an aggrieved person to bring a lawsuit . . . A want of 'standing to sue,' in other words, is just another way of saying that this particular plaintiff is not involved in a genuine controversy, and a simple syllogism takes us from there to a 'jurisdictional' dismissal."

Indymac Bank v. Bethley, 880 N.Y.S.2d 873 (2009): "The Court is concerned that there may be fraud on the part of plaintiff or at least malfeasance. Plaintiff
 INDYMAC (Deutsche) must have 'standing' to bring this action."

B. Fraud and Misrepresentation in Mortgage Cases

- 5 | Several cases illustrate fraudulent practices by lenders, including violations of
- 6 | the Federal Truth in Lending Act and withholding vital loan information.
- 7 Courts have dismissed cases with prejudice where fraud on the court was 8 evident.
 - Wells Fargo, Litton Loan v. Farmer, 867 N.Y.S.2d 21 (2008): "Wells Fargo does not own the mortgage loan... Therefore, the matter is dismissed with prejudice."
 - Wells Fargo v. Reyes, 867 N.Y.S.2d 21 (2008): "Dismissed with prejudice, Fraud on Court & Sanctions. Wells Fargo never owned the Mortgage."
 - **Deutsche Bank v. Peabody**, 866 N.Y.S.2d 91 (2008): "EquiFirst, when making the loan, violated Regulation Z of the Federal Truth in Lending Act 15 USC §1601 and the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act 15 USC §1692; 'intentionally created fraud in the factum' and withheld from plaintiff 'vital information concerning said debt and all of the matrix involved in making the loan."

C. Corporate and Banking Overreach

- Decisions highlight that banks **cannot** lend their credit or guarantee debts, as these actions are ultra vires and not legally binding. These rulings reinforce the limitations on corporate and banking activities.
- Zinc Carbonate Co. v. First National Bank, 103 Wis. 125, 79 NW 229 (1899):

 "The doctrine of ultra vires is a most powerful weapon to private corporations within their legitimate spheres and punish them for violations of their corporate charters, and it probably is not invoked too often."
- Howard & Foster Co. vs. Citizens National Bank, 133 S.C. 202, 130 S.E. 758 (1926): "It has been settled beyond controversy that a national bank,

• American Express Co. v. Citizens State Bank, 181 Wis. 172, 194 NW 427 (1923): "Neither, as included in its powers not incidental to them, is it a part of a bank's business to lend its credit."

D. Procedural Requirements and Evidentiary Standards

- The requirement for real party-in-interest prosecution is emphasized, along with rulings that affidavits alone can establish a prima facie case. Courts have ruled that silence in the face of a legal duty to respond can constitute fraud.
- **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(a)(1)**: "[A]n action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest."
- In re Jacobson, 402 B.R. 359, 365-66 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. 2009): Emphasizes that actions must be filed by the real party in interest.
- United States v. Kis, 658 F.2d 526 (7th Cir. 1981): "Indeed, no more than (affidavits) is necessary to make the prima facie case." Cert. denied, S. Ct. (1982).
- **U.S. v. Tweel**, 550 F.2d 297 (1977): "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading."

E. Contract and Consideration Principles

- 23 | If any part of a contract's consideration is illegal, the entire promise becomes void.
- 24 Courts have also recognized the right to rescind contracts induced by false
- 25 representations, even if made innocently.

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

27

28

• Menominee River Co. v. Augustus Spies L & C Co., 147 Wis. 559 at p. 572; 132 NW 1118 (1912): "If any part of the consideration for a promise be illegal, or if there are several considerations for an un-severable promise

3

4

6

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

one of which is illegal, the promise, whether written or oral, is wholly void, as it is impossible to say what part or which one of the considerations induced the promise."

X. Neil J. Copper, Counsel, and Defendants' Blatant Mockery of the Law and the Court's Intelligence

The Defendants, Neil J. Cooper and Counsel, through their deliberate misrepresentation of legal concepts, are acting as though a 'national' does not exist and that a 'state Citizen' does not exist, despite well-established legal precedent affirming both statuses. This egregious misconduct is a direct mockery of the law and an insult to the intelligence of the Court.

1. Legal Precedents Recognizing State Citizenship:

- United States v. Anthony (1873) states, "It had long been contended... that there was no such thing as a citizen of the United States, except as that condition arose from citizenship of some state."
- The Slaughter-House Cases (1872) clarify that "there is a citizenship of the United States and a citizenship of a State, which are distinct from each other."
- Thomasson v. State, McDonel v. State, and numerous other cases confirm that one may be a state Citizen without being a citizen of the United States.

2. Recognition of Nationals and Non-Citizen Nationals:

- *Title 8 U.S. Code § 1101(a)(22)(B)* states: "The term 'national of the United States' means... a person who, though not a citizen of the United States, owes permanent allegiance to the United States."
- The **Department of State** document Certificates of Non-Citizen Nationality affirms: "Section 101(a)(21) of the INA defines the term 'national' as 'a person owing permanent allegiance to a state."
- Title 22 CFR § 51.2 and Title 22 CFR § 51.3 confirm that passports are issued only to nationals, reinforcing the legal distinction.

By dismissing these well-established legal principles, Defendants are engaging in willful ignorance and fraudulent misrepresentation, attempting to erase legal distinctions that have been upheld by courts for over a century. Their failure to acknowledge these established facts constitutes a deliberate attack on the rule of law and a reckless disregard for judicial integrity. The Court must take notice of the Defendants' deliberate legal distortions and recognize their actions as an attempt to subvert fundamental legal principles in bad faith. Plaintiffs demand that Defendants be held accountable for their blatant fraud upon the court, misrepresentation of the law, and egregious attempts to undermine the recognized legal distinctions between 'state Citizens' and 'citizens of the United States'.

- XI. Neil J. Copper, Counsel, and Defendants' Misrepresentation of Law, Legal Incompetence, and Grounds for Disbarment

 Defendants have willfully misrepresented the law, the definition of an attorneyin-fact, and legal precedents, relying on bad case law that has no bearing on this
 matter. Their deliberate distortion of contract law, the Uniform Commercial Code
 (UCC), and fundamental legal principles demonstrates incompetence warranting
 disbarment and legal sanctions.
 - Misrepresentation of an Attorney-in-Fact: Defendants falsely assert that an
 attorney-in-fact lacks legal standing, directly contradicting UCC § 3-402 and
 multiple sections of the United States Code (26 U.S.C. §§ 2203, 7603, 6903,
 6036, and 6402).
 - Reliance on Bad Case Law: Defendants cite outdated and irrelevant case law
 in an attempt to deceive the court, failing to acknowledge well-established
 common law and statutory provisions.
 - Legal Incompetence: Defendants' failure to comprehend basic contract principles, fiduciary relationships, and agency law is a disqualifying professional deficiency that necessitates immediate disciplinary action.

Violation of Rule 8.4 (Exhibit TT): Defendants' attorneys have engaged in dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation, which are direct violations of Rule 8.4 of the Rules of Professional Conduct. This misconduct further supports the necessity of disciplinary review and disbarment proceedings.

 Grounds for Disbarment: Their willful legal malpractice, ethical breaches, and intentional misrepresentations warrant immediate disciplinary action, including disbarment.

XII. Chevron Doctrine <u>Overturned:</u> Defendants' Alleged Case Law is Further Void

Defendants' reliance on **bad 'case law'** is further invalidated by the **recent overturning of the Chevron Doctrine**, which previously granted deference to administrative agencies' interpretations of ambiguous statutes. The **United States Supreme Court has now ruled** that courts **must** exercise **independent judicial interpretation**, eliminating deference to flawed agency decisions.

- **Bad Precedent Invalidated**: Many of the cases Defendants rely upon were based on **Chevron deference**, rendering their legal arguments **null and void**.
- **Independent Judicial Interpretation Required**: Courts are now bound to **apply the law as written**, rather than deferring to administrative overreach.
- Further Evidence of Defendants' Bad Faith: Defendants have knowingly cited void legal principles, further demonstrating their lack of credibility, legal incompetence, and unethical litigation tactics.

Defendants' misrepresentation of law, reliance on bad case law, and failure to acknowledge the attorney-in-fact's legal authority constitute gross incompetence and professional misconduct. Their actions undermine the integrity of these proceedings, necessitating judicial intervention, sanctions, and professional disciplinary measures, including disbarment. Furthermore, their clear violation of Rule 8.4 (Exhibit TT) of the Rules of Professional Conduct further confirms the need for immediate

XIII. <u>Defendants' Willful Non-Compliance and Failure to File</u> <u>Jointly as Required by 'Court Rules'</u>

4 in 6 to 6 cor

Defendants have willfully ignored court rules requiring multiple defendants in a case to file their motions jointly unless they have obtained express leave to do otherwise. This blatant disregard for procedural requirements constitutes a violation of court rules, procedural misconduct, and a breach of duty under contractual principles.

8

Failure to Adhere to Court Rules: Defendants must provide explicit
proof that they are not required by court rules to file jointly in cases
with multiple defendants. The absence of such proof confirms their
non-compliance.

11

12

13

10

 Breach of Procedural Duty: Defendants' failure to obtain express leave before filing separately constitutes a clear violation of procedural obligations, further evidencing their bad faith conduct.

14

15

 Grounds for Sanctions: Defendants' improper filings warrant striking their motions from the record and imposing sanctions for their willful noncompliance and failure to adhere to procedural mandates.

1617

Defendants' failure to comply with fundamental procedural rules demonstrates a deliberate effort to manipulate court proceedings and evade accountability.

19

20

18

Plaintiffs demand that the Court recognize this procedural violation, strike

21 | 22 |

Defendants' motions, and impose appropriate sanctions to uphold the integrity of the judicial process.

23

24

XIV. Neil J Cooper, Counsel, and Defendants' Actions as Acts of War Against the Constitution, the Public, and the 'people'

2526

Neil J. Cooper, Counsel, and Defendants' conduct constitutes an **outright war against the Constitution** of the United States, its *principles*, and the **rule of law**. By their *bad faith* and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated *willful*

27

28

and intentional disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth

in **Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution**, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.

A. Violations of Constitutional Protections

- Neil J. Cooper, Counsel, and Defendants have intentionally and systematically
 engaged in acts that directly violate the protections guaranteed to the plaintiffs and
 the people under the Constitution, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Violation of the Plaintiffs' Unalienable Rights: The defendants have deprived the plaintiffs of life, liberty, and property without due process of law, as guaranteed under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.
 - 2. Subversion of the Rule of Law: Through their actions, the defendants have undermined the separation of powers and checks and balances established by the Constitution. They have disregarded the judiciary's duty to uphold the Constitution by attempting to operate outside the confines of lawful authority, rendering themselves effectively unaccountable.
 - 3. Treasonous Conduct: Pursuant to Article III, Section 3, treason against the United States is defined as levying war against them or adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort. The defendants' conduct in subverting the constitutional order, depriving citizens of their lawful rights, and unlawfully exercising power without jurisdiction constitutes a form of domestic treason against the Constitution and the people it protects.

B. Acts of Aggression and Tyranny

The Neil J. Cooper, Counsel, and Defendants' actions amount to a usurpation of authority and a direct attack on the sovereignty of the people, who are the true source of all government power under the Constitution. As stated in the Declaration of Independence, whenever any form of government becomes destructive of the unalienable rights of the people, it is the right of the people to

1	alter or abolish it. The defendants, through their actions, have positioned	
2	themselves as adversaries to this principle, attempting to replace the rule of law	
3	with arbitrary and unlawful dictates.	
4	C. Weaponizing Authority to Oppress	
5	The Neil J. Cooper, Counsel, and Defendants intentional misuse of their	
6	authority to act against the interests of the Constitution and its <u>C</u> itizens is a	
7	clear manifestation of tyranny. Rather than serving their constitutional	
8	mandate to protect and defend the Constitution, they have actively waged	
9	war on it by:	
10	• Suppressing lawful claims and evidence presented by the plaintiffs to protect	
11	their property and rights.	
12	Engaging in acts of fraud, coercion, and racketeering that strip plaintiffs of	
13	their constitutional protections.	
14	• Dismissing the jurisdictional authority of constitutional mandates, including	
15	but not limited to rights to due process and equal protection under the law.	
16	The defendants' actions are not merely breaches of law; they are acts of insurrection	
17	and rebellion against the very foundation of the nation's constitutional	
18	framework. Such acts must not go unchallenged, as they jeopardize the	
19	constitutional order, the rights of the people, and the rule of law that ensures justice	
20	and equality. Plaintiffs call upon the court and relevant authorities to enforce the	
21	Constitution, compel accountability, and halt the defendants' treasonous war	
22	against the supreme law of the land.	
23	XV. <u>Binding Nature of Verified Affidavits and Uniform</u>	
24	Commercial Code (U.C.C.) Provisions	
25	The affidavits (Exhibits I, J, K, L, N, and PP) presented by Plaintiffs are legally	
26	binding under the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) and contract law.	

-18 of 43-

Defendants' failure to rebut these affidavits constitutes tacit acquiescence, making

27

28

their terms enforceable as law.

- **U.C.C. § 1-308 Reservation of Rights**: Ensures that acceptance of an offer under duress or coercion does not waive any rights or defenses. Plaintiffs, by invoking **U.C.C. § 1-308**, have preserved all legal remedies while complying with procedural obligations.
- U.C.C. § 2-204 Formation in General: Establishes that a contract can be
 formed in any manner sufficient to show agreement, including conduct.

 Defendants, through their actions and failure to properly respond, have
 initiated and confirmed a contractual relationship.
- U.C.C. § 2-206 Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract: Recognizes that an offer can be accepted in any reasonable manner. Plaintiffs' conditional acceptance and mailing of notices via Certified, Registered, and/or Express mail have created a binding contract agreement that Defendants are contractually obligated to uphold.
- U.C.C. § 2-202 <u>Final</u> Written Expression: Protects the terms of Plaintiffs' affidavits and contractual agreements from being altered or disregarded.
 Defendants are bound to provide proof disputing these terms, failing which the agreements remain final and enforceable.
- U.C.C. § 1-103 Supplementary General Principles of Law Applicable:
 Allows common law principles to supplement the UCC. Under equity and fair dealing, failure to rebut or provide requested proof constitutes bad faith, silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and tacit procuration.

Plaintiffs have clearly presented a **valid** "Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact" (Exhibit H), which lawfully confers upon them the authority to act in this matter. The legal principles established by the UCC and common law further reinforce the binding nature of Plaintiffs' affidavits and agreements. Defendants' refusal to acknowledge or rebut these instruments demonstrates their intentional misrepresentation and failure to act in good faith, warranting judicial enforcement of these contractual obligations

XVI. <u>Defendant' Presumption of Dishonor under U.C.C. § 3-505</u> and Evidence Proving Defendant' Dishonor 2 1. The failure of Defendants to rebut or provide any valid evidence of their 3 performance is further confirmed by the, 'AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of 4 DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, JUDGEMENT, and LIEN 5 AUTHORIZATION"/Self-Executing Contract Security Agreement (Exhibit L), which is **duly notarized** and complies with the requirements of U.C.C. § 3-505. 8 2. Under U.C.C. § 3-505, a document regular in form, such as the notarized 9 Affidavit Certificate serves as evidence of dishonor and creates a presumption 10 of dishonor. 11 U.C.C. § 3-505. Evidence of Dishonor: 12 (a) The following are admissible as evidence and create a presumption of 13 dishonor and of any notice of dishonor stated: 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (1) A document regular in form as provided in subsection (b) which purports to be a protest;
- (2) A purported stamp or writing of the drawee, payor bank, or presenting bank on or accompanying the instrument stating that acceptance or payment has been refused unless reasons for the refusal are stated and the reasons are not consistent with dishonor;
- (3) A book or record of the drawee, payor bank, or collecting bank, kept in the usual course of business which shows dishonor, even if there is no evidence of who made the entry.
- (b) A protest is a certificate of dishonor made by a United States consul or vice consul, or a notary public or other person authorized to administer oaths by the law of the place where dishonor occurs. It may be made upon information satisfactory to that person. The protest must identify the instrument and certify either that presentment has been made or, if not made,

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 the reason why it was not made, and that the instrument has been dishonored by nonacceptance or nonpayment. The protest may also certify that notice of dishonor has been given to some or all parties.

