Cas	e 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA D	ocument 14 #:550	Filed 04/14/25	Page 1 of 90	Page ID
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 Self-	Executing Contract and S	ecurity Agreement — Registere	d Mail #RF775824858US	Dated: April 10, 2025
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<b>From/Plaintiff:</b> Kevin Walker Attorney-In-Fact, Executor, Authori ™KEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, ™KEV ™KEVIN LEWIS WALKER© (ENS LE c/o 30650 Rancho California Road Temecula, California [92591] non-domestic without the United St Email: team@walkernovagroup.com <b>To/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):</b> Agent(s), C/o CLERK OF COURT / SOUTHWEST J 30755-D Auld Road Murrieta, California [92563]	IN WALKER© II GIS) Suite #406-251 ates <u>n</u> . Clerks(s), Fiducia	rtive for RR TRUST	APR 1 4 2025	
8	Registered Mail # RF775824858US		FEDERAL CASH	E NO.: 5:25-CV-0	)0646-WLH
9	AFFIDAVIT CERTI DEFAULT, and <u>JUD</u>	FICATE GEMENT,	of DISHONO and LIEN AU	R, NON-RE	SPONSE, <u>FION.</u>
10	™KEVIN WALKER© ESTA	TE,		SWM2303376	
11	™KEVIN LEWIS WALKER ™KEVIN WALKER© IRR J			ERTIFICATE OF DISI	HONOR, NON-
12	Claimant(s)/	Plaintiff(s),	4. THEFT, EME	RY SERVITUDE BEZZLEMENT, AND ATION OF FUNDS AN	
13	US.			<b>RGERY, AND UNAUT</b>	
14 15	Michael Hestrin, Miranda T Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	OF THE	COMMERCI PRACTICES		INESS
16	SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CE		LAW	ON OF RIGHTS UND	
17	RIVERSIDE COUNTY DIS ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE (	COUNTY,		TENSES AND FRAUD	
18	<b>RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHE</b> 1-100 Inclusive,		12. BANK FRAU		ON AND
19	Respondent(s)/De	efendant(s)	TRANSFER SECURITIES	OF STOLEN GOODS S	AND
20			COPYRIGH 15. UNLAWFUL	INTERFERENCE, IN	NTIMIDATION,
21			16. LAWFUL TE DEMAND FO	N, AND EMOTIONAL ENDER AND REQUES OR SETOFF, SETTLE	STAND
22			-	ND DEMAND FOR D	
23 24			HUNDRED M	MILLION DOLLAR ( T AND LIEN	
25	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICA	TE of DISH	ONOR, NON-F	RESPONSE, D	EFAULT,
26			EN AUTHORIZ		
27	KKNOW ALL MEN B	Y THESE PE	RESENTS, that	on this day, bef	ore me, a
28	Notary Public, personally ap		<b>y: Walker</b> , a livi 1 of 39	ng man, appea	ring by
	AFFIDAVITCERTIFICATE of DISHON			MENT, and LIEN AUTH	IORIZATION

Special Limited Appearance in his private and fiduciary capacity as Executor and
 Authorized Representative of the Trust, not as a surety or subject person, but as one
 acting under authority granted by private contract and trust law.

Kevin Walker is a natural, freeborn man, a state Citizen of California and of the
original republic in its de jure capacity as one of the several states of the Union
established under the Constitution for the united States of America (1789). As such,
he is a national American, also known as a non-citizen national, as defined under
the laws of the republic and affirmed by applicable constitutional and commercial
principles.

10 Kevin Walker, herein referred to as 'Affiant,' is over 18 years of age,

11 competent to testify and has first hand knowledge of the facts herein. Affiant

12 declared (or certified, verified, affirmed, or stated) under penalty of perjury under

13 the laws of the **United States of America** that the following is true and correct, to

14 the best of Affiants's understanding and belief, and in good faith:

15 1. As of April 10, 2025, Affiant has not received a valid, point for point, written

16 response to the document(s) mailed to the person(s) named below. The

document(s) mailed and the mail and delivery date(s) was are:

(1) Document: <u>AFFIDAVIT AND CONTRACT SECURITY AGREEMENT:</u>
 NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE, CLAIM, and FRAUD,
 RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE

COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, TREASON.