- The **notarized** 'AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, JUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION"/Self-Executing Contract Security Agreement (Exhibit L), complies with these requirements and serves as a formal protest and evidence of dishonor under U.C.C. § 3-505, as it clearly documents Defendants' refusal to respond or provide the necessary rebuttal to Plaintiffs' claims.
- 4. Defendants **have not** submitted any evidence to contradict or rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Additionally, the California Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and *unrebutted* affidavits stand as **Truth**.
- 5. Defendants may **not** argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and Defendants are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.

XVII. **DEFENDANTS are 'WARDS OF THE COURT' with 31** U.S.C. 5118 and 18 U.S.C. 8 Obligations

- 1. It is a well-established principle under 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. that clients represented by 'Attorneys at Law' are considered 'wards of the court.' A copy of 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S (See Exhibit FF).
- As wards of the court, Defendants have *voluntarily* relinquished their authority and autonomy over their legal matters, subjecting themselves to the jurisdiction and authority of this Court or administrative tribunal. Specifically:

3. By voluntarily retaining legal counsel, Defendants have willfully accepted their

diminished status as 'wards of the court.' This status is further evidenced by

with U.C.C. § 1-103, which preserves the application of common law principles

such as good faith and fair dealing when statutory law (U.C.C. provisions) is

their collective failure to rebut or nullify Plaintiff's claims in accordance

over those of the Defendants;

Defendants' attorneys are obligated to prioritize the interests of the court

Defendants, by contract, have diminished their standing and authority in

their own case, evidencing their incompetence to rebut Plaintiff's claims.

7

5

9

10

11

XVIII.

silent.

CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE

- Plaintiffs hereby present this Conditional Acceptance and Binding Contract 12
- Offer in response to 'DEFENDANT PHH MORTGAGES' NOTICE OF 13
- MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS'. Plaintiffs CONDITIONALLY ACCEPT all 14
- statements and claims made in Defendants' Motion upon proof of the 15
- following: 16
- 1. Upon proof from Defendants that their silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, 17
- and tacit procuration beyond the 72 (seventy-two) hour contract law timeframe 18
- 19 does **NOT** constitute valid consideration, and/or acceptance, and/or consent.
- 2. **Upon proof from Defendants** that they did **NOT** receive, consider, or accept the 20
- terms stated in the five (5) unrebutted verified affidavits and Contract and 21
- Security Agreements (Exhibits I, J, K, L, and N), by way of silent acquiescence, 22
- 23 tacit agreement, and tacit procuration.
- 3. **Upon proof from Defendants** that the five (5) *unrebutted* verified 24
- affidavits and Contract and Security Agreements (Exhibits I, J, K, L, and N) 25
- do **NOT** constitute a binding **contract** agreement in accordance with 26
- contract law, the Uniform Commercial Code (U.C.C.), common law, and 27
- established legal principles. 28

Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025

- Upon proof from Defendants that they did not accept the terms stated in the five
 (5) *unrebutted* verified affidavits and Contract and Security Agreements
 (Exhibits I, J, K, L, and N), the moment they were placed in the mail, as stipulated by the 'mailbox rule'.
 - 5. **Upon proof from Defendants** that they have rebutted the 'VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND VERIFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS A MATTER OF LAW WITHOUT HEARING' (Exhibit PP).

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

- 11 | 6. **Upon proof from Defendants** that an *UNREBUTTED* **AFFIDAVIT** does **NOT** 12 | become the judgement, in accordance with legal maxims and principles.
- 13 7. Upon proof from Defendants that they are NOT undisputedly the <u>DEBTORS</u>
 14 in this matter, as evidenced by Exhibits A through TT.
- 15 8. **Upon proof from Defendants** that they are not **undisputedly** the **DEBTORS** in this matter, as evidenced by Exhibits A through TT.
 - 9. **Upon proof from Defendants** that Plaintiffs are NOT the **holders in due course** of <u>all</u> assets, tangible and intangible, registered and unregistered, in accordance with U.C.C. § 3-302.
- 20 | 10.**Upon proof from Defendants** that Plaintiffs are NOT the Real Party(ies) in
 21 | Interest, holder(s) in due course, Creditor(s), and hold allodial tittle to **any and**22 | **all** assets, registered or unregistered, tangible or intangible, as evidence by
 23 | Exhibits A through xx.
- 24 | 11. Upon proof from Defendants that Plaintiffs do NOT have <u>'standing'</u> as
 25 | <u>evidenced</u> by UCC1 filing #2024385925-4 and #2024385935-1, and UCC3 filing
 26 | #2024402433-7 and 2024411182-7 (Exhibits A, B, C, and D).
- 27 | 12. **Upon proof from Defendants** that Plaintiffs do NOT have <u>'standing'</u> as <u>evidenced</u> by GRANT DEED recorded in Official Records County of Riverside, DOC #2024-0291980,

1	APN: 957-570-005, File No.: 37238 KH, where the private trust property is titled to ' <u>WG</u>	
2	Private Irrevocable Trust, dated Febraury 7, 2022' (Exhibit E).	
3	13. Upon proof from Defendants that the American Bar Association's website does NOT	
4	state, "The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf is commonly	
5	referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your	
6	agent can take any action permitted in the document." (See Exhibit SS).	
7	14. Upon proof from Defendants that the Constitution does NOT state "No State	
8	shall pass any Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts."	
9	15. Upon proof from Defendants that the Plaintiffs have NOT submitted a valid	
10	'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact' (Exhibit H), which allows their Attorney-	
11	In-Fact to take <u>any</u> action permitted in the document.	
12	16. Upon proof from Defendants that the Defendants are NOT barred from	
13	arguing the finality of any of the findings in accordance with res judicata,	
14	stare decisis, and collateral estoppel.	
15	17. Upon proof from Defendants that <i>they</i> are NOT required by Court rules to files	
16	their motions <i>jointly</i> , and that Failure to file jointly without express leave does	
17	NOT constitute a procedural violation and breach of duty under contractual	
18	principles.	
19	18. Upon proof from Defendants that Defendants' Motion should NOT be stricken	
20	and Defendants sanctioned, willful filing non-compliance for 'cases with multiple	
21	<u>defendants.'</u>	
22	XIX. If Neil J. Cooper, Their Counsel, and Defendants fail to	
23	Perform and provide Verified Evidence and proof of the above	
24	If Defendants fail to substantiate or provide proof of the above, then in accordance	
25	with contract law, established precedent, legal maxims, and fundamental	
26	principles, default and summary judgment is due immediately, as a matter of	
27	law. Plaintiffs respectfully request and demand that this Court grant summary	
28	judgment without hearing , impose sanctions against Defendants , and award One	

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

defending against this frivolous, baseless, meritless, slanderous, and defamatory motion and for the egregious violations committed by Neil J. Cooper, their Counsel, and Defendants.

Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000) in legal costs and fees to Plaintiffs for

SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS DUE AS A MATTER OF LAW XX.

- Unrebutted Affidavits Establish No Disputed Facts: Plaintiffs' affidavits were submitted in good faith and stand as truth in commerce. These affidavits were served upon Defendants, providing sufficient notice and opportunity to rebut or contest the assertions therein. Defendants' failure to respond or dispute the affidavits results in a legal presumption of their validity. As a matter of law, an affidavit that is unrebutted is deemed admitted and undisputed, thereby precluding any triable issue of fact.
 - Pursuant to **Res Judicata**, the unrebutted affidavits have the same force and effect as a **judgment** and are now binding upon Defendants.
 - Under the principle of **Stare Decisis**, *binding* **precedent** affirms that undisputed affidavits establish facts conclusively in a civil proceeding.
 - Collateral Estoppel bars Defendants from re-litigating any issue previously resolved by the unrebutted affidavits, as they have failed to raise a substantive dispute within the prescribed timeframes.
- **Defendants' Failure to Produce Contradictory Evidence:**
 - Defendants have neither provided competent evidence to dispute Plaintiffs' claims nor identified any material fact requiring trial. Plaintiffs' affidavits, contracts, and supporting documents collectively establish the absence of any genuine dispute. Without contradictory evidence or a triable issue, Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
- **Judicially Recognized Finality of Affidavits**: Courts have long held that when affidavits are left unrebutted, they stand as truth and are accepted as fact. See Morris v. National Cash Register Co., 44 Cal. App. 2d 811, 813

$_{5}\parallel_{4}$

22 | 23 |

24 |

(1941), which confirms that undisputed evidence is sufficient to warrant summary judgment. Additionally, under Federal and State Rules of Evidence, facts established by affidavit are considered *binding* when no counter-affidavit is provided.

4. Supported by Principles of Equity and Law:

- Equity: It would be inequitable to allow Defendants to delay proceedings
 when they have failed to rebut or contest the factual assertions of Plaintiffs'
 affidavits.
- Law: Plaintiffs have satisfied the procedural and substantive requirements for summary judgment, including providing sufficient admissible evidence to establish their claims.
- 5. California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(c): Under California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(c), summary judgment is appropriate when "there is no triable issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." The undisputed facts of this case, as evidenced by the *unrebutted* affidavits submitted by Plaintiffs, demonstrate and evidence that no triable issues of material fact remain.
- 6. Defendants have failed to controvert or respond to Plaintiffs' verified affidavits with competent evidence, thereby rendering the affidavits conclusive and **binding** under both statutory and case law, *res judicata*, *stare decisis*, *collateral estoppel*.
- 7. Plaintiffs have unequivocally demonstrated that all material facts are undisputed, and the applicable law mandates judgment in their favor. Based on the evidence presented, and pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(c), Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant summary judgment in their favor as a matter of law.
- 8. Since the Defendants have failed to rebut the contents of the various affidavits, the Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment as <u>a matter of law</u>.

9. As such, the Court should <u>sua sponte</u> recognize the *undisputed* validity of all of the Plaintiffs' position and grant summary judgment in their favor, *without* the need for a hearing

XXI. <u>LEGAL PRINCIPLES SUPPORTING PLAINTIFFS'</u> <u>CLAIMS, REQUESTS, AND DEMANDS</u>

In support of this <u>Verified</u> **DEMAND** as a matter of law, without hearing, Plaintiffs cite the following established legal standards, legal maxims, precedent, and *principles*:

- Unrebutted Affidavits as Judgment in Commerce: Plaintiffs' unrebutted
 affidavits are binding truth under the maxim, "An unrebutted affidavit
 becomes the judgment in commerce."
- Res Judicata and Collateral Estoppel: Defendants are <u>barred</u> from contesting
 the finality of Plaintiffs' claims under the doctrines of res
 judicata and collateral estoppel, as all material facts and claims have been
 resolved conclusively.
- Breach of U.C.C. Obligations and Presumed Dishonor: Defendants' dishonor and default are evidenced by their failure to fulfill obligations defined by U.C.C. § 3-505 and other applicable statutes <u>ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER</u>

 THE LAW. (God's Law Moral and Natural Law). Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. 'No one is above the law.'
- IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE

 EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: 'To lie is to go against the mind.'
- TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
 - <u>IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN.</u> (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John 8:32; II Cor. 13:8) Truth is sovereign -- and the Sovereign tells only the truth.

7

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE. (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). 'He who does not deny, admits.'

- "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may be accepted as true by the trial court." -- Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
 - See, Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 (1952)., "Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time permitted," thus, under the specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the facts in question were deemed admitted as true. Failure to answer is well established in the court. Beasley v. U. S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948)., "I, therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted." Also as previously referenced, "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing party's affidavit or pleadings may[must] be accepted as true by the trial court." --Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976).
- 'The state cannot diminish Rights of the people." Hurtado vs. California, 110 US 516.
- "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." – AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406 F2d 137 t.
- "When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
- "Judges not only can be sued over their official acts, but could be held liable for injunctive and declaratory relief and attorney's fees." Lezama v. Justice Court, A025829.

• "Immunity **fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility** while liability promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the government to its people." (Civil Rights) **Rabon vs Rowen Memorial Hospital, Inc.** 269 N.S. 1, 13, 152 SE 1 d 485, 493.

- "Ignorance of the law does **not** excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
- "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel (1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817; People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard (1929), 98 C.A. 33, 276 P. 368.
- "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that **ignorance of the** law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 421, 84 P. 332.
- "the people, not the States, are sovereign." Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419, 2 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793).
- HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT. (Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) -- Legal maxim: 'He who does not repel a wrong when he can occasions it.'
- AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). 'There is nothing left to resolve.'

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request and demand that this Court grant Plaintiffs' Demand for Summary Judgment as *a matter of law*, *without* the need for a hearing, in favor of the Plaintiffs. Failure to do so would require the Court to act contrary to the Uniform Commercial Code, the United States Code, established contract law, legal maxims, equitable principles, and the Constitution. Plaintiffs further request and demand that the Court recognize Defendants' clear and obvious silent acquiesce, tacit

agreement, and **tacit procuration**, and their *willful* failure to lawfully rebut the binding verified affidavits and contract security agreements, thereby necessitating immediate judgment in Plaintiffs' favor. Additionally, Plaintiffs 3 request that this Court strike Defendants' motion and filings from the record and impose sanctions for their willful non-compliance, procedural misconduct, and bad faith attempts to obstruct justice. 8 9 **LIST OF EXHIBITS / EVIDENCE:** 10 1. Exhibit A: UCC1 filing #2024385925-4. 2. Exhibit B: UCC1 filing #2024385935-1. 13 3. Exhibit C: UCC1 filing #2024402433-7. 4. Exhibit D: UCC1 filing #2024411182-7. 14 5. Exhibit E: GRANT DEED recorded in Official Records County of Riverside, DOC 15 16 #2024-0291980, APN: 957-570-005, File No.: 37238 KH, where the private trust property is titled to 'WG Private Irrevocable Trust, dated Febraury 7, 2022.' 17 18 6. Exhibit F: Affidavit: Power of Attorney in Fact. 7. Exhibit G: DEED OF TRUST #0000000000788382476307152022. 19 20 8. Exhibit H: <u>Library of Congress Certified Copy</u> of The Public Statutes at Large of the United 21 States of America from March 1933 to June 1934: House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 22 1933, Public Law 73-10. 23 9. Exhibit I: Contract Security Agreement #9589071052700983677494. 24 10. Exhibit J: Contract Security Agreement #EI948566806US. 25 11. Exhibit K: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592042US. 12. Exhibit L: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592201US/ Affidavit Certificate of 26 Dishonor, Non-response, DEFAULT, JUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION, 27

-30 of 43-

28

#RF661592201US.

Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025

- 1 | 13. **Exhibit M**: Form 3811 corresponding to Exhibit L.
- 2 | 14. Exhibit N: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592802US.
- 3 | 15. **Exhibit O**: Form 3811 corresponding to Exhibit N.
- 4 | 16. Exhibit P: INVOICE/TRUE BILL #SIERRPHHDISHONOR13.
- 5 | 17. Exhibit Q: Registered BILL OF EXCHANGE #RF661591285US.
- 6 | 18. **Exhibit R:** LETTER OF CREDIT, #<mark>RF661591308US</mark>.
- 7 | 19. Exhibit S: Private Post Registered (with U.S. Treasury) \$200,000,000,000.00 USD 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND BOND,' #RF372320890US.
- 9 | 20. **Exhibit** T: 2022 form 1099-A, for \$669,595.
- 10 | 21. **Exhibit U**: 2022 form 1099-C, for \$669,595.
- 11 | 22. **Exhibit V**: 2022 form 1099-OID, for \$669,595.
- 12 ∥ 23. **Exhibit W**: 2022 form 1099-A, for \$647,200.
- 13 | 24. **Exhibit X**: 2022 form 1099-C, for \$647,200.
- 14 | 25. **Exhibit Y**: 2022 form 1099-OID, for \$647,200
- 15 | 26. **Exhibit Z**: 2024 form 1099-A, for \$700,000.
- 16 | 27. **Exhibit AA**: 2024 form 1099-OID, for \$700,000
- 17 | 28.**Exhibit BB:** \$1,023,416.35 face value 'BUYER'S FINAL SETTLEMENT STATEMENT.'
- 18 | 29. Exhibit CC: Signed copy of the 'Affidavit of WALKER TODD.
- 19 | 30. **Exhibit DD:** NOTE #000+1365377+9+1-3 DATED JULY 15, 2022.
- 20 31. **Exhibit EE:** PASSPORT **#A39235161** (this DOCUMENT *unequivocally* evidences and demonstrates that the holder is a 'national).
- 22 32. Exhibit FF: Copy of 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. (DEFENDANTS are wards of the court: 18 USC 8).
- 24 | 33.Exhibit EE: PASSPORT #A39235161 (this DOCUMENT *unequivocally* evidences and
- 25 demonstrates that the holder is a 'national).
- 26 34. Exhibit FF: Copy of 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7
- 27 C.J.S. (DEFENDANTS are wards of the court: 18 USC 8).
- 28 | 35. Exhibit GG: Service of '<u>VERIFIED</u> COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, BREACH OF

Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025

1	CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AS A
2	MATTER OF LAW', via email on December 18, 2024 at 7:07pm.
3	36. Exhibit HH: Service of [AMENDED] <u>VERIFIED</u> COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, BREACH
4	OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AS
5	A MATTER OF LAW', via email on January 10, 2025 at 7:07pm.
6	37. Exhibit II: USPS form 3811 for Service of, 'VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD,
7	BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY
8	JUDGEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW', via Registered Mail #RF775820935US.
9	38.Exhibit JJ: USPS form 3811 for Service of, '[AMENDED] VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR
10	FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY
11	JUDGEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW', via Registered Mail #RF775821746US
12	39. Exhibit KK: Email sent to Plaintiffs by Joseph Moran on December 14, 2023 at 7:50am,
13	instructing all Defendants <i>dishonorably</i> ignore Plaintiffs, silently acquiesce , and
14	tacitly agree.
15	40. Exhibit LL: USPS Form 3811 corresponding to Registered Mail #RF775821074US,
16	which evidences Respondents/Defendants have unequivocally received Plaintiffs'/
17	Real Party in Interest's filings, confirming proper service and delivery.
18	41. Exhibit MM: USPS Form 3811 corresponding to Express Mail #ER126149761US, which
19	evidences Respondents/Defendants have unequivocally received Plaintiffs'/Real
20	Party in Interest's filings, confirming proper service and delivery.
21	42. Exhibit NN: PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND [MOTION] FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND
22	PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, DEMAND [MOTION] FOR
23	DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS <u>A MATTER OF</u>
24	<u>LAW</u> WITHOUT HEARING.
25	43. Exhibit OO: NOTICE OF FILING OF <u>VERIFIED</u> AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE
26	PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> <u>DEMAND</u> FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND
27	PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND VERIFIED DEMAND FOR
28	DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS <u>A MATTER OF</u>

-32 of 43
PLANTIFFS VERHIBED CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT PHIN MORTGAGES NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DESMISS AND VERHIBED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS, AND VERHIBED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADDICATED. IN WITHOUT HEARING

LAW WITHOUT HEARING. 1 2 44. Exhibit PP: VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS PLAINTIFFS' 3 **VERIFIED** DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND VERIFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND 4 SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS A MATTER OF LAW WITHOUT 5 HEARING. 6 7 45.Exhibit QQ: PLAINTIFFS' DECLINE OF CONSENT TO BE HEARD BY A 8 'MAGISTRATE JUDGE' AND DEMAND FOR AN ARTICLE III JUDGE. 46. Exhibit RR: DECLINED NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE 9 10 AND DECLINATION OF CONSENT. 47.Exhibit SS: A copy of the American Bar Association's official website affirming the 11 12 validity of a 'power of attorney'. 13 48.Exhibit TT: A copy of Rule 8.4 of the Bar Association, which clearly outlines the 14 prohibition of dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation. 15 **WORDS DEFINED GLOSSARY OF TERMS:** 16 As used in this Affidavit, the following words and terms are as defined in this 17 section, non-obstante: 18 19 20

1. Attorney: Strictly, one who is designated to transact business for another; a legal agent. — Also termed attorney-in-fact; private attorney. 2. A person who practices law; LAWYER. Also termed (in sense 2) attorney-at-law; public attorney. A person who is appointed by another and has authority to act on behalf of another. *See also* POWER OF ATTORNEY. See, Black's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, pages 392-393, Oxford Dictionary or Law, 5th Edition, page 38, American Bar Association's website.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. Attorney-in-fact: A private attorney authorized by another to act in his place and stead, either for some particular purpose, as to do a particular act, or for the transaction of business in general, not of a legal character. This authority is

conferred by an instrument in writing, called a "letter of attorney," or more
commonly a "power of attorney." A person to whom the authority of another
who is called the constituent , is by him lawfully delegated. The term is
employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter
of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to
be performed; but in a more extended sense it includes all other agents
employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another. Bacon
Abr. Attorney; Story, Ag. § 25. All persons who are capable of acting for
themselves, and even those who are disqualified from acting in their own
capacity, if they have sufficient understanding, as infants of proper age, and
femes coverts, may act as attorney of other. The person named in a power of
attorney to act on your behalf is commonly referred to as your "agent" or
"attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take any
action permitted in the document See Bouvier's Law Dictionary, volumes
1,2, and 3, page 282, Blacks Law Dictionary 1, 2nd, 8th, pages 105, 103, and 392
respectively, and the American Bar Association's website on 'Power of
Attorney' and 'Attorney-In-Fact'

3. **financial institution:** a **person**, an **individual**, a **private banker**, a business engaged in vehicle sales, including automobile, airplane, and boat sales, persons involved in real estate closings and settlements, the United States Postal Service, a commercial bank or trust company, any credit union, an agency of the United States Government or of a State or local government carrying out a duty or power of a business described in this paragraph, a broker or dealer in securities or commodities, a currency exchange, or a business engaged in the exchange of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency or funds, financial agency, a loan or finance company, an issuer, redeemer, or cashier of travelers' checks, checks, money orders, or similar instruments, an operator of a credit card system, an insurance company, a licensed sender of money or any other person who engages as a business in the transmission of

4

5

6

7

9

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency, including any person who engages as a business in an informal money transfer system or any network of people who engage as a business in facilitating the transfer of money domestically or internationally outside of the conventional financial institutions system. Ref, 31 U.S. Code § 5312 - Definitions and application.

- 4. **individual:** As a noun, this term denotes a single **person** as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it **may**, in proper cases, include **artificial persons**. As an adjective: Existing as an indivisible entity. Of or relating to a single person or thing, as opposed to a group.— <u>See Black's Law Dictionary 4th, 7th, and 8th Edition pages 913, 777, and 2263 respectively.</u>
- 5. person: Term may include artificial beings, as corporations. The term means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, public corporation, or any other legal or commercial entity. The term "person" shall be construed to mean and include an individual, a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or The term "person" means a natural person or an organization. -Artificial persons. Such as are created and devised by law for the purposes of society and government, called "corporations" or bodies politic." -Natural persons. Such as are formed by nature, as distinguished from artificial persons, or corporations. -Private person. An individual who is not the incumbent of an office. Persons are divided by law into natural and artificial. Natural persons are such as the God of nature formed us; artificial are such as are created and devised by human laws, for the purposes of society and government, which are called "corporations" or "bodies politic." – See Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) § 1-201, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 4th edition pages 892, 895, and 1299, respectively, 27 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 72.11 - Meaning of terms, and 26 United States Code (U.S. Code) § 7701 - Definitions.

- 6. bank: a person engaged in the business of banking and includes a savings bank, savings and loan association, credit union, and trust company. The terms "banks", "national bank", "national banking association", "member bank", "board", "district", and "reserve bank" shall have the meanings assigned to them in section 221 of this title. An institution, of great value in the commercial world, empowered to receive deposits of money, to make loans. and to issue its promissory notes, (designed to circulate as money, and commonly called "bank-notes" or "bank-bills") or to perform any one or more of these functions. The term "bank" is usually restricted in its application to an incorporated body; while a private individual making it his business to conduct banking operations is denominated a "banker." Banks in a commercial sense are of three kinds, to wit; (1) Of deposit; (2) of discount; (3) of circulation. Strictly speaking, the term "bank" implies a place for the deposit of money, as that is the most obvious purpose of such an institution. See, UCC 1-201, 4-105, 12 U.S. Code § 221a, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, 4th, 7th, and 8th, pages 117-118, 116-117, 183-184, 139-140, and 437-439.
- 7. **discharge:** To cancel or unloose the obligation of a contract; to make an agreement or contract null and inoperative. Its principal species are rescission, release, accord and satisfaction, performance, judgement, composition, bankruptcy, merger. As applied to demands claims, right of action, incumbrances, etc., to discharge the debt or claim is to extinguish it, to annul its obligatory force, to satisfy it. And here also the term is generic; thus a dent, a mortgage. As a noun, the word means the act or instrument by which the binding force of a contract is terminated, irrespective of whether the contract is carried out to the full extent contemplated (in which case the discharge is the result of performance) or is broken off before complete execution. See, Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, page.
- 8. **pay:** To *discharge* a debt; to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or in goods, for his acceptance. To pay is to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or In goods, for his acceptance, by which the debt is discharged. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 3rd edition, pages 880, 883, and 1339 respectively.
- 9. payment: The performance of a duty, promise, or obligation, or discharge of a debt or liability. by the delivery of money or other value. Also the money or thing so delivered. Performance of an obligation by the delivery of money or some other valuable thing accepted in partial or full discharge of the obligation. [Cases: Payment 1. C.J.S. Payment § 2.] 2. The money or other valuable thing so delivered in

1		satisfaction of an obligation. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st and 8th edition, pages 880-811 and
2		3576-3577, respectively.
3	10.	may: An auxiliary verb qualifying the meaning of another verb by expressing ability, competency,
4		liberty, permission, probability or contingency Regardless of the instrument, however, whether
5		constitution, statute, deed, contract or whatnot, courts not infrequently construe "may" as "shall" or
6		"must". — See Black's :aw Dictionary, 4th Edition page 1131.
7	11.	extortion: The term "extortion" means the obtaining of property from another, with his consent,
8		induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official
9		right. — See 18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Interference with commerce by threats or violence.
10	12.	national: "foreign government", "foreign official", "internationally protected person", "international
11		organization", "national of the United States", "official guest," and/or "non-citizen national." They all
12		have the same meaning. See Title 18 U.S. Code § 112 - Protection of foreign officials, official guests, and
13		internationally protected persons.
14	13.	United States: For the purposes of this Affidavit, the terms "United States" and "U.S." mean only the
15		Federal Legislative Democracy of the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American
16		Samoa, and any other Territory within the "United States," which entity has its origin and jurisdiction
17		from Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17-18 and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the Constitution for the
18		United States of America. The terms "United States" and "U.S." are NOT to be construed to mean or include
19		the sovereign, <u>u</u> nited 50 <u>s</u> tates of America.
20	14.	fraud: deceitful practice or Willful device, resorted to with intent to deprive another of his right, or in
21		some manner to do him an injury. As distinguished from negligence, it is always positive, intentional.
22		as applied to contracts is the cause of an error bearing on material part of the contract, created or
23		continued by artifice, with design to obtain some unjust advantage to the one party, or to cause an
24		inconvenience or loss to the other. in the sense of court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions,
25		and concealments which involved a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly

28

26

27

and concealments which involved a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly

reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of

another. See Black's Law Dictionary, 1st and 2nd Edition, pages 521-522 and 517 respectively.

- 1	
1	15. color: appearance, semblance. or simulacrum, as distinguished from that which
2	is real. A prima facie or apparent right. Hence, a deceptive appearance; a
3	plausible, assumed exterior, concealing a lack of reality; a a disguise or pretext.
4	See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 222.
5	16. colorable: That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be.
6	See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 2223
7	//
8	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
9	County of Riverside)
0) Commercial Oath and Verification
11	The State of California)
12	I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding
13	in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true,
14	correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief
15	under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of
16	Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>21ST</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u> in the year of
17	Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:
18	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
19	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.
20	By: Join Walo
21	Kevin Walker, Authorized Representative,
22	Attorney-In-Fact, Secured Party, Executor, national, private bank(er)
23	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
24	County of Riverside)
25) Commercial Oath and Verification
26	The State of California)
27	I, DONNABELLE MORTEL, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath
28	proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein
	29 -544

1	are true, correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge
2	and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS
3	Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>21ST</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u> ir
4	the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:
5	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
6	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.
7	By: Jo En W
8	Donnabelle Mortel, Authorized Representative,
9	Attorney-In-Fact, Secured Party,Executor, national, private bank(er,
10	Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be
11	established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen,
12	take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two
13	or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every
14	word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.
15	Sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,
16	By: Mt. Mullitu Job Steven MacArthur-Brooks (WITNESS)
17	Steven WacArtnur-brooks (VVITNESS)
18	Sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,
19	By: Down & Good Wolfen
20	Corey Walker (WITNESS)
21	PROOF OF SERVICE
22	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
23) ss.
24	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
25	I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within
26	action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, care of: 30650 Rancho

LINTEFF STERRILL (ORDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT FIRST MORE GAGE F NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DIBLISS AND STERRILL OF MAND FOR CRIMINAL ENGIGEMENT, MACTION, AND STREET, AND TORRIBOTION MAND FOR REPORTS AND ADMINISTRA

27 California Road suite #406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On February 22, 2025,

28 I served the within documents:

1	1. PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT PHH
2	MORTGAGES' NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS AND
3	PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT,
4	SANCTIONS, AND PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND
5	SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u> , <i>WITHOUT</i> HEARING.
6	2. Exhibits A through TT.
7	By United States Mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package
8	addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below by placing the envelope for
9	collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily
10	familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence
11	for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and
12	mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States
13	Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepared. I am a resident or
14	employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was
15	placed in the mail in Riverside County, California, and sent via Registered Mail
16	with a form 3811.
17	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT
18	5470 Twelith Street, Room 154
19	Riverside, California [92501-3801] Registered Mail #RF775822959US
20	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies)
21	C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT 95 Seventh Street See Francisco California 194103 15361
22	San Francisco, California [94103-1526] Registered Mail #RF775822962US
23	In and D. Mallan welli Dave David: A contial Educionalism
24	James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
25	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001]
26	Registered Mail #RF775822976US
27	Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia
28	Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD

-40 of 43
PLAINTIFFS VERHIBED CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT FIRM MORTGAGES NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS AND VERHIBED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS, AND VERHIBED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADDRESS, WITHOUT HEARING

	Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025
1	INVESTMENTS 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150
2	Folsom, California [95630] Registered Mail #RF775822980US
3	Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997) C/o HOUSER LLP
4	9970 Research Drive Irvine, California [92618]
5	Registered Mail #RF775822993US
6	Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP
7	621 Capital Mall, suite 900 Sacramento, California [95814]
8	Registered Mail #RF775822980US
9	Paul Gustafson, C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE
10	SÉRVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION. 3000 Leadenhall Road
12	Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054 Registered Mail #RF775822993US
13	Devin Ormonde, C/o PRIME RECON LLC
14	27368 Via Industria, Suite 201 Temecula, California [92590]
15	Registered Mail #RF775823000US
16	On February 21, 2025, I served the within documents by Electronic Service.
17	Based on a court order and/or an agreement of the parties to accept service by
18	electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the
19	electronic notification addresses listed below.
20	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, California [92501-3801]
21	3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, California [92501-3801]
22	optour consents caed. ascourts.gov - misprision of relong obligation
23	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT
24	95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California [94103-1526]
25 26	emergency@ca9.uscourts.gov - misprision of felony obligation
27	James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West
28	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] Police-Practices@doj.ca.gov - misprision of felony obligation
-	-41 of 43-
	PLAINTEPS VEHIELD CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT FIIII MORTGAGES NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS, AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS, AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT, SANCTIONS AND VEHIELD DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY ADJECTMENT AND VEHICLE AND V