 22 To/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): Chad Bianco C/ o SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CENTER
 23 30755-D Auld Road Murrieta, California [92563] Registered Mail # RF775821397US - <u>ssherman@law4cops.com</u>

> Sacramento, California [95814-2919] Registered Mail # RF775821410US

C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

To/cc: Rob Bonta

1300 "I" Street

21

24

25

26

27

28

**To/cc:** Merrick Garland C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 950 Pennsylvania Avenue Nw Washington, District of Colombia, [20530] Registered Mail # RF775821692US

Page 2 of 39

Cas	e 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:552	Filed 04/14/25	Page 3 of 90	-
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 -	Self-Executing Contract and	Security Agreement — Registered	<b>i Mail #</b> RF775824858US —	- Dated: April 10, 2025
1	To/cc: Daniel Werfel		Defendant(s)/Responder		Fiduciary(ies),
2	C/o Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, District of Colum	bia [20224] Riv	50 Orange Street verside California [92501]		
3	Registered Mail # RF77582170		gistered Mail # RF775821	406US.	
4	<b>To/cc:</b> Janet Yelle, Fiduciary(ie	01	/ <u>Cc:</u> Issa, Darrel D.U.S. HOUSE OF REPRI	ESENTATIVES	
5	C/o Department of the Treasu 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, No Washington, District of Colum	brth West Wa	ashington, District of Colo gistered Mail # RF775821	mbia [20515]	
6	Registered Mail # RF77582162				
7	(2) Decuments A FEIDA	VIT and Plain	Statement of Fa	ote NOTICE (	ЭF
8	(2) Document: <u>AFFIDA</u> DEFAULT, and NOT				
9	HARASSMENT, DE				
10	IDENTITY THEFT, I				
11	DEMAND FOR SET				
12	DISMISSAL.	OIT, DISCHM	NOL, SETTELMI	ivi, cloboli	
13	To/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s	). Agont(s) Clerks(s)	To/cc: Parn Bor	ndi	
14 15	Fiduciary(es) C/o CLERK OF COURT / SOU 30755-D Auld Road		TER C/ o OFFICE C 950 Pennsylvar	OF THE ATTORNEY nia Avenue Nw istrict of Colombia, [	
16	Murrieta, California [92563] Registered Mail # RF7758244201	US		I # RF775824420US	
17	Wesley Hsu		Pam Bondi		
18	C/o HONORABLE WESLEY F 350 West 1st Street, Courtroom		C/o U.S. DEPAR 950 Pennsylvani	ATMENT OF JUSTICE a Avenue	
19	Los Angeles, California [90012] Registered Mail #RF775824416	US	0	trict of Colombia [2053 #RF775824433US	0-0001]
20	Kal Date		Michael Heatrin	and Miranda Thomson	
21	Kash Patel C/o FBI Headquarters 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, Nori	h Marat		THE DISTRICT ATTO	
22	Washington, District of Colomb Registered Mail #RF775824447	pia [20535-0001]	Riverside, Califo		
23	Registered Wall #RF77302444	03	registered man	#RE7700241300	
24	Rob Bonta				
25	C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORI 1300 "I" Street				
26	Sacramento, California [95814- Registered Mail #RF77582415				
27					
28		Page	3 of 39		
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DIS	HONOR, NON-RESPO	NSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEN</u>	<u>MENT</u> , and <u>LIEN AUT</u>	HORIZATION