Registered Mail #RF775822959US — Dated: February 21, 2025 Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia 1 Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm 2 C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD **INVESTMENTS** 3 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150 4 Folsom, California [95630] amir.sabet@spmc.com joseph.moran@spmc.com 5 loanservicingqueue@spmc.com 6 christian.gault@spmc.com amanda.coffrini@spmc.com 7 john.goulding@spmc.com brian.mcginley@spmc.com 8 virginia.erbes@spmc.com corey.moore@spmc.com 9 drew.fuerstenberger@spmc.com Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997) C/o HOUSER LLP 10 11 9970 Research Drive Irvine, California [92618] 12 ncooper@houser-law.com dfoster@wilkefleurv.com 13 snicholson@wilkefleury.com 14 Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP 15 621 Capital Mall, suite 900 Sacramento, California [95814] 16 dfoster@wilkefleury.com snicholson@wilkefleury.com 17 Paul Gustafson. 18 C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE SÉRVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION. 19 3000 Leadenhall Road Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054] 20 relationshipmanager@mortgagefamily.com 21 Devin Ormonde, Fiduciary(ies) C/o PRIME RECON LLC 22 27368 Via Industria, Suite 201 Temecula, California [92590] 23 joseph.moran@spmc.com 24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California 25 that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 21, 2025 in Riverside 26 County, California. 27 /s/Corey Walker/ Corey Walker 28

-42 of 43-

1	NOTICE:
2	Using a notary on this document does <i>not</i> constitute any adhesion, <i>nor does it alter</i>
3	my status in any manner. The purpose for notary is verification and identification
4	only and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction.
5	//
6	//
7	
8	
9	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
10	State of California) A notary public or other officer completing this certificate
11	verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.
12	County of Riverside)
13	On this <u>21st</u> day of <u>February</u> , <u>2025</u> , before me, <u>Joyti Patel</u> , a Notary Public,
14	personally appeared Kevin Walker, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory
15	evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within
16	instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/
17	her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the
18	instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted,
19	executed the instrument.
20	I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California
21	that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.
22	WITNESS my hand and official seal. JOYTI PATEL JOYTI PATEL
23	Notary Public - California Riverside County Commission # 2407742
24	My Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026
25	Signature (Seal)
26	
27	
0	

1 Kevin Walker, sui juris, In Propria Persona Donnabelle Mortel, sui juris, In Propria Persona C/o 30650 Rancho California Road #406-251 3 Temecula, California [92591] non-domestic without the United States Email: team@walkernovagroup.com 5 Attorney-In-Fact, Executor, and Authorized Representative, 6 for Real Party(ies) in Interest/Plaintiff(s) TMKEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, TMWG EXPRESS© TRUST TMKEVIN WALKER©, TMDONNABELLE MORTEL© ESTATE 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION 10 Case No.: 5:25-cv-00339-JGB-DTB TMKEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, TMDONNABELLE MORTEL© ESTATE, 11 TMKEVIN WALKER© IRR TRUST, TMWG PLAINTIFFS' **VERIFIED** NOTICE OF EXPRESS TRUST©, JUDICIAL FRAUD, CONSPIRACY, 12 **DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER** Real Party(ies) in Interest, Plaintiff(s), COLOR OF LAW, VIOLATION OF 13 **DUE PROCESS, AND WAR AGAINST** 14 THE CONSTITUTION AND THE Jay Promisco, Joseph Moran, Christian PEOPLE. Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, 15 John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia 16 Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm, James E. Coffrini, Paul 17 Gustafson, Devin Ormonde, SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC, 18 GREENHEAD INVESTMENTS INC, 19 PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, PRIME RECON LLC, Does 1-100 Inclusive 20 Defendant(s). 21 PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED NOTICE OF JUDICIAL FRAUD, CONSPIRACY, 22 23 DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, AND WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND THE PEOPLE 24 COMES NOW, Plaintiffs TMKEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, TMDONNABELLE 25 26 MORTEL© ESTATE, ™KEVIN WALKER© IRR TRUST, ™WG EXPRESS TRUST© (hereinafter "Plaintiff(s)" and or "Real Party(ies) in Interest"), by and through their 27

Attorney(s)-in-Fact, Kevin: Walker and Donnabelle: Mortel, who are both

proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, and by Special Limited Appearance. Kevin and Donnabelle are natural freeborn Sovereigns and state Citizens of California and Washington the republic in its De'jure capacity as one of the several 3 states of the Union 1789. Kevin and Donnabelle are each one of the people. This incidentally makes them both a national of the republic as per the De'Jure 5 Constitution for the United States 1777/1789. Plaintiffs, acting through their Attorney(s)-in-Fact, assert their unalienable right to contract, as secured by Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution, which states: "No State shall... pass any Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts." and thus which *prohibits* states from impairing the obligation of **contracts**. This clause unequivocally prohibits states from impairing the obligation of contracts, including but not limited to, a trust and contract agreement as an 12 'Attorney-In-Fact,' and any private contract existing between Plaintiffs and 13 Defendants. A copy of the 'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact,' is attached hereto 14 as Exhibits H and incorporated herein by reference. Plaintiffs further rely on their 15 unalienable and inherent rights under the Constitution and the common law – rights that predate the formation of the state and remain safeguarded by due 17 process of law. 18 'Attorney-in-Fact': Legal Authority and Recognition I. 19 An attorney-in-fact is a private attorney authorized by another to act on their behalf in specific matters, as granted by a power of attorney. This authority can be limited to a 21 22 **specific act** or extend to **general business matters** that are not of a legal character. According to Bouvier's Law Dictionary, Black's Law Dictionary (1st, 2nd, and 8th 23 editions), and the American Bar Association (ABA): 24 An attorney-in-fact derives their authority from a written instrument, 25 commonly referred to as a "power of attorney." 26 A constituent may lawfully delegate authority to an attorney-in-fact to act in 27

their place.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

This designation is distinct from an attorney-at-law, as it pertains to an individual acting under a special agency or letter of attorney for particular actions.

Even individuals who are otherwise disqualified from acting in their own legal capacity, such as minors or married women (historically referred to as femes coverts), may act as an attorney-in-fact for others if they have the necessary understanding.

Black's Law Dictionary defines an attorney-in-fact as follows:

"A person to whom the authority of another, who is called the constituent, is by him lawfully delegated. The term is employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to be performed; but in a more extended sense, it includes all other agents employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another."

The American Bar Association (ABA) further affirms that the individual named in a power of attorney is legally referred to as an agent or attorney-in-fact and has the authority to take any action expressly permitted in the document. The American Bar Association (ABA) official website explicitly states:

"The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf is commonly referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take any action permitted in the document." - See Exhibit SS.

II. Statutory and U.C.C. Recognition of 'Attorney-in-Fact' Authority

The authority of an attorney-in-fact is explicitly recognized in various statutory and commercial codes, reinforcing its binding nature:

U.C.C. § 3-402: Establishes that an authorized representative, including an attorney-in-fact, can bind the principal in contractual and financial transactions.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

- 28 U.S.C. § 1654: Confirms that "parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel", reinforcing the Plaintiffs' right to selfrepresentation and the use of an attorney-in-fact.
- 26 U.S.C. § 2203: Recognizes executors, including attorneys-in-fact, in matters of estate administration and tax liability.
- 26 U.S.C. § 7603: Acknowledges that an attorney-in-fact may lawfully receive and respond to IRS summonses on behalf of the principal.
- 26 U.S.C. § 6903: Confirms that fiduciaries, including attorneys-in-fact, are recognized in tax matters and are legally bound to act in their principal's best interest.
- **26 U.S.C.** § 6036: Establishes that attorneys-in-fact can handle affairs related to the administration of decedent estates and trust entities.
- 26 U.S.C. § 6402: Grants attorneys-in-fact the authority to receive and negotiate tax refunds and credits on behalf of the principal.

Plaintiffs have clearly presented a valid "Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact" (Exhibit H), which lawfully confers upon them the authority to act in this matter. The legal principles established by the UCC and statutory law further reinforce the binding authority of Plaintiffs' affidavits and agreements. Defendants' assertion that a trust cannot be represented by an attorney-in-fact

contradicts well-established statutory, commercial, and legal principles. By denying this legal reality, **Defendants engage in intentional misrepresentation** and mockery of long-standing legal doctrine, further demonstrating their lack of credibility and bad faith in these proceedings

III. **Constitutional Basis:**

- Plaintiffs assert that his private rights are secured and protected under the 25
- Constitution, common law, and exclusive equity, which govern their ability to 26
- freely contract and protect their property and interests..
- Plaintiffs respectfully assert and affirm: 28

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

26

- "The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is *unlimited*. He owes no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." (Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 47 [1905]).
- "The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime." – Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489.
- "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." - Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S.
- "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights." - Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F. 945.
- "A law repugnant to the Constitution is **void**." *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 177 (1803).
- "It is not the duty of the citizen to surrender his rights, liberties, and immunities under the guise of police power or any other governmental power." - Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966).
- "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." – Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886).

IV.

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

V.

23

24

25

26

27

28

"No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law, and no courts are bound to enforce it." - 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 177, Late Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 256.

"Sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts." – Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, 370 (1886).

Supremacy Clause

Plaintiffs assert and affirm that:

The Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, Clause 2) establishes that the Constitution, federal laws made pursuant to it, and treaties made under its authority, constitute the "supreme Law of the Land", and thus take priority over any conflicting state laws. It provides that state courts are bound by, and state constitutions subordinate to, the supreme law. However, federal statutes and treaties must be within the parameters of the Constitution; that is, they must be pursuant to the federal government's enumerated powers, and not violate other constitutional limits on federal power ... As a constitutional provision identifying the supremacy of federal law, the Supremacy Clause assumes the underlying priority of federal authority, albeit only when that authority is expressed in the Constitution itself; no matter what the federal or state governments might wish to do, they must stay within the boundaries of the Constitution.

Factual Basis for this NOTICE

This Notice is submitted for the record and places the Court on formal notice of its continued dishonor, violation of due process, willful misconduct, and collusion to obstruct justice.

1. AFFIDAVITS AND DEMANDS REMAIN UNREBUTTED:

Plaintiffs have submitted multiple verified affidavits and demands, including:

1. PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF DEFENDANT PHH MORTGAGES' NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS

AND PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL
ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS, AND PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND
FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u> ,
WITHOUT HEARING

- 2. <u>VERIFIED</u> Affidavit of Constitutional Authority, Supremacy Clause, American Sovereignty, Federal Jurisdiction, National/Non-Citizen National (State Citizen) Status, Estate Claim, and Rebuttal of All Legal Presumptions.
- 3. PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND <u>VERIFIED</u>

 DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u> WITHOUT HEARING
- 4. VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND VERIFIED DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS A MATTER OF LAW WITHOUT HEARING
- 5. PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO COMPEL DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u>, WITHOUT HEARING, AND ENFORCEMENT OF <u>BINDING</u> DEFAULT
- **2.** These *all* remain **uncontested**, standing as **prima facie evidence and truth in commerce**, and **establishing Defendants' dishonor** under UCC § 3-505.
- 3. JUDICIAL FRAUD & BAD FAITH ACTIONS:
- The Court, acting outside its lawful authority, has failed to adjudicate Plaintiffs' claims as required by law and due process, demonstrating **intentional bad faith**, **bias**, and procedural sabotage.
- 4. CONTINUED JUDICIAL DISHONOR:
 - The Court has refused to acknowledge or act upon the **uncontested affidavits**, the **verified demands for summary judgment**, and the **writ of mandamus**,

1	thereby operating in clear violation of judicial obligations under Rule 56 of the
2	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and multiple U.S. Code provisions.
3	5. VIOLATION OF CLEARFIELD DOCTRINE:
4	The Court, acting in a private corporate capacity rather than as a constitutional
5	judicial body, has demonstrated a failure to adhere to established Supreme Court
6	precedent, including:
7	Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States, 318 U.S. 363 (1943):
8	"Governments descend to the level of mere private corporations when engaging in
9	commercial transactions, and lose their sovereignty, standing only as private entities in
10	relation to their commercial dealings."
11	6. PROOF OF FRAUDULENT COLLUSION & CONSPIRACY:
12	The Court has engaged in intentional misadministration by refusing to issue
13	judgment despite unrebutted filings , as documented in the PACER docket :
14	https://www.pacermonitor.com/case/56782287/Kevin Walker Estate et al v Jay Promisco et al
14 15	https://www.pacermonitor.com/case/56782287/Kevin Walker Estate et al v Jay Promisco et al VI. Legal Basis for Relief
15	VI. <u>Legal Basis for Relief</u>
15 16	VI. <u>Legal Basis for Relief</u> JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW
15 16 17 18	VI. <u>Legal Basis for Relief</u> JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW • 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights
15 16 17	VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law
15 16 17 18	VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights
15 16 17 18 19	VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice 28 U.S.C. § 1361 - Mandamus to Compel an Officer of the United States to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 VI. <u>Legal Basis for Relief</u> JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice 28 U.S.C. § 1361 - Mandamus to Compel an Officer of the United States to Perform a Duty
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 VI. <u>Legal Basis for Relief</u> JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice 28 U.S.C. § 1361 - Mandamus to Compel an Officer of the United States to Perform a Duty Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803):
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice 28 U.S.C. § 1361 - Mandamus to Compel an Officer of the United States to Perform a Duty Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803): "A law repugnant to the Constitution is void."
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 VI. Legal Basis for Relief JUDICIAL FRAUD & CONSPIRACY VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW 18 U.S.C. § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights 18 U.S.C. § 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Civil Action for Deprivation of Rights 28 U.S.C. § 455 - Mandatory Judicial Recusal for Bias or Prejudice 28 U.S.C. § 1361 - Mandamus to Compel an Officer of the United States to Perform a Duty Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803): "A law repugnant to the Constitution is void." Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966):

1	"When there is no genuine issue of material fact, summary judgment must be entered as
2	a matter of law."
3	UCC § 3-505 – Evidence of Dishonor:
4	"A protest is a certificate of dishonor made by a notary public or other authorized
5	person, establishing that presentment has been made and dishonor has occurred."
6	UCC § 1-308 – Reservation of Rights:
7	"A party that performs or accepts performance with explicit reservation of rights does
8	not waive those rights."
9	//
10	VII. <u>DEMAND for Immediate Judgement and Remedy</u>
11	WHEREFORE, given the undisputed fraud, conspiracy, and judicial dishonor,
12	Plaintiffs formally demand the following:
13	1. IMMEDIATE ENTRY OF DEFAULT & SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	The Defendants are in dishonor under UCC § 3-505.
15	The record is uncontested , and judgment must be entered as a matter of
16	law.
17	2. MANDATORY JUDICIAL RECUSAL & FEDERAL INVESTIGATION
18	The presiding judge has demonstrated clear bias, procedural abuse, and
19	obstruction of justice.
20	3. REFERRAL FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION UNDER 18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242
21	The Court's willful deprivation of rights is criminal misconduct .
22	4. CORRECTIVE ACTION BY HIGHER COURT
23	Given the failure of this court to act, an emergency appeal or federal
24	intervention is required.
25	//
26	WARNING: FAILURE TO REMEDY THIS MATTER SHALL CONSTITUTE
27	ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS OF LAW AND FURTHER PROVE
28	INTENTIONAL JUDICIAL COLLUSION & TREASON AGAINST THE PEOPLE.

VIII.

1

3

4

5

Final NOTICE to the COURT

- 2 | This Notice shall serve as a **formal and final warning** before escalation to:
 - The United States Supreme Court
 - The Department of Justice
 - International Human Rights Organizations
 - The United Nations Human Rights Council
- 7 | Failure to act will be treated as additional criminal violations, and Plaintiffs will
- 8 pursue all legal and lawful remedies available, including criminal charges,
- 9 | federal oversight, and judicial impeachment proceedings.