Cas	e 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA [	Document 14 #:553	Filed 04/14/25	Page 4 of 90	Page ID
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Sel	f-Executing Contract and S	ecurity Agreement — Registered	d Mail #RF775824858US — 3	Dated: April 10, 2025
1	(3) Document: <u>AFFIDAV</u>	IT and Plain	Statement of Fa	ets: NOTICE O	0F
2	DEFAULT AND OPPO	ORTUNITY TO	O CURE, and NO	OTICE OF FRA	UD,
3	RACKETEERING, CO	NSPIRACY, H	HARASSMENT,	DEPRIVATION	NOF
4	RIGHTS UNDER COL	OR OF LAW,	IDENTITY THE	EFT, EXTORTIC	DN,
5	COERCION, AND RE	QUEST AND	DEMAND FOR	SETOFF, DISC	CHARGE,
6	SETTLEMENT, CLOS	URE, AND DI	SMISSAL.		
7	To/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): Ag	ant(e) Clarke(e) Fidu	ciary(ee)		
8	C/o CLERK OF COURT / SOUT 30755-D Auld Road				
9	Murrieta, California [92563] Registered Mail #RF775824858U	S			
10			Pam Bondi		
11	Wesley Hsu C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU		C/o U.S. DEPAH	RTMENT OF JUSTICE	
12	350 West 1st Street, Courtroom 9B, 9 Los Angeles, California [90012]	th Floor		strict of Colombia [20530	-0001]
13	Registered Mail #RF775824861US		Registered Mail	#RF775824875US	
14	Kash Patel			and Miranda Thomson F THE DISTRICT ATTOI	NEV
15	C/o FBI Headquarters 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, North We	est	3960 Orange Str	eet	
16	Washington, District of Colombia [2 Registered Mail #RF775824889US		Riverside, Califo Registered Mai	#RF775824892US	
17					
18	Steve Bessent C/o Department of the Treasury				
	1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, North V Washington, District of Colombia [2				
19	Registered Mail #RF77582901US				
20	0 A = - ( A = - 110 000E A (6	ant is matin a	accession of a m	anonco from	
21	2. As of <b>April 10, 2025</b> , Affi	~			dow the o
22	respondent(s) addressing				
23	penalty of perjury, as re-				
24	1				
25	statements and claims by				
26	0 5	0			
27					
28		Page	4 of 39		
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHO	NOR, NON-RESPON	NSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEN</u>	MENT, and LIEN AUTH	ORIZATION

Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 5 of 90 Page ID #554Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025 and commerce, forced peonage, obstruction of enforcement, extortion of a 1 national/internationally protected person, false imprisonment, torture, creating 2 trusts in restraint of trade, dereliction of fiduciary duties, bank fraud, breach of 3 trust, treason, tax evasion, bad faith actions, dishonor, injury, and damage to 4 Affiant and/or Complainant(s)/Plaintiff(s). 5 4. You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s), individually and collectively, admit to all 6 statements, allegations, injury, damage, and claims by TACIT PROCURATION, 7 all issues are deemed settled RES JUDICATA, STARE DECISIS and by 8 COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. 9 5. Furthermore, You/Respondent(s) individually and collectively fully agree that 10 this Affidavit and all previously submitted Affidavits constitute prima facie 11 evidence of these violations and serve as proof of claim. As established in United 12 13 States v. Kis, 658 F.2d 526 (7th Cir. 1981): "Appellee had the burden of first proving its prima facie case and could do 14 so by affidavit or other evidence." 15 6. Accordingly, Respondents' failure to rebut constitutes conclusive admission 16 and agreement to all claims asserted herein 17 You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) individually and collectively, fully agree that 18 7.

- INVOICE and/or TRUE BILL #RIVCOUNTYDIS25 accurately represents their
   indebtedness of to Affiant, and/or Complainant(s)/Plaintiff(s).
- 21 8. You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s) individually and collectively, fully agree that
- 22 You or who you/they represent <u>is/are the DEBTOR(S)</u> in this matter.

25

- 23 9. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) individually and collectively, fully agree that
  24 You and/or who you represent <u>DO NOT</u> have any <u>standing</u>.
  - Unrebutted Facts and Presumptions Established

26 10. You, as the Defendant(s) and/or Respondent(s), individually and collectively,
 27 are deemed to have accepted and agreed to the following established facts, all

of which remain unrebutted and stand as truth in commerce, law, and equity:

Page 5 of 39

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025

- 1. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, reserve my natural common law right not to be compelled to perform under any contract that I did not enter into *knowingly, voluntarily,* and *intentionally, and with complete and full disclosure,* and *without* misrepresentation, duress, or coercion. And furthermore, I do not accept the liability associated with the compelled and pretended "benefit" of any hidden or unrevealed contract or commercial agreement. As such, the hidden or unrevealed contracts that supposedly create obligations to perform, for persons of subject status, are inapplicable to me, and are null and void. If I have participated in any of the supposed "benefits" associated with these hidden contracts, I have done so under duress, for lack of any other practical alternative. I may have received such "benefits" but I have not accepted them in a manner that binds me to anything.
- 2. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceedin sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, 14 hereby declare and affirm that, consistent with the eternal tradition of 15 natural common law, unless I have harmed or violated someone or 16 their property, I have committed no crime; and I am therefore not 17 subject to any penalty. I act in accordance with the following U.S. 18 Supreme Court case: "The individual may stand upon his 19 constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private 20 business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes 21 no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the 22 State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his 23 life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land 24 [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and 25 can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance 26 with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate 27 himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or 28

Page 6 of 39

Cas	e 5:25	-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 7 of 90 Page ID #:556
	Fraudulen	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1		seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the
2		public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." Hale v.
3		<b>Henkel</b> , 201 U.S. 43 at 47 (1905).
4	3.	I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, herby
5		declare, state, verify, and affirm for the record that the 'commercial' and 'for
6		hire' Driver's License/Contract/Bond # B6735991 has been canceled,
7		revoked, terminated, and liquidated, as evidenced by instructions and
8		notice accepted by Steven Gordon, with the California Department of Motor
9		Vehicles," as evidenced by AFFIDAVIT RIGHT TO TRAVEL
10		CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, AND REVOCATION of COMMERCIAL
11		"For Hire" DRIVER'S LICENSE CONTRACT and AGREEMENT LICENSE/
12		BOND #B6735991 (#RF661447751US), attached hereto as Exhibit D and
13		incorporated herein by reference.
14	4.	I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, no valid
15		contract exists compelling my performance.
16	5.	I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, state for the record, that it is a long-
17		standing legal principle that jurisdiction must be proven on the record and
18		cannot be assumed.
19	6.	I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, I do no
20		consent to these proceedings.
21	7.	I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, I have
22		NOT injured any man or woman nor have I damaged any property.
23		<b>Revocation of 'Power of Attorney':</b>
24	8.	Furthermore, I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited
25		Appearance, hereby revoke, rescind, and make void ab initio, all powers of
26		attorney, in fact or otherwise, implied in law or otherwise, signed either by
27		me or anyone else, as it pertains to the Social Security Number assigned to,
28		WALKER, KEVIN LEWIS, as it pertains to any BIRTH CERTIFICATE/BANK Page 7 of 39
	A	FIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT. and LIEN AUTHORIZATION

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

NOTE, BOND, TRUST, DEPOSIT ACCOUNT, SECURITY, SECURITY ACCOUNT, INVESTMENT, marriage or business licenses, or any other licenses or certificates issued by any and all government or quasigovernmental entities, due to the use of various elements of fraud by said agencies to attempt to deprive me of my Sovereignty and/or property.

9. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, hereby waive, cancel, repudiate, and refuse to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or gratuity associated with any of the aforementioned licenses, numbers, or certificates. I do hereby revoke and rescind all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, signed by me or otherwise, implied in law or otherwise, with or without my consent or knowledge, as it pertains to any and all property, real or personal, corporeal or incorporeal, obtained in the past, present, or future. I am the sole and absolute legal owner and possess allodial title to any and all such property.

10. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, also 15 revoke, cancel, and make **void** *ab initio* all powers of attorney, in fact, in 16 presumption, or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, claiming to 17 act on my behalf, with or without my consent, as such power of attorney 18 pertains to me or any property owned by me, by, but not limited to, any and 19 all quasi/colorable, public, governmental entities or corporations on the 20 grounds of constructive fraud, concealment, and nondisclosure of pertinent 21 facts. 22

# **Claim of Entire ESTATE:**

11. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, having
attained the age of majority and reason under divine law competent firsthand witness to the truth and facts recited herein, hereby makes a claim
against the corpus, all property whether real or personal, tangible or
intangible, all deposit accounts blocked by reason of presumption of death
Page 8 of 39

2

3

4

5

6

of Claimant, cash, credit lines, Credit default swap, all federal funds, collateralized debt obligation, options, derivates, and futures received by the said court in the said county, state and federal for the administration of the named estate, and all estates in agency, including but not limited to KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, or by whatsoever name the said ESTATE shall be called or *charged*.