10 |

11

Exhibit List / Evidence:

- 12 | 1. Exhibit A: UCC1 filing #2024385925-4.
- 13 | 2. Exhibit B: UCC1 filing #2024385935-1.
- 14 | 3. Exhibit C: UCC1 filing #2024402433-7.
- 15 | 4. Exhibit D: UCC1 filing #2024411182-7.
- 16 5. Exhibit E: GRANT DEED recorded in Official Records County of Riverside, DOC
- 17 #2024-0291980, APN: 957-570-005, File No.: 37238 KH, where the private trust property
- is titled to 'WG Private Irrevocable Trust, dated Febraury 7, 2022.'
- 19 6. Exhibit F: Affidavit: Power of Attorney in Fact.
- 20 | 7. Exhibit G: DEED OF TRUST #0000000000788382476307152022.
- 21 8. Exhibit H: <u>Library of Congress Certified Copy</u> of The Public Statutes at Large of the United
- 22 | States of America from March 1933 to June 1934: House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5,
- 23 | 1933, Public Law 73-10.
- 24 | 9. Exhibit I: Contract Security Agreement #9589071052700983677494.
- 25 | 10. Exhibit J: Contract Security Agreement #EI948566806US.
- 26 | 11. Exhibit K: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592042US.
- 27 | 12. Exhibit L: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592201US/ Affidavit Certificate of
- 28 Dishonor, Non-response, **DEFAULT**, JUDGEMENT, and **LIEN AUTHORIZATION**,

#RF661592201US. 1

- 13. **Exhibit M**: Form 3811 corresponding to Exhibit L.
- Exhibit N: Contract Security Agreement #RF661592802US. 3
- 15. **Exhibit O**: Form 3811 corresponding to Exhibit N.
- 16. Exhibit P: INVOICE/TRUE BILL #SIERRPHHDISHONOR13.
- 17. Exhibit Q: Registered BILL OF EXCHANGE #RF661591285US.
- 18. Exhibit R: LETTER OF CREDIT, #RF661591308US.
- 8 19. Exhibit S: Private Post Registered (with U.S. Treasury) \$200,000,000,000.00 USD
- 9 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND BOND,' #RF372320890US.
- 20. Exhibit T: 2022 form 1099-A, for \$669,595.
- 21. Exhibit U: 2022 form 1099-C, for \$669,595.
- 22. Exhibit V: 2022 form 1099-OID, for \$669,595.
- 13 23. **Exhibit W**: 2022 form 1099-A, for \$647,200.
- 24. **Exhibit X**: 2022 form 1099-C, for \$647,200. 14
- 25. Exhibit Y: 2022 form 1099-OID, for \$647,200 15
- 16 26. **Exhibit Z**: 2024 form 1099-A, for \$700,000.
- 27. **Exhibit AA**: 2024 form 1099-OID, for \$700,000 17
- 18 28.Exhibit BB: \$1,023,416.35 face value 'BUYER'S FINAL SETTLEMENT STATEMENT.'
- 19 29. Exhibit CC: Signed copy of the 'Affidavit of WALKER TODD.
- 20 30. Exhibit DD: NOTE #000+1365377+9+1-3 DATED JULY 15, 2022.
- 31. Exhibit EE: PASSPORT #A39235161 (this DOCUMENT unequivocally evidences and 21
- 22 demonstrates that the holder is a 'national).
- 23 32. Exhibit FF: Copy of 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7
- C.J.S. (DEFENDANTS are wards of the court: 18 USC 8). 24
- 25 33.Exhibit EE: PASSPORT #A39235161 (this DOCUMENT unequivocally evidences and
- 26 demonstrates that the holder is a 'national).
- 34. Exhibit FF: Copy of 4 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 C.J.S. and 2-3 ATTORNEY & CLIENT 7 27
- 28 C.J.S. (DEFENDANTS are wards of the court: 18 USC 8).

35. Exhibit GG: Service of 'VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AS A 2 3 MATTER OF LAW', via email on December 18, 2024 at 7:07pm. 36. Exhibit HH: Service of [AMENDED] VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AS 5 A MATTER OF LAW', via email on January 10, 2025 at 7:07pm. 7 Exhibit II: USPS form 3811 for Service of, 'VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FRAUD, 8 BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY 9 JUDGEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW', via Registered Mail #RF775820935US. 38.Exhibit JJ: USPS form 3811 for Service of, '[AMENDED] VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR 10 FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT, QUIET TITLE, RACKETEERING, and SUMMARY 11 12 JUDGEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW', via Registered Mail #RF775821746US 13 39. Exhibit KK: Email sent to Plaintiffs by Joseph Moran on December 14, 2023 at 7:50am, instructing all Defendants dishonorably ignore Plaintiffs, silently acquiesce, and 14 15 tacitly agree. 16 40. Exhibit LL: USPS Form 3811 corresponding to Registered Mail #RF775821074US, which evidences Respondents/Defendants have unequivocally received Plaintiffs'/ 17 18 Real Party in Interest's filings, confirming proper service and delivery. 19 41. Exhibit MM: USPS Form 3811 corresponding to Express Mail #ER126149761US, which 20 evidences Respondents/Defendants have **unequivocally received** Plaintiffs'/Real 21 Party in Interest's filings, confirming proper service and delivery. 42. Exhibit NN: PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND [MOTION] FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND 23 PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, DEMAND [MOTION] FOR DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS A MATTER OF 24 LAW WITHOUT HEARING. 25 43. Exhibit OO: NOTICE OF FILING OF VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE 26 PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND 27

28

PROSECUTION OF DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND VERIFIED DEMAND FOR

1	DEFAULT AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS <u>A MATTER OF</u>
2	<u>LAW</u> WITHOUT HEARING.
3	44. Exhibit PP: VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE PLAINTIFFS PLAINTIFFS'
4	<u>VERIFIED</u> DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL REFERRAL AND PROSECUTION OF
5	DEFENDANTS, SANCTIONS, AND <u>VERIFIED</u> DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND
6	SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PLAINTIFFS' FAVOR AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u> WITHOUT
7	HEARING.
8	45. Exhibit QQ: PLAINTIFFS' DECLINE OF CONSENT TO BE HEARD BY A
9	'MAGISTRATE JUDGE' AND DEMAND FOR AN ARTICLE III JUDGE.
10	46. Exhibit RR: DECLINED NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	AND DECLINATION OF CONSENT.
12	47.Exhibit SS: A copy of the American Bar Association's official website affirming the
13	validity of a 'power of attorney'.
14	48. Exhibit TT: A copy of Rule 8.4 of the Bar Association , which clearly outlines the
15	prohibition of dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and misrepresentation.
16	49. Exhibit UU: A copy of PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE OF
17	DEFENDANT PHH MORTGAGES' NOTICE OF MOTION, MOTION TO DISMISS
18	AND PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> DEMAND FOR CRIMINAL ENFORCEMENT,
19	SANCTIONS, AND PLAINTIFFS' <u>VERIFIED</u> DEMAND FOR DEFAULT AND
20	SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AS <u>A MATTER OF LAW</u> , WITHOUT HEARING
21	//
22	
23	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
24	County of Riverside)
25) Commercial Oath and Verification
26	The State of California)
27	I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding
28	in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true

- 1	
1	correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief
2	under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of
3	Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>17TH</u> day of <u>MARCH</u> in the year of
4	Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:
5	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
6	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.
7	By Jan Wall
8	Kevin Walker, Attorney-In-Fact, Secured Party, Executor, national, private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxxx
9	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
10	County of Riverside)
11) Commercial Oath and Verification
12	The State of California)
13	I, DONNABELLE MORTEL, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath
14	proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein
15	are true, correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge
16	and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS
17	Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this $\underline{17TH}$ day of \underline{MARCH} in
18	the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:
19	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
20	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.
21	By: Donnabelle Mortel, Attorney-In-Fact, Secured Party,
22	Executor, national , private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxxx
23	
24	
25	
26	Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be
27	established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen, take one
28	or two others along so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two or three

established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.

10

11 12

14

15

13

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every word be

sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,

By: Con Def

(Witness)

sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,

By: Ath Ma A:

Steven MacArthur-Brooks (Witness)

WORDS DEFINED GLOSSARY OF TERMS:

As used in this Affidavit, the following words and terms are as defined in this section, non-obstante:

Attorney-in-fact: A private attorney authorized by another to act in his place and stead, either for some particular purpose, as to do a particular act, or for the transaction of business in general, not of a legal character. This authority is conferred by an instrument in writing, called a "letter of attorney," or more commonly a "power of attorney." A person to whom the authority of another, who is called the constituent, is by him lawfully delegated. The term is employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to be performed; but in a more extended sense it includes all other agents employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another. Bacon, Abr. Attorney; Story, Ag. § 25. All persons who are capable of acting for themselves, and even those who are disqualified from acting in their own capacity, if they have sufficient understanding, as infants of proper age, and femes coverts, may act as attorney of other. The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf is commonly referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take any action permitted in the document. - See Bouvier's Law Dictionary, volumes 1,2, and 3, page 282, Blacks Law Dictionary 1, 2nd, 8th, pages

5

6

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

105, 103, and 392 respectively, and the American Bar Association's website on 'Power of Attorney' and 'Attorney-In-Fact'

- Attorney: Strictly, one who is designated to transact business for another; a legal agent. – Also termed attorney-in-fact; private attorney. 2. A person who practices law; LAWYER. Also termed (in sense 2) attorney-at-law; public attorney. A person who is appointed by another and has authority to act on behalf of another. See also POWER OF ATTORNEY. See, Black's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, pages 392-393, Oxford Dictionary or Law, 5th Edition, page 38, American Bar Association's website.
- financial institution: a person, an individual, a private banker, a business engaged in vehicle sales, including automobile, airplane, and boat sales, persons involved in real estate closings and settlements, the United States Postal Service, a commercial bank or trust company, any credit union, an agency of the United States Government or of a State or local government carrying out a duty or power of a business described in this paragraph, a broker or dealer in securities or commodities, a currency exchange, or a business engaged in the exchange of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency or funds, financial agency, a loan or finance company, an issuer, redeemer, or cashier of travelers' checks, checks, money orders, or similar instruments, an operator of a credit card system, an insurance company, a licensed sender of money or any other person who engages as a business in the transmission of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency, including any person who engages as a business in an informal money transfer system or any network of people who engage as a business in facilitating the transfer of money domestically or internationally outside of the conventional financial institutions system. Ref, 31 U.S. Code § 5312 - Definitions and application.
- individual: As a noun, this term denotes a single person as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished

6

5.

9

11 12

10

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it **may**, in proper cases, include **artificial persons**. As an adjective: Existing as an indivisible entity. Of or relating to a single person or thing, as opposed to a group.— <u>See Black's Law Dictionary 4th, 7th, and 8th Edition pages 913, 777, and 2263 respectively.</u>

- person: Term may include artificial beings, as corporations. The term means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, public corporation, or any other legal or commercial entity. The term "person" shall be construed to mean and include an individual, a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or corporation. The term "person" means a natural person or an organization. -Artificial persons. Such as are created and devised by law for the purposes of society and government, called "corporations" or bodies politic." -Natural persons. Such as are formed by nature, as distinguished from artificial persons, or corporations. -Private person. An individual who is not the incumbent of an office. Persons are divided by law into natural and artificial. Natural persons are such as the God of nature formed us; artificial are such as are created and devised by human laws, for the purposes of society and government, which are called "corporations" or "bodies politic." - See Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) § 1-201, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 4th edition pages 892, 895, and 1299, respectively, 27 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 72.11 - Meaning of terms, and 26 United States Code (U.S. Code) § 7701 - Definitions.
- 6. bank: a person engaged in the business of banking and includes a savings bank, savings and loan association, credit union, and trust company. The terms "banks", "national bank", "national banking association", "member bank", "board", "district", and "reserve bank" shall have the meanings assigned to them in section 221 of this title. An institution, of great value in the commercial world, empowered to receive deposits of money, to make loans. and to issue its promissory notes, (designed to

10

12 13

11

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 circulate as money, and commonly called "bank-notes" or "bank-bills") or to perform any one or more of these functions. The term "bank" is usually restricted in its application to an incorporated body; while a private individual making it his business to conduct banking operations is denominated a "banker." Banks in a commercial sense are of three kinds, to wit; (1) Of deposit; (2) of discount; (3) of circulation. Strictly speaking, the term "bank" implies a place for the deposit of money, as that is the most obvious purpose of such an institution. - See, UCC 1-201, 4-105, 12 U.S. Code § 221a, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, 4th, 7th, and 8th, pages 117-118, 116-117, 183-184, 139-140, and 437-439.

- discharge:_To cancel or unloose the obligation of a contract; to make an 7. agreement or contract null and inoperative. Its principal species are rescission, release, accord and satisfaction, performance, judgement, composition, bankruptcy, merger. As applied to demands claims, right of action, incumbrances, etc., to discharge the debt or claim is to extinguish it, to annul its obligatory force, to satisfy it. And here also the term is generic; thus a dent, a mortgage. As a noun, the word means the act or instrument by which the binding force of a contract is terminated, irrespective of whether the contract is carried out to the full extent contemplated (in which case the discharge is the result of performance) or is broken off before complete execution. See, Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, page.
- pay: To discharge a debt; to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or in goods, for his acceptance. To pay is to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or In goods, for his acceptance, by which the debt is discharged. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 3rd edition, pages 880, 883, and 1339 respectively.
- payment: The performance of a duty, promise, or obligation, or discharge of a debt or liability. by the delivery of money or other value. Also the money or thing so delivered. Performance of an obligation by the delivery of money or some other valuable thing accepted in partial or full discharge of the obligation. [Cases: Payment

- 1. C.J.S. Payment § 2.] 2. The money or other valuable thing so delivered in satisfaction of an obligation. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st and 8th edition, pages 880-811 and 3576-3577, respectively.
- 10. may: An auxiliary verb qualifying the meaning of another verb by expressing ability, competency, liberty, permission, probability or contingency. Regardless of the instrument, however, whether constitution, statute, deed, contract or whatnot, courts not infrequently construe "may" as "shall" or "must". See Black's :aw Dictionary, 4th Edition page 1131.
- 11. **extortion:** The term "**extortion**" means the obtaining of property from another, **with** his consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right. See 18 U.S. Code § 1951 Interference with commerce by threats or violence.
- 12. **national:** "foreign government", "foreign official", "internationally protected person", "international organization", "national of the United States", "official guest," and/or "non-citizen national." **They all have the same meaning.** See Title 18 U.S. Code § 112

 Protection of foreign officials, official guests, and internationally protected persons.
- 13. **United States:** For the purposes of this Affidavit, the terms "<u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates" and "U.S." *mean only the Federal Legislative Democracy of the District of Columbia*, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and any other Territory within the "United States," which entity has its origin and jurisdiction from Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17-18 and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the Constitution for the United States of America. *The terms* "*United States*" *and* "*U.S.*" *are NOT to be construed to mean or include the sovereign*, <u>united 50 states of America</u>.
- 14. **fraud:** deceitful practice or Willful device, resorted to with intent to deprive another of his right, or in some manner to do him an injury. As distinguished from negligence, it is always positive, intentional. as applied to contracts is the cause of an error bearing on material part of the contract, created or continued by artifice, with design to obtain some unjust advantage to the one party, or to cause an inconvenience or loss to the

other. in the sense of court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions, and concealments which involved a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of another. See Black's Law Dictionary, 1st and 2nd Edition, pages 521-522 and 517 respectively.