**12. THIS IS ACTUAL AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE BY SPECIAL DEPOSIT** 7 FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SECURED PARTY/GRANTEE BENEFICIARY/ 8 CLAIMANT IN THIS TRUST ACTION FOR THE CLAIMANT'S CLAIM: 9 Notice of absolute claim of all investment, commodity and trust deposit 10 11 account contract with attached collateral and proceeds to secure collateral, 12 along with claim of TRADENAME/TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT/PATENT of the Name KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, my mind, body, soul of infants, spirit, 13 14 and Live Borne Record, and reject and rebuke <u>all</u> assumptions and presumptions of being Property of any Cestui Que Vie Trust/ESTATE as 15 mentioned under CANON 2055-2056, and assignment of all debt obligations 16 17 to the Office of Secretary of the Treasury. Discharge all tax matters in accordance with but not limited to, U.C.C. 1-103, 2-202, 2-204, 2-206, 3-104, 18 19 3-311, 3-601, 3-603, 9-104, 9-105, 9-150, 9-509, and House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5 1933, public law 73-10, and 31 U.S.C. §§ 3123, 5118, and 18 U.S.C. 8. 20 21 13. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), are **undisputedly** the **DEBTORS** in this matter. 22 14. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) are **undisputedly** <u>NOT</u> the CREDITOR(S), or an ASSIGNEE(S) of the CREDITOR(S), in this matter. 23 24 15. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) do <u>NOT</u> have power of attorney in any way. 25 16. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) do <u>NOT</u> have <u>any</u> standing 17. The actions of You/Respondents/Defendants undermine the 26 fundamental principles of fairness and justice enshrined in the 27 Constitution, denying Plaintiffs and/or Affiant the opportunity to be 28 Page 9 of 39

1	heard and to defend against the allegations. These due process
2	violations not only infringe upon constitutional protections but also
3	erode public trust in the judicial system
4	18. You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)' actions violate various U.S. Code sections
5	including but not limited to the following:
6	19.42 U.S.C. § 1983 – which provides a civil remedy for individuals deprived of
7	constitutional rights under the color of law. The lack of notice and due
8	process constitutes a clear deprivation of rights under both the Fifth and
9	Fourteenth Amendments.
10	20. 18 U.S.C. § 241 – which criminalizes conspiracies to deprive individuals of
11	their constitutional rights. Any coordinated effort or negligence leading to
12	this denial of due process is punishable under this statute.
13	21.18 U.S.C. § 242 – which prohibits willful deprivation of constitutional rights
14	under the color of law. By advancing legal proceedings without proper
15	notice, Defendants have knowingly violated this protection.
16	22. Affiant hereby declares, states, and alleges that this Affidavit Notice
17	and Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement is prima facie
18	evidence of fraud, racketeering, indentity theft, treason, breach of trust
19	and fiduciary duties, extortion, coercion, deprivation of rights under
20	the color of law, conspiracy to deprive of rights under the color of law,
21	monopolization of trade and commerce, forced peonage, obstruction of
22	enforcement, extortion of a national/internationally protected person,
23	false imprisonment, torture, creating trusts in restraint of trade
24	dereliction of fiduciary duties, bank fraud, breach of trust, treason, tax
25	evasion, bad faith actions, dishonor, injury and damage to Affiant and
26	proof of claim. See United States v. Kis, 658 F.2d, 526 (7th Cir. 1981).,
27	"Appellee had the burden of first proving its prima facie case and
28	could do so by affidavit or other evidence."
	Page 10 of 39