- 15. **color:** appearance, semblance. or simulacrum, as distinguished from that which is real. A prima facie or apparent right. Hence, a deceptive appearance; a plausible, assumed exterior, concealing a lack of reality; a a disguise or pretext. See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 222.
- 16. **colorable:** That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be. See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 2223

PROOF OF SERVICE:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, **care of:** 30650 Rancho California Road suite #406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On March 17, 2025, I served the within documents:

- 1. PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED NOTICE OF JUDICIAL FRAUD, CONSPIRACY,
 DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, VIOLATION OF DUE
 PROCESS, AND WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND THE PEOPLE.
- **By United States Mail.** I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below by placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States

1	Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepared. I am a resident or
2	employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was
3	placed in the mail in Riverside County, California, and sent via Registered Mail
4	with a form 3811.
5	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies)
6	C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134
7	Riverside, California [92501-3801] Registered Mail #RF775823058US
8	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT
9	95 Seventh Street
10	San Francisco, California [94103-1526] Registered Mail #RF775823061US
11	
12	James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
13	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001]
14	Registered Mail #RF775823075US
15	Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia
16	Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD
17	INVESTMENTS 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150
18	Folsom, California [95630] Registered Mail #RF775823089US
19	Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997) C/o HOUSER LLP
20	9970 Research Drive
21	Irvine, California [92618] Registered Mail #RF775823092US
22	Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster
23	C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP 621 Capital Mall, suite 900
24	Sacramento, California [95814] Registered Mail #RF775823089US
25	Paul Gustafson,
26	C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION.
27	3000 Leadenhall Road Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054
28	Registered Mail #RF775823092US

	Self-Executing Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775823058US — Dated: 03/17/2025
1 2 3	Devin Ormonde, C/o PRIME RECON LLC 27368 Via Industria, Suite 201 Temecula, California [92590] Registered Mail #RF775823101US
4	On March 17, 2025, I served the within documents by Electronic Service.
5	Based on a court order and/or an <u>agreement of the parties</u> to accept service by
6	electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the
7	electronic notification addresses listed below.
8 9	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, California [92501-3801]
10	optout consent@cacd.uscourts.gov - misprision of felony obligation
11 12 13	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California [94103-1526] emergency@ca9.uscourts.gov - misprision of felony obligation
14 15 16	James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] Police-Practices@doj.ca.gov - misprision of felony obligation
17 18	Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm
19	C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD
20	INVESTMENTS 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150
21	Folsom, California [95630] <u>amir.sabet@spmc.com</u> joseph.moran@spmc.com
22	loanservicingqueue@spmc.com christian.gault@spmc.com
23	amanda.coffrini@spmc.com john.goulding@spmc.com
24	brian.mcginley@spmc.com virginia.erbes@spmc.com
25	corey.moore@spmc.com drew.fuerstenberger@spmc.com
26	Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997)
27 28	C/o HOUSER LLP 9970 Research Drive Irvine, California [92618]
- 1	

	Self-Executing Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775823058US — Dated: 03/17/2025
1 2	ncooper@houser-law.com dfoster@wilkefleury.com snicholson@wilkefleury.com
3	Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP
4	621 Capital Mall, suite 900 Sacramento, California [95814] dfoster@wilkefleury.com
5	snicholson@wilkefleury.com
6 7	Paul Gustafson, C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION.
8	3000 Leadenhall Road Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054] <u>relationshipmanager@mortgagefamily.com</u>
9	
10	Devin Ormonde, Fiduciary(ies) C/o PRIME RECON LLC 27368 Via Industria, Suite 201
11	Temecula, California [92590] joseph.moran@spmc.com
12	,
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California
14	that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 17, 2025 in Riverside County
15	California/s/Corey Walker/
16	Corey Walker
17	//
18	
19	//
20	//
21	//
22	//
23	//
2324	// //
	// // <u>NOTICE:</u>
24	// // // NOTICE: Using a notary on this document does <i>not</i> constitute any adhesion, <i>nor does it alter my</i>
24 25	
242526	Using a notary on this document does <i>not</i> constitute any adhesion, <i>nor does it alter my</i>

-Page 23 of 24PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED NOTICE OF JUDICIAL FRAUD, CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, AND WAR AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION AND THE PEOPLE

1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:		
2	State of California) A notary public or other officer completing this certificate		
3	verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.		
4	County of Riverside)		
5	On this <u>17th</u> day of <u>March</u> , <u>2025</u> , before me, <u>Joyti Patel</u> , a Notary Public,		
6	personally appeared Kevin Walker, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactor		
7	evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within		
8	instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/		
9	her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the		
10	instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted,		
11	executed the instrument.		
12	I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California		
13	that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.		
14		_	
15	WITNESS my hand and official seal. JOYTI PATEL Notary Public - California	Ţ	
16	Riverside County Commission # 2407742 My Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026	ž	
17		4	
18	Signature (Seal)		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ALERT: SEVERE WEATHER IN THE SOUTHEAST AND CENTRAL U.S AND WINTER STORMS IN ...

USPS Tracking[®]

FAQs >

Tracking Number:

Remove X

RF775822959US

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (https://informeddelivery.usps.com/)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 12:13 pm on February 24, 2025 in RIVERSIDE, CA 92501.

Delivered

Delivered, Left with Individual

RIVERSIDE, CA 92501 February 24, 2025, 12:13 pm

See All Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? (https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)

Text & Email Updates	~
Product Information	~
See Less ^	
Track Another Package	
Enter tracking or barcode numbers	

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Clerks, Agent(s) Clerks, Agent(s) Clerks of Court 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, California (9271-384) 	A. Signature X Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) And Addressee C. Date of Delivery And Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) And Addressee C. Date of Delivery And Agent Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) Addressee B. Received by (Printed Name) Addressee No Date of Delivery Addressee D. Is delivery address different from item 1? Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: No	
9590 9402 9404 5002 2681 85 2. Article Number (<i>Transfer from service label</i>) RF 775 823 058 US	3. Service Type ☐ Adult Signature ☐ Adult Signature Restricted Delivery ☐ Certified Mail® ☐ Certified Mail Restricted Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Collect on Delivery ☐ Insured Mail ☐ Insured Mail Restricted Delivery	
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	Domestic Return Receipt	

ALERT: SEVERE WEATHER IN THE SOUTHEAST AND CENTRAL U.S AND WINTER STORMS IN ...

USPS Tracking[®]

FAQs >

Tracking Number:

RF775823058US

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (https://informeddelivery.usps.com/)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 12:35 pm on March 18, 2025 in RIVERSIDE, CA 92501.

Delivered

Delivered, Left with Individual

RIVERSIDE, CA 92501 March 18, 2025, 12:35 pm

See All Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? (https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)

Text & Email Updates	~
Product Information	~
See Less ^	

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

	All control of the co	
1	Kevin Walker, sui juris, In Propria Persona	
2	C/o 30650 Rancho California Road #406-2 Temecula, California [92591]	51
3	non-domestic <i>without</i> the <u>U</u> nited <u>S</u> tates	
4	Email: team@walkernovagroup.com	
5	Attorney-In-Fact, Executor, and Authorized F	Representative,
6	for Real Party(ies) in Interest/Plaintiff(s)	PRESS© TRUST
7	TMKEVIN WALKER©, TMDONNABELLE I	
8		
9	UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALL	
10		Case No.: 5:25-cv-00339-JGB-DTB
11	™KEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, ™DONNABELLE MORTEL© ESTATE,	
12	™KEVIN WALKER© IRR TRUST, ™WG EXPRESS TRUST©,	VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY,
13	Real Party(ies) in Interest, Plaintiff(s),	SUPREMACY CLAUSE, AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY, FEDERAL
	VS.	JURISDICTION, NATIONAL/NON-
14	Jay Promisco, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini,	CITIZEN NATIONAL (STATE CITIZEN) STATUS, ESTATE CLAIM,
15	John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia	AND REBUTTAL OF ALL LEGAL
16	Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew	PRESUMPTIONS.
17	Fuerstenbergerm, James E. Coffrini, Paul Gustafson, Devin Ormonde, SIERRA	
18	PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC,	
19	GREENHEAD INVESTMENTS INC, PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, PRIME	
	RECON LLC, Does 1-100 Inclusive	
20	Defendant(s).	
21		I
22	VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF CONSTIT	<u>UTIONAL AUTHORITY, SUPREMACY</u>
23	<u>CLAUSE, AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY, I</u>	<u>FEDERAL JURISDICTION, NATIONAL/</u>
24	<u>NON-CITIZEN NATIONAL (STATE CIT</u>	TIZEN) STATUS, ESTATE CLAIM, AND
25	<u>REBUTTAL OF ALL LE</u>	EGAL PRESUMPTIONS
26	KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT,	, that I, Kevin: Walker, proceeding <i>sui</i>
27	juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limi	ted Appearance, a man upon the land, a
28	follower of the Almighty Supreme Creator	r. first and foremost and the laws of man

when they are not in conflict (Leviticus 18:3, 4) Pursuant to Matthew 5:33 – 37 and James 5:12, let my yea mean yea and my nay be nay, as supported by Federal Public Law 97-280, 96 Stat.1211, depose and say that I, Kevin: Walker, over 18 years of age, being competent to testify and having first hand knowledge of the facts herein declare (or certify, verify, affirm, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct, to the best of my understanding and belief, and in good faith:

- 1. I, Kevin: Walker, *sui juris*, reserve **all** of my rights without prejudice and without recourse and waive absolutely **none**.
- 2. Be it known to all courts, governments, and other parties, that **I**, **Kevin: Walker**, **am a natural**, **freeborn Sovereign**, without subjects. I am neither subject to any entity anywhere, nor is any entity subject to me. I neither dominate anyone, nor am I dominated.
- 3. I, Kevin, of the Walker Family, one of the people, assert my status as a natural, freeborn, sovereign man on the land, endowed with **inherent**, *unalienable* rights, independent of any government authority beyond that **which derives its just powers from my consent**. *Consequently*, this establishes me as a <u>state Citizen</u> of California, the republic, in its **De'Jure** capacity as one of the several <u>states</u> of the Union (1789). By extension, this also affirms my status as a **national** of the **republic**, as recognized under the **De'Jure** Constitution for the United States (1777/1789).
- 4. These **principles** are enshrined in the **Declaration of Independence**, the **Constitution**, and the **Bill of Rights** and are affirmed by various legal **precedents**.

I. <u>Constitutional Basis:</u>

5. Affiant asserts that his private rights are secured and protected under the **Constitution**, **common law**, and **exclusive equity**, which govern their ability to freely contract and protect their property and interests..

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

6. Affiant respectfully asserts and affirms:

- "The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his **private** business in his own way. **His power to contract is** unlimited. He owes no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." (Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 47 [1905]).
- "The claim and exercise of a constitutional **right cannot** be converted into a crime." – Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d 486, 489.
- "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them." - Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S.
- "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of constitutional rights." — Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F. 945.
- "A law repugnant to the Constitution is **void**." *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 177 (1803).
- "It is not the duty of the citizen to surrender his rights, liberties, and immunities under the guise of police power or any other governmental power." – Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966).
- "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never been passed." — Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886).

"Sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all

government exists and acts." – Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, 370 (1886).

Supremacy Clause

The Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI,

Clause 2) establishes that the Constitution, federal laws made pursuant to it, and

treaties made under its authority, constitute the "supreme Law of the Land", and

thus take priority over any conflicting state laws. It provides that state courts

are bound by, and state constitutions subordinate to, the supreme law. However,

federal statutes and treaties must be within the parameters of the Constitution;

that is, they must be pursuant to the federal government's enumerated powers,

constitutional provision identifying the supremacy of federal law, the Supremacy

Clause assumes the underlying priority of federal authority, albeit only when that

authority is expressed in the Constitution itself; no matter what the federal or

state governments might wish to do, they must stay within the boundaries of the

and not violate other constitutional limits on federal power ... As a

enforce it." — 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 177, Late Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 256.

"No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law, and no courts are bound to

II.

7. Affiants asserts and affirms that:

8

6

1011

12 13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III.

Constitution

Foundation of American Sovereignty

- 8. The Declaration of Independence (1776) proclaims:
 - "Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the <u>consent</u> of the governed."
- 9. This foundational document establishes that the people **are the true sovereigns** of this nation.
- 10. The U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights serve as a contract that binds the government, securing the People's liberties and limiting governmental authority. The Tenth Amendment asserts:

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor
prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to
the people."

This affirms that any power not granted to the federal government remains with the States or the people.

- Congressional Recognition of Americans as 'Sovereigns' IV.
- 11. In his 1947 "I Am an American Day" address, Representative John F. **Kennedy** emphasized the active role <u>C</u>itizens must play in preserving liberty:

"The fires of liberty must be continually fueled by the positive and conscious actions of all of us." (JFKLIBRARY.ORG)

12. Further, Congress formally recognized the significance of American sovereignty through the establishment of "I Am An American Day," later designated as Citizenship Day:

"Whereas it is desirable that the sovereign citizens of our Nation be prepared for the responsibilities and impressed with the significance of their status in our self-governing Republic: Therefore be it Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the third Sunday in May each year be, and hereby is, set aside as Citizenship Day..."

This resolution affirms the foundational principle that sovereignty resides with the people, who are responsible for preserving and exercising their rights and freedoms.

- **SUPREME COURT Affirmations of Sovereignty** V.
- 13. The Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) has repeatedly affirmed that sovereignty resides in the people:
 - Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 419 (1793):
 - "The sovereignty resides in the people... they are truly the sovereigns of the country."

of the United States, owes permanent allegiance to the United States."

27

28

"(A) a citizen of the United States, or (B) a person who, though not a citizen

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17. This distinction is clear: one can be a *national* without being a *citizen* of the United States, reinforcing the concept of sovereignty associated with state citizenship.

Distinction Between "state Citizen" and "citizen of the United States"

- 18. The courts have long recognized that state citizenship and U.S. citizenship are distinct legal statuses:
 - United States v. Anthony (1873)
 - "The Fourteenth Amendment creates and defines citizenship of the United States. It had long been contended, and had been held by many learned authorities, and had never been judicially decided to the contrary, that there was no such thing as a citizen of the United States, except as that condition arose from citizenship of some state."
 - Slaughter-House Cases, 83 U.S. 36 (1872)
 - "It is quite clear, then, that there is a citizenship of the United States and a citizenship of a State, which are distinct from each other and which depend upon different characteristics or circumstances in the individual."
 - United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875)
 - "We have in our political system a Government of the United States and a government of each of the several States. Each one of these governments is distinct from the others, and each has citizens of its own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within its jurisdiction, it must protect."
 - Thomasson v. State, 15 Ind. 449; Cory v. Carter, 48 Ind. 327 (1874); McDonel v. State, 90 Ind. 320 (1883)
 - "One may be a citizen of a State and yet not a citizen of the United States."
 - Tashiro v. Jordan, 201 Cal. 236 (1927)
 - "That there is a citizenship of the United States and a citizenship of a state, and the privileges and immunities of one are not the same as the other is well established by the decisions of the courts of this country."

24

25

26

27

28

Crosse v. Board of Supervisors of Elections, 221 A.2d 431 (1966) "Both before and after the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal

Constitution, it has not been necessary for a person to be a citizen of the United States in order to be a citizen of his state."

Jones v. Temmer, 829 F.Supp. 1226 (USDC/DCO 1993)

"The privileges and immunities clause of the Fourteenth Amendment protects very few rights because it neither incorporates any of the Bill of Rights nor protects all rights of individual citizens... Instead, this provision protects only those rights peculiar to being a citizen of the federal government; it does not protect those rights which relate to state citizenship."

19. The **first clause of the Fourteenth Amendment** states:

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and the state wherein they reside."

20. However, this clause does **NOT** state:

"All persons born or naturalized in the United States, **are subject to** the jurisdiction thereof..."

- 21. This confirms that *United States citizenship* requires **both**:
 - 1. Being born or naturalized in the United States, and
 - 2. Being subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

VIII. Status as "national" / "non-citizen national" (state Citizen)

- 21. The **U.S. Department of State** document, *Certificates of Non-Citizen Nationality* (https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal/travel-legal-considerations/us-citizenship/Certificates-Non-Citizen-Nationality.html), states:
 - "Section 101(a)(21) of the INA defines the term 'national' as 'a person owing permanent allegiance to a state.' Section 101(a)(22) of the INA provides that the term 'national of the United States' includes all U.S. citizens as well as

national of the United States

28. The legal framework and court rulings confirm that:

· official guest

26

27

- One may be a "state Citizen" without being a citizen of the United States."
- The Fourteenth Amendment created *U.S. citizenship*, which is distinct from *state citizenship*.
- A national is someone who owes permanent allegiance to a state, not necessarily to the United States.
- A *national of the United States* could be a *U.S. citizen*, but could also be a *non-citizen national* who owes allegiance without being a U.S. citizen.

Thus, the distinction between *state Citizens* and *U.S. citizens* is a well-established legal principle with profound implications on sovereignty, rights, and legal obligations.

IX. <u>Federal Jurisdiction:</u>

28. It is further relevant to this Affidavit that any violation of my Rights, Freedom, or Property by the U.S. federal government, or any agent thereof, would be an illegal and unlawful excess, clearly outside the limited boundaries of federal jurisdiction. My understanding is that the jurisdiction of the U.S. federal government is defined by Article I, Section 8, Clause 17 of the U.S. Constitution, quoted as follows:

"The Congress shall have the power . . . To exercise exclusive legislation in all cases whatsoever, over such district (NOT EXCEEDING TEN MILES SQUARE) as may, by cession of particular states and the acceptance of Congress, become the seat of the Government of the United States, [District of Columbia] and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the consent of the legislature of the state in which the same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock yards and other needful Buildings; And - To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers..." [emphasis added] and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2:

9

10

11

12

13

14

1617

15

18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

27

"The Congress shall have the Power to dispose of and make all needful Rules
and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property belonging to the
United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be so construed as to
Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any particular State."

- 29. The definition of the "United States" being used here, then, is limited to its **territories**:
 - 1) The District of Columbia
 - 2) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico
 - 3) U.S. Virgin Islands
 - 4) Guam
 - 5) American Samoa
 - 6) Northern Mariana Islands
 - 7) Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
 - 8) Military bases within the several states
 - 9) Federal agencies within the several states
- 30. It does **not** include the several states **themselves**, as is confirmed by the following cites:
 - "We have in our political system a Government of the United States and a government of each of the several States. Each one of these governments is distinct from the others, and each has citizens of its own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within its jurisdiction, it must protect. The same person may be at the same time a citizen of the United States and a Citizen of a State, but his rights of citizenship under one of these governments will be different from those he has under the other." Slaughter House Cases United States vs. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875).
 - "THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS A FOREIGN CORPORATION
 WITH RESPECT TO A STATE." [emphasis added] Volume 20: Corpus Juris
 Sec. §1785: NY re: Merriam 36 N.E. 505 1441 S.Ct.1973, 41 L.Ed.287.

5

9

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

- 31. This is further confirmed by the following quote from the Internal Revenue Service:

 Federal jurisdiction "includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa." Internal Revenue Code Section 312(e).
- 32. In **legal** terminology, the word "*includes*" means "*is limited to*." When referring to this "District" <u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates, the Internal Revenue Code uses the terms"WITHIN" the <u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates. When referring to the several States, the Internal Revenue Code uses the term "WITHOUT" the <u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates.
- 33. **Dozens, perhaps hundreds**, of court cases **evidence and prove** that federal jurisdiction is *limited* to the few federal territory and/or 'areas' above indicated. For example, in two Supreme Court cases, it was decided:
 - "The laws of Congress in respect to those matters do not extend into the territorial limits of the states, but have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government," Caha v. United States, 152 U.S., at 215.
 - "We think a proper examination of this subject will show that the United
 States never held any municipal sovereignty, jurisdiction, or right of soil in
 and to the territory, of which Alabama or any of the new States were
 formed..."
 - "[B]ecause, the United States have no constitutional capacity to exercise municipal jurisdiction, sovereignty, or eminent domain, within the limits of a State or elsewhere, except in the cases in which it is expressly granted..."
 - "Alabama is therefore entitled to the sovereignty and jurisdiction over all the territory within her limits, subject to the common law," Pollard v. Hagan, 44 U.S. 221, 223, 228, 229.
- 34. Likewise, Title 18 of the United States Code at §7 specifies that the "territorial jurisdiction" of the United States extends only **outside** the boundaries of lands belonging to any of the several States.

35. Therefore, in addition to the fact that no unrevealed federal contract can obligate me to perform in any manner without my fully informed and uncoerced consent, likewise, no federal statutes or regulations apply to me or have any jurisdiction over me. I hereby affirm that I do not reside or work in any federal territory of the "District" United States, and that therefore no U.S. federal government statutes or regulations have any authority over me.

X. Powers and Contractual Obligations of United States and State Government Officials

36. All <u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates and <u>S</u>tate government officials are hereby put on notice that I expect them to have recorded valid **Oaths of Office** in accordance with the U.S. Constitution, **Article VI**:

"The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation to support this Constitution..."

37. I understand that by their Oaths of Office all U.S. and State government officials are **contractually bound** by the U.S. Constitution as formulated by its framers, and not as "interpreted," subverted, or corrupted by the U.S. Supreme Court or other courts. According to the **Ninth Amendment** to the U.S. Constitution:

"The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."

and the Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution:

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

38. Thus, my understanding from these Amendments is that the powers of all U.S. and State government officials are *limited* to those **specifically granted** by the U.S. **Constitution.**

39. I further understand that **any** laws, statutes, ordinances, regulations, rules, and procedures contrary to the U.S. Constitution, as written by its framers, are **null and void**, as expressed in the Sixteenth American Jurisprudence Second Edition, Section 177:

"The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. This is succinctly stated as follows:

'The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted.'

'Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no right, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it...'

'A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby.'

'No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.'" [emphasis added]

40. As expressed once again in the U.S. Constitution, Article VI:

"This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, **shall be** the **supreme law of the land**; **and the judges in every State shall be bound thereby**, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding."

41. All U.S. and State government officials are therefore hereby put on notice that any violations of their contractual obligations and fiduciary duties to act in accordance with their U.S. Constitution, may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law, as well as the application of all available legal remedies to recover damages suffered by any parties damaged by any actions of U.S. and State government officials in violation of the U.S. Constitution.

XI. <u>Revocation of 'Power of Attorney':</u>

- 42. Furthermore, I, Kevin: Walker, proceeding *sui juris*, In Propria Persona, by *Special Limited Appearance*, hereby revoke, rescind, and make void ab initio, all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, implied in law or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, as it pertains to the Social Security Number assigned to, WALKER, KEVIN LEWIS, as it pertains to any BIRTH CERTIFICATES/BANK NOTES, BONDS, TRUSTS, DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS, SECURITIES, SECURITIES ACCOUNTS, INVESTMENTS, marriage or business licenses, or any other licenses or certificates issued by any and all government or quasi-governmental entities, due to the use of various elements of fraud by said agencies to attempt to deprive me of my Sovereignty and/or property.
- 43. I, Kevin: Walker, proceeding *sui juris*, **In Propria Persona**, **by** *Special Limited Appearance*, hereby waive, cancel, repudiate, and refuse to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or gratuity associated with any of the aforementioned licenses, numbers, or certificates. I do hereby revoke and rescind all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, signed by me or otherwise, implied in law or

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

28

27

otherwise, with or without my consent or knowledge, as it pertains to any and all property, real or personal, corporeal or incorporeal, obtained in the past, present, or future. I am the sole and absolute legal owner and possess allodial title to any and all such property.

44. Take Notice that I,. Kevin: Walker, proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance also revoke, cancel, and make void ab initio all powers of attorney, in fact, in presumption, or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, claiming to act on my behalf, with or without my consent, as such power of attorney pertains to me or any property owned by me, by, but not limited to, any and all quasi/colorable, public, governmental entities or corporations on the grounds of constructive fraud, concealment, and nondisclosure of pertinent facts.

'Attorney-in-Fact': Legal Authority and Recognition XII.

- 45. An attorney-in-fact is a private attorney authorized by another to act on their behalf in specific matters, as granted by a **power of attorney**. This authority can be limited to a specific act or extend to general business matters that are not of a legal character.
- 46. According to Bouvier's Law Dictionary, Black's Law Dictionary (1st, 2nd, and 8th editions), and the American Bar Association (ABA):
 - An attorney-in-fact derives their authority from a written instrument, commonly referred to as a "power of attorney."
 - A constituent may lawfully delegate authority to an attorney-in-fact to act in their place.
 - This designation is distinct from an attorney-at-law, as it pertains to an individual acting under a special agency or letter of attorney for particular actions.
 - Even individuals who are otherwise disqualified from acting in their own legal capacity, such as minors or married women (historically referred to as

femes coverts), may act as an **attorney-in-fact** for others if they have the necessary understanding.

47. Black's Law Dictionary defines an attorney-in-fact as follows:

"A person to whom the authority of another, who is called the constituent, is by him lawfully delegated. The term is employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to be performed; but in a more extended sense, it includes all other agents employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another."

48. The American Bar Association (ABA) further affirms that the individual named in a power of attorney is legally referred to as an agent or attorney-in-fact and has the authority to take any action expressly permitted in the document. The American Bar Association (ABA) official website explicitly states:

"The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf is commonly referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take <u>any</u> action permitted in the document." — See Exhibit SS.

XIII. Statutory and U.C.C. Recognition of 'Attorney-in-Fact' Authority 49. The authority of an attorney-in-fact is explicitly recognized in various statutory and commercial codes, reinforcing its binding nature:

- U.C.C. § 3-402: Establishes that an authorized representative, including an attorney-in-fact, can bind the principal in contractual and financial transactions.
- 28 U.S.C. § 1654: Confirms that "parties may plead and conduct their own cases personally or by counsel", reinforcing the Plaintiffs' right to selfrepresentation and the use of an attorney-in-fact.
- **26 U.S.C. § 2203**: Recognizes executors, including attorneys-in-fact, in matters of estate administration and tax liability.
- **26 U.S.C. § 7603**: Acknowledges that an attorney-in-fact may lawfully receive and respond to IRS summonses on behalf of the principal.

10

11

13

14

16 demo

1718

19 20

2122

23

24 | 25 |

26

27

28

- 26 U.S.C. § 6903: Confirms that fiduciaries, including attorneys-in-fact, are recognized in tax matters and are legally bound to act in their principal's best interest.
- 26 U.S.C. § 6036: Establishes that attorneys-in-fact can handle affairs related to the administration of decedent estates and trust entities.
- 26 U.S.C. § 6402: Grants attorneys-in-fact the authority to receive and negotiate tax refunds and credits on behalf of the principal.
- 50. Plaintiffs have clearly presented a valid "Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact" (Exhibit H), which lawfully confers the authority to act in this matter.
- 51. The legal principles established by the UCC and statutory law further reinforce the binding authority of Plaintiffs' affidavits and agreements.
- 52. Defendants' assertion that a trust cannot be represented by an attorney-in-fact contradicts well-established statutory, commercial, and legal principles.
- 53. By denying this legal reality, Defendants willfully engage in intentional misrepresentation and mockery of long-standing legal doctrine, further demonstrating their lack of credibility and bad faith in these proceedings.

Claim of Entire ESTATE:

54. I, Kevin: Walker, proceeding *sui juris*, In Propria Persona, by *Special Limited Appearance*, having attained the age of majority and reason under divine law competent first-hand witness to the truth and facts recited herein, hereby makes a claim against the corpus, all property whether real or personal, *tangible or intangible*, *all deposit accounts* blocked by reason of presumption of death of Claimant, cash, credit lines, Credit default swap, all federal funds, collateralized debt obligation, options, derivates, and futures received by the said court in the said county, state and federal for the administration of the named estate, and all estates in agency, including but not limited to KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, or by whatsoever name the said ESTATE shall be called or *charged*.

55. THIS IS ACTUAL AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE BY SPECIAL DEPOSIT FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SECURED PARTY/GRANTEE BENEFICIARY/CLAIMANT IN THIS TRUST ACTION FOR THE CLAIMANT'S CLAIM: Notice of absolute claim of all investment, commodity and trust deposit account contract with attached collateral and proceeds to secure collateral, along with claim of TRADENAME/TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT/PATENT of the Name KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, my mind, body, soul of infants, spirit, and Live Borne Record, and reject and rebuke all assumptions and presumptions of being Property of any Cestui Que Vie Trust/ESTATE as mentioned under CANON 2055-2056, and assignment of all debt obligations to the Office of Secretary of the Treasury. Discharge all tax matters in accordance with but not limited to, U.C.C. 1-103, 2-202, 2-204, 2-206, 3-104, 3-311, 3-601, 3-603, 9-104, 9-105, 9-150, 9-509, and House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5 1933, public law 73-10, and 31 U.S.C. §§ 3123, 5118, and 18 U.S.C. 8.

56.I affirm that all of the foregoing is true and correct. I affirm that I am of lawful age and am competent to make this Affidavit. I hereby affix my own autograph to all of the affirmations in this entire document with explicit reservation of all my *unalienable* rights and my specific common law right not to be bound by any contract or obligation which I have not entered into knowingly, willingly, voluntarily, and without misrepresentation, duress, or coercion.

Rejection of ALL Presumptions & Legal Assumptions

- 57. Affiant, hereby rejects any and all assumptions or presumptions that:
 - 1. Affiant and/or Plaintiffs or their estates are subject to any unauthorized jurisdiction.
 - 2. Any implied contractual obligations exist between Affiant and/or Plaintiffs and Defendants that have not been expressly agreed upon.
 - 3. Affiant and/or Plaintiffs have waived or surrendered any inherent rights under the Constitution, common law, or natural law.

1	DEMAND for JUDICIAL NOTICE, Due Process, and Application of RES
2	JUDICATA, STARE DECISIS, and COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL
3	58. Affiant and Plaintiffs hereby demand that this Honorable Court take Judicial
4	Notice of the attached 'VERIFIED Affidavit of Constitutional Authority,
5	Supremacy Clause, American Sovereignty, Federal Jurisdiction, National/Non-
6	Citizen National (State Citizen) Status, Estate Claim, and Rebuttal of All Legal
7	Presumptions' , along with all supporting constitutional provisions, statutory
8	authorities, case law, precedents, and controlling legal principles.
9	59. Pursuant to Maxims of Law, silence or failure to contest this Affidavit and its
10	claims shall constitute agreement by silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and
11	tacit procuration.
12	60. Furthermore, Plaintiffs invoke the doctrines of Res Judicata , Stare Decisis , and
13	Collateral Estoppel, which bar any party from relitigating settled matters, require
14	adherence to established precedent, and preclude any contradictory rulings on
15	claims and issues already resolved under law.
16	<u>NOTICE</u> of Rebuttal Requirements
17	61. Any rebuttal must be submitted in the form of a sworn , point-for-point rebuttal
18	under penalty of perjury.
19	NOTICE to Government Officials & Private Entities
20	62. Any act, policy, regulation, statute, or court ruling that diminishes,
21	infringes upon, or usurps the People's sovereignty is void, unlawful,
22	unconstitutional, and repugnant to the Constitution (Marbury v. Madison, 5
23	U.S. 137 (1803)).
24	63. Therefore, I, Kevin: Walker, a natural, freeborn Sovereign, state Citizen/national
25	of the republic , as recognized under the De'Jure Constitution for the United
26	States (1777/1789), proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited
27	Appearance, hereby assert and affirm:

• I am not a "subject" of the federal government.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

C.A. 33, 276 P. 368.

17

19

20

22

21

26

27

- I do not require permission to exercise rights granted by my Creator (which is NOT You).
- Every Government official is a *public servant*, not ruler.
- The Bill of Rights serves as a restriction on government not the People.
- Any action that compels Americans into involuntary servitude under contracts (implied, constructive, invisible and visible), unlawful taxation, or compelled performance violates Constitutional and common law protections.
- 64. "Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
- 65. "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel (1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817; People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard (1929), 98
- 66. "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that ignorance of the law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 421, 84 P. 332.
- 67. "the people, not the States, are sovereign." Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419, 2 18 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793).
 - 68. "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." – AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406 F2d 137 t.
- 69. ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. "No one is above the law".
- 70. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE 24 **EXPRESSED.** – "To lie is to go against the mind." 25
 - 71. **IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN.** Truth is sovereign and the Sovereign tells only the truth.
 - 72. TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT.