Cas	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 11 of 90 Page ID
	#:560 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	UNLAWFUL ARREST, IMPRISONMENT, AND TORTURE
2	23. On December 31, 2024, at approximately 9:32am I, Kevin: Walker, sui juris,
3	was <b>traveling</b> <u>privately</u> in a <u>private</u> conveyance/automobile, displaying a
4	'PRIVATE' plate, indicating I was 'not for hire' or operating commercially,
5	and the private automobile was not displaying a STATE plate of any sort .
6	This clearly established that the <u>private</u> automobile was 'not for hire' or
7	'commercial' use and, therefore explicitly classifying the automobile as
8	private property, and <u>NOT</u> within any statutory and/or commercial
9	jurisdiction.
10	24. On <b>December 31, 2024</b> , I, Kevin: Walker, <i>sui juris</i> , was <b>not</b> in violation of any
11	law, nor was I speeding, infringing, or trespassing upon the rights of any man
12	or woman. I was peacefully minding my own business and traveling to obtain
13	groceries for my family.
14	25. I, Kevin: Walker, <i>sui juris</i> , simply wish to be left alone in peace and <b>not</b> be
15	harassed, stalked, robbed, deprived under color of law, coerced into
16	commercial contracts, extorted, and forced into peonage and/or involuntary
17	servitude.
18	THERE IS NO 'CORPUS DELICTI'
19	26. I, Kevin: Walker, <i>sui juris</i> , state for the record, there is no corpus delicti — no
20	injured party, no damaged property, and no sworn affidavit of harm from any
21	living man or woman. Therefore, this matter is without merit, lacks standing, and
22	constitutes an improper attempt to impose authority without lawful jurisdiction.
23	Any further action absent evidence of a valid cause of action is a violation of due
24	process and a deprivation of rights under color of law.
25	27. As a direct result of egregious due process violations and the initiation of a
26	fraudulent CASE/trust action #SWM2303376 by You/Defendant(s)/
27	Respondent(s), against Affiant and Claimant(s), Affiant was subjected to an
28	unlawful arrest, physical restraint in the form of handcuffs, and acts Page 11 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, ILDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION

1	constituting torture. These actions inflicted severe mental trauma, undue
2	stress, and significant mental anguish upon Affiant, all in blatant violation of
3	constitutional protections and fundamental principles of justice.
4	28. The <u>private</u> automobile and <u>trust property</u> was <b>not</b> in <i>any</i> way displaying
5	STATE or government registration or stickers, and was displaying a PRIVATE
6	plate.
7	29. Upon being unlawfully stopped and arrested by Gregory D Eastwood,
8	Robert C V Bowman, William Pratt, and George Reyes, Affiant, informed all
9	Defendants who willfully conspired on the scene in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§
10	241 and 242, that Affiant was a American national of the republic, non-citizen
11	national/national/internationally protected person, privately traveling in a
12	private automobile/conveyance, as articulated by Affiant and as also clearly
13	evidenced by the ' <b>PRIVATE'</b> plate on the <b>private</b> automobile.
14	30. The private automobile is duly reflected on Private UCC Contract Trust/
15	UCC1 filing #2024385925-4 (Exhibit B).
16	31. Under threat, duress, and coercion, and at gunpoint, Gregory D Eastwood
17	and Robert C V Bowman were presented with American national/non-citizen
18	national PASSPORT CARD #C35510079 and PASSPORT BOOK #A39235161
19	(Exhibits E and F).
20	32. You/Defendant/Respondents, acted against the Constitution, even when
21	reminded of their duties to support and uphold the Constitution.
22	FRUIT OF THE POISONOUS TREE DOCTRINE
23	33. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, further
24	asserts and establishes on the record that the undisputedly unlawful and
25	unconstitutional stop, arrest, and subsequent actions of the Defendants/
26	Respondents are in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of
27	the united States of America and constitute an unlawful arrest and seizure.
28	The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine, as articulated by the U.S.
	Page 12 of 39

H

2

3

4

5

6

7

Supreme Court, establishes that <u>any</u> evidence obtained as a result of an unlawful stop or detainment is tainted and inadmissible in <u>any</u> subsequent proceedings. The unlawful actions of Gregory D. Eastwood, Robert C. V.
Bowman, George Reyes, William Pratt, and Robert Gell including *but not limited to* the issuance of fraudulent citations/contracts under threat, duress, and coercion, render all actions and evidence derived therefrom <u>void ab</u> <u>initio</u>. See Wong Sun v. United States, 371 U.S. 471 (1963).

34. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare, assert, and affirm that 8 all actions, evidence, and instruments obtained in connection with the 9 10 unlawful stop and arrest are inadmissible and void as *fruits of the poisonous tree*. This includes, but is not limited to, Trust action/CASE/ 11 CONTRACT #SWM2303376 and/or Trust action/CASE/CONTRACT 12 #B038555 (Exhibit J) and/or Trust action/CASE/CONTRACT 13 #MISW2501134, which was executed under duress, threat, and coercion, 14 15 while Affiant was unlawfully deprived of liberty and imprisoned against his will, without Affiant's consent. 16

35. Again, for the record, I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special 17 Limited Appearance, I simply wish to be left alone in peace and not be 18 harassed, stalked, robbed, deprived under color of law, coerced into 19 commercial contracts, extorted, and/or forced into peonage and/or 20 involuntary servitude. I have NOT injured any man or woman nor have I 21 damaged any property. FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROOF AND EVIDENCE 22 36. Absent a verified and sworn proof of claim as expressly demanded 23 herein, You - Respondent(s) / Defendant(s) - are deemed to have 24 unequivocally agreed by tacit acquiescence that any further attempt to 25 prosecute, proceed, or interfere in these matters shall constitute fraud, 26 deprivation of rights under color of law, judicial fraud, malicious 27 prosecution, conspiracy, racketeering (RICO), and multiple violations 28 Page 13 of 39

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

of **federal law**, including but not limited to **18 U.S.C. §§ 241, 242, and 1962**.

37.Furthermore, Respondent(s)/Defendant(s) **agree and accept** that these matters must be immediately dismissed and terminated **with prejudice**, and that any continued action, omission, or obstruction shall constitute **willful and knowing misconduct under color of law**, exposing all involved to **personal liability**, commercial lien enforcement, and lawful remedy in equity. Affiant and/or Plaintiff(s) accept no liability for any damages arising from your failure to act in honor or law

# DEMAND FOR SETOFF, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE, DISCHARGE, AND RELEASE OF CREDITS, PROCEEDS, AND ORDER

**38.** THIS IS ACTUAL AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE BY SPECIAL DEPOSIT 12 FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SECURED PARTY/GRANTEE BENEFICIARY/ 13 CLAIMANT IN THIS TRUST ACTION FOR THE CLAIMANT'S CLAIM: 14 Notice of absolute claim of all investment, commodity and trust deposit 15 account contract with attached collateral and proceeds to secure collateral, 16 along with claim of TRADENAME/TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT/PATENT 17 of the Name KEVIN L WALKER, my mind, body, soul of infants, spirit, and 18 Live Borne Record, and reject and rebuke all assumptions and presumptions 19 of being Property of any Cestui Que Vie Trust/ESTATE as mentioned under 20 CANNON 2055-2056, and assignment of all debt obligation to the Office of 21 Secretary of the Treasury (31 § USC 3123, 18 § USC 8, and 31 USC 5118). 22 Discharge this tax matter (U.C.C. 3-601, 3-603, 3-409, 3-311, and House Joint 23 Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10). 24

# 39.All charges are hereby accepted for accessed value and returned for value, with honor, for setoff, and full settlement and satisfaction of all charges relating to these matters, in accordance with the Uniform Commercial Code and House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10.

Page 14 of 39

40.It is respectfully requested and **demanded** that the Court Release the credits, proceeds, and Order to the Affiant and/or Claimants immediately

1

2

3

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

41. Consistent with the eternal tradition of natural common law, unless I have 4 harmed or violated someone or their property, I have committed no crime; 5 and I am therefore <u>not</u> subject to any penalty. I act in accordance with the 6 following U.S. Supreme Court case: "The individual may stand upon his 7 constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private 8 9 business in his own way. His power to contract is <u>unlimited</u>. He owes no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, 10 since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and 11 property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] 12 long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from 13 him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among 14 his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself 15 and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. 16 He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their 17 rights." - Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 at 47 (1905). 18

# **NO QUALIFIED OR LIMITED IMMUNITY**

42. "When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.