1	73. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE. —
2	"He who does not deny, admits."
3	74. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN
4	COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve."
5	75. WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE. — "It is against equity for freemen
6	not to have the free disposal of their own property."
7	76. HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT. (Book
8	of Job; Mat. 10:22) Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong when he
9	can occasions it."
10	Executed "without the United States" in compliance with 28 USC § 1746.
11	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.
12	//
13	//
14	//
15	COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:
16	County of Palm Beach)
17) Commercial Oath and Verification
18	The State of Florida)
19	I, <u>KEVIN WALKER</u> , under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding
20	in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true
21	correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belie
22	under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit o
23	Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>21ST</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u> in the year o
24	Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:
25	proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, by Special Limited Appearance,
26	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.
27	By: Jan Wall
28	Kevir Walker, Attorney-In-Fact, Secured Party, Executor, national, private bank(er) EIN # 9x-xxxxxxx
	-Page 22 of 32-
	REGISTED AS FLOW IT OF CORGITIOTEMAL AUTHORITE SOF REMACT CLAUS, AMERICAN SOFEREIGHT, PEDERAL AUGUSTECTION, NATIONAL HON-CITIZEN, NATIONAL STATE CLITICEN, STATE CLAUS, AND RESULTAL OF ALL LEGAL FELL METCHS.

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen, take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.

sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,

sui juris, By Special Limited Appearance,

By: At Mullin

rthur-Brooks (WITNESS)

WORDS DEFINED GLOSSARY OF TERMS:

As used in this Affidavit, the following words and terms are as defined in this section, non-obstante:

Attorney-in-fact: A private attorney authorized by another to act in his place and stead, either for some particular purpose, as to do a particular act, or for the transaction of business in general, not of a legal character. This authority is conferred by an instrument in writing, called a "letter of attorney," or more commonly a "power of attorney." A person to whom the authority of another, who is called the constituent, is by him lawfully delegated. The term is employed to designate persons who are under special agency, or a special letter of attorney, so that they are appointed in factum, for the deed, or special act to be performed; but in a more extended sense it includes all other agents employed in any business, or to do any act or acts in pais for another. Bacon, Abr. Attorney; Story, Ag. § 25. All persons who are capable of acting for themselves, and even those who are disqualified from acting in their own capacity, if they have sufficient understanding, as infants of proper age, and femes coverts, may act as attorney of other. The person named in a power of attorney to act on your behalf

5

7

9

10 11

13

12

15

14

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

is commonly referred to as your "agent" or "attorney-in-fact." With a valid power of attorney, your agent can take any action permitted in the document.— See Bouvier's Law Dictionary, volumes 1,2, and 3, page 282, Blacks Law Dictionary 1, 2nd, 8th, pages 105, 103, and 392 respectively, and the American Bar Association's website on 'Power of Attorney' and 'Attorney-In-Fact'

- 2. Attorney: Strictly, one who is designated to transact business for another; a legal agent. Also termed attorney-in-fact; private attorney. 2. A person who practices law; LAWYER. Also termed (in sense 2) attorney-at-law; public attorney. A person who is appointed by another and has authority to act on behalf of another. *See also* POWER OF ATTORNEY. See, Black's Law Dictionary 8th Edition, pages 392-393, Oxford Dictionary or Law, 5th Edition, page 38, American Bar Association's website.
 - financial institution: a person, an individual, a private banker, a business engaged in vehicle sales, including automobile, airplane, and boat sales, persons involved in real estate closings and settlements, the United States Postal Service, a commercial bank or trust company, any credit union, an agency of the United States Government or of a State or local government carrying out a duty or power of a business described in this paragraph, a broker or dealer in securities or commodities, a currency exchange, or a business engaged in the exchange of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency or funds, financial agency, a loan or finance company, an issuer, redeemer, or cashier of travelers' checks, checks, money orders, or similar instruments, an operator of a credit card system, an insurance company, a licensed sender of money or any other person who engages as a business in the transmission of currency, funds, or value that substitutes for currency, including any person who engages as a business in an informal money transfer system or any network of people who engage as a business in facilitating the transfer of money domestically or internationally outside of the conventional financial institutions system. Ref, 31 U.S. Code § 5312 - Definitions and application.

4. **individual:** As a noun, this term denotes a single **person** as distinguished from a group or class, and also, very commonly, a private or natural person as distinguished from a partnership, corporation, or association; but it is said that this restrictive signification is not necessarily inherent in the word, and that it **may**, in proper cases, include **artificial persons**. As an adjective: Existing as an indivisible entity. Of or relating to a single person or thing, as opposed to a group.— See Black's Law Dictionary 4th, 7th, and 8th Edition pages 913, 777, and 2263 respectively.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 5. person: Term may include artificial beings, as corporations. The term means an individual, corporation, business trust, estate, trust, partnership, limited liability company, association, joint venture, government, governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, public corporation, or any other legal or commercial entity. The term "person" shall be construed to mean and include an individual, a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or corporation. The term "person" means a natural person or an organization. -Artificial persons. Such as are created and devised by law for the purposes of society and government, called "corporations" or bodies politic." -Natural persons. Such as are formed by nature, as distinguished from artificial persons, or corporations. -Private person. An individual who is not the incumbent of an office. Persons are divided by law into natural and artificial. Natural persons are such as the God of nature formed us; artificial are such as are created and devised by human laws, for the purposes of society and government, which are called "corporations" or "bodies politic." - See Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) § 1-201, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 4th edition pages 892, 895, and 1299, respectively, 27 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 72.11 - Meaning of terms, and 26 United States Code (U.S. Code) § 7701 - Definitions.
- 6. **bank**: a **person** engaged in the business of banking and includes a savings bank, savings and loan association, credit union, and **trust company**. The terms "banks", "national bank", "national banking association", "member bank", "board", "district", and "reserve bank" shall have the meanings assigned to them in section 221 of this

title. An institution, of great value in the commercial world, empowered to receive

deposits of money, to make loans. and to issue its promissory notes, (designed to circulate as money, and commonly called "bank-notes" or "bank-bills") or to perform any one or more of these functions. The term "bank" is usually restricted in its application to an incorporated body; while a **private individual** making it his business to conduct banking operations is denominated a "banker." Banks in a commercial sense are of three kinds, to wit; (1) Of deposit; (2) of discount; (3) of circulation. Strictly speaking, the term "bank" implies a place for the deposit of money, as that is the most obvious purpose of such an institution. — See, UCC 1-201, 4-105, 12 U.S. Code § 221a, Black's Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, 4th, 7th, and 8th, pages 117-118, 116-117, 183-184, 139-140, and 437-439.

- 7. **discharge:**_To cancel or unloose the obligation of a contract; to make an agreement or contract null and inoperative. Its principal species are rescission, release, accord and satisfaction, performance, judgement, composition, bankruptcy, merger. As applied to demands claims, right of action, incumbrances, etc., to discharge the debt or claim is to extinguish it, to annul its obligatory force, to satisfy it. And here also the term is generic; thus a dent, a mortgage. As a noun, the word means the act or instrument by which the binding force of a contract is terminated, irrespective of whether the contract is carried out to the full extent contemplated (in which case the discharge is the result of performance) or is broken off before complete execution. See, Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, page.
- 8. **pay:** To *discharge* a debt; to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or in goods, for his acceptance. To pay is to deliver to a creditor the value of a debt, either in money or In goods, for his acceptance, by which the debt is discharged. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st, 2nd, and 3rd edition, pages 880, 883, and 1339 respectively.
- 9. payment: The performance of a duty, promise, or obligation, or discharge of a debt or liability. by the delivery of money or other value. Also the money or thing so delivered. Performance of an obligation by the delivery of money or some other

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

valuable thing accepted in partial or full discharge of the obligation. [Cases: Payment 1. C.J.S. Payment § 2.] 2. The money or other valuable thing so delivered in satisfaction of an obligation. See Blacks Law Dictionary 1st and 8th edition, pages 880-811 and 3576-3577, respectively.

- 10. may: An auxiliary verb qualifying the meaning of another verb by expressing ability, competency, liberty, permission, probability or contingency. - Regardless of the instrument, however, whether constitution, statute, deed, contract or whatnot, courts not infrequently construe "may" as "shall" or "must". - See Black's :aw Dictionary, 4th Edition page 1131.
- 11. **extortion:** The term "**extortion**" means the obtaining of property from another, with his consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right. - See 18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Interference with commerce by threats or violence.
- 12. national: "foreign government", "foreign official", "internationally protected person", "international organization", "national of the United States", "official guest," and/or "non-citizen national." They all have the same meaning. See Title 18 U.S. Code § 112 - Protection of foreign officials, official guests, and internationally protected persons.
- 13. **United States:** For the purposes of this Affidavit, the terms "United States" and "U.S." mean only the Federal Legislative Democracy of the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and any other Territory within the "United States," which entity has its origin and jurisdiction from Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17-18 and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the Constitution for the United States of America. The terms "United States" and "U.S." are NOT to be construed to mean or include the sovereign, united 50 states of America.
- 14. fraud: deceitful practice or Willful device, resorted to with intent to deprive another of his right, or in some manner to do him an injury. As distinguished from negligence, it is always positive, intentional. as applied to contracts is the cause of an error bearing on material part of the contract, created or continued by artifice, with design to obtain

some unjust advantage to the one party, or to cause an inconvenience or loss to the other. in the sense of court of equity, properly includes all acts, omissions, and concealments which involved a breach of legal or equitable duty, trust, or confidence justly reposed, and are injurious to another, or by which an undue and unconscientious advantage is taken of another. See Black's Law Dictionary, 1st and 2nd Edition, pages 521-522 and 517 respectively.

- 15. **color:** appearance, semblance. or simulacrum, as distinguished from that which is real. A prima facie or apparent right. Hence, a deceptive appearance; a plausible, assumed exterior, concealing a lack of reality; a a disguise or pretext. See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 222.
- 16. **colorable:** That which is in appearance only, and not in reality, what it purports to be. See, Black's Law Dictionary 1st Edition, page 2223

// //

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

ss.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, **care of:** 30650 Rancho California Road suite #406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On February 24, 2025, I served the within documents:

- 1. <u>VERIFIED</u> AFFIDAVIT OF CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY, SUPREMACY CLAUSE, AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY, FEDERAL JURISDICTION, NATIONAL/NON-CITIZEN NATIONAL (STATE CITIZEN) STATUS, ESTATE CLAIM, AND REBUTTAL OF ALL LEGAL PRESUMPTIONS.
- 2. NOTICE OF FILING OF <u>VERIFIED</u> AFFIDAVIT OF <u>CONSTITUTIONAL</u>

 AUTHORITY, SUPREMACY CLAUSE, AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY, FEDERAL

JURISDICTION, NATIONAL/NON-CITIZEN NATIONAL (STATE CITIZEN) STATUS, 2 ESTATE CLAIM, AND REBUTTAL OF ALL LEGAL PRESUMPTIONS. 3 By United States Mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below by placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily 5 familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepared. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was 10 placed in the mail in Riverside County, California, and sent via Registered Mail 11 with a form 3811. 12 Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT 13 3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 14 Riverside, California [92501-3801] Registered Mail #RF775823027US 15 16 Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT 17 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California [94103-1526] 18 Registered Mail #RF775823013US 19 James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 20 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West 21 Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] Registered Mail #RF775823217US 22 Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir 23 Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm 24 C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD **INVESTMENTS** 25 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150 Folsom, California [95630] **Registered Mail** #RF775823225US 26 27 Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997)

C/o HOUSER LLP 9970 Research Drive

	Self-Executing Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF7/5823013US — Dated: 02/21/2025
1	Irvine, California [92618] Registered Mail #RF775823234US
2	Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster
3	C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP 621 Capital Mall, suite 900
4	Sacramento, California [95814] Registered Mail #RF775823225US
5	Paul Gustafson,
6	C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION. 3000 Leadenhall Road
7 8	Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054 Registered Mail #RF775823234US
9	Devin Ormonde, C/o PRIME RECON LLC
10	27368 Via Industria, Suite 201
11	Temecula, California [92590] Registered Mail #RF775823248US
12	On February 23, 2025, I served the within documents by Electronic Service .
13	Based on a court order and/or an agreement of the parties to accept service by
14	electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the
15	electronic notification addresses listed below.
16	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT
17	3470 Twelfth Street, Room 134 Riverside, California [92501-3801]
18	optout consent@cacd.uscourts.gov - misprision of felony obligation
19	Clerk, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o CLERK OF THE COURT - U.S. COURT OF APPEALS COURT
20	95 Seventh Street San Francisco, California [94103-1526]
21	emergency@ca9.uscourts.gov - misprision of felony obligation
22	James R. McHenry III, Pam Bondi, Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies) C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
23	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001]
24	<u>Police-Practices@doj.ca.gov</u> - misprision of felony obligation
25 26	Jay Promisco, James E. Coffrini, Joseph Moran, Christian Gault, Amir Sabet, Amanda Coffrini, John Goulding, Brian Mcginley, Virginia Erbes, Corey Moore, Drew Fuerstenbergerm
27	C/o SIERRA PACIFIC MORTGAGE COMPANY INC / GREENHEAD
28	INVESTMENTS 950 Glenn Drive, suite #150
	Joo Gierre, batte 1100

-Page 30 of 32
VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY, SUPREMACY CLAUSE, AMERICAN SOVEREIGNT, FEDERAL JUNISDICTION, NATIONAL NON-CITIZEN NATIONAL ISTATE CITIZEN) STATUS, ESTATE CLAIM, AND REBUTAL OF ALL LEGAL PRESUMPTIONS

1	Folsom, California [95630] amir.sabet@spmc.com
2	joseph.moran@spmc.com loanservicingqueue@spmc.com
3	christian.gault@spmc.com amanda.coffrini@spmc.com
4	john.goulding@spmc.com brian.mcginley@spmc.com
5	virginia.erbes@spmc.com corey.moore@spmc.com
6	drew.fuerstenberger@spmc.com
7	Eric D Houser (SBN 130079), Neil J. Copper (SBN 277997) C/o HOUSER LLP
8	9970 Research Drive Irvine, California [92618]
9	ncooper@houser-law.com dfoster@wilkefleury.com
10	snicholson@wilkefleury.com
11	Susanne M. Nicholson, Daniel J. Foster C/o WILKE FLEURY LLP
12	621 Capital Mall, suite 900 Sacramento, California [95814]
13	dfoster@wilkefleury.com snicholson@wilkefleury.com
14	Paul Gustafson,
15	C/o PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION dba PHH MORTGAGE SERVICES, OWEN FINANCIAL CORPORATION.
16	3000 Leadenhall Road Mount Laurel, New Jersey [08054]
17	relationshipmanager@mortgagefamily.com
18	Devin Ormonde, Fiduciary(ies) C/o PRIME RECON LLC
19	27368 Via Industria, Suite 201 Temecula, California [92590]
20	j <u>oseph.moran@spmc.com</u>
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California
22	that the above is true and correct. Executed on February 21, 2025 in Riverside
23	County, California.
24	/s/Corey Walker/ Corey Walker
25	NOTICE:
26	Using a notary on this document does <i>not</i> constitute any adhesion, <i>nor does it alter my</i>
27	status in any manner. The purpose for notary is verification and identification only and
28	not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction.
	D 21 C22

Self-Executing Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775823013US — Dated: 02/21/2025

1	JURAT:	:
2	State of California)	A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness,
3	County of Riverside) ss.	accuracy, or validity of that document.
4	Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this <u>21s</u>	t day of <u>February</u> , <u>2025</u> , by <u>Kevin Walker</u> , proved
5	to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
6	Tarical	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
7	Notary public	JOYTI PATEL Notary Public - California Riverside County Commission # 2407742
8	Joytifatel Seal:	Commission # 2407742 My Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ALERT: SEVERE WEATHER IN THE SOUTHEAST AND CENTRAL U.S AND WINTER STORMS IN ...

USPS Tracking[®]

FAQs >

Tracking Number:

Remove X

RF775823013US

Copy Add to Informed Delivery (https://informeddelivery.usps.com/)

Latest Update

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 10:19 am on February 27, 2025 in SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103.

Delivered

Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103 February 27, 2025, 10:19 am

See All Tracking History

What Do USPS Tracking Statuses Mean? (https://faq.usps.com/s/article/Where-is-my-package)

Text & Email Updates		^	
Select what	Select what types of updates you'd like to receive and how. Send me a notification for:		
Text	Email		
	All Below Updates		
	Expected Delivery Updates (i)		
	Day of Delivery Updates (i)		