43. "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." – AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406
F2d 137 t.

#### Page 15 of 39

Cas	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 16 of 90 Page ID #:565
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	44. "Immunity <b>fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility</b> while liability
2	promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the
3	government to its people." (Civil Rights) Rabon vs Rowen Memorial
4	<b>Hospital, Inc.</b> 269 N.S. 1, 13, 152 SE 1 d 485, 493.
5	45. "Judges not only can be sued over their official acts, but could be held <b>liable</b>
6	for injunctive and declaratory relief and attorney's fees." Lezama v. Justice
7	<b>Court</b> , A025829.
8	46." Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a
9	sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100.
10	47. "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel
11	(1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817;
12	People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior
13	Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard
14	(1929), 98 C.A. 33, 276 P. 368.
15	48. "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that ignorance of
16	the law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 421, 84 P. 332.
17	49. "the people, not the States, are sovereign." – Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 419,
18	2 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793).
19	50. ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. (God's Law - Moral and Natural
20	Law). Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke
21	10:17; Col. 3:25. "No one is above the law".
22	51. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE
23	EXPRESSED. (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21) Legal maxim: "To lie is to
24	go against the mind."
25	52. IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John
26	8:32; II Cor. 13:8 ) Truth is sovereign and the Sovereign tells only the truth.
27	53. TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5;
28	Lev. 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12).
	Page 16 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 17 of 90 Page ID
	#:566 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	54. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE.
2	(12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). "He who does not deny, admits."
3	55. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN
4	COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve.
5	56. WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE. The first of these is expressed in
6	Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Mat. 10:10; Luke 10"7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: "It
7	is against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own
8	property."
9	57. HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT.
10	(Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong
11	when he can occasions it.")
12	Executed "without the United States" in compliance with 28 USC § 1746.
13	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.
14	I. <u>Some Relevant U.C.C. Sections and Application</u>
15	11. U.C.C. § 1-308 - Reservation of Rights:
16	This section ensures that acceptance of an offer under duress or coercion does
17	not waive any rights or defenses. By invoking U.C.C. § 1-308, Claimant(s)/
18	Complainant(s)/Plaintiff(s). asserts that any compliance with your offer is
19	made with explicit reservation of rights, preserving all legal remedies.
20	12. U.C.C. § 2-204 – Formation in General:
21	This section establishes that a contract can be formed in any manner sufficient
22	to show agreement, including conduct. By issuing the citation (an implied offer
23	to contract), You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), have initiated a contractual
24	relationship, which has been conditionally accepted with <u>new terms herein</u> .
25	13. U.C.C. § 2-206 – Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract:
26	Under this section, an offer can be accepted in any reasonable manner. By
27	conditionally accepting the citation and dispatching this notice via USPS Certified,
28	Registered, and/or Express mail, Claimant(s)/Complainant(s)/Plaintiff(s) has/have Page 17 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEMENT</u> , and <u>LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 18 of 90 Page ID #:567
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	created a binding contract agreement and obligation which You/Defendant(s)/
2	Respondent(s) are contractually bound and obligated to.
3	14. U.C.C. § 2-202 – Final Written Expression:
4	This provision ensures that the terms of this conditional acceptance supplement
5	the original terms of the citation. By including these conditions, the issuing
6	authority is bound to provide proof of their validity, failing which the
7	conditional acceptance will be expressly stipulated as the final agreement.
8	15. U.C.C. § 1-103 – Supplementary General Principles of Law Applicable:
9	This section allows common law principles to supplement the UCC. Under the
10	doctrine of equity and fair dealing, failure to provide the requested proof
11	constitutes bad faith and silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and tacit
12	procuration to all of the the fact and terms stipulated in this Affidavit Notice
13	and Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement.
14	16. U.C.C. § 3-505 – Evidence of Dishonor
15	Under U.C.C. § 3-505, an unrebutted Affidavit of Default, Dishonor, and Non-
16	Response creates a presumption of dishonor against the defaulting party.
17	Subsection (a) states that certain documents are admissible as evidence and
18	create a <b>presumption of dishonor</b> , including:
19	1. A document regular in form that certifies dishonor, such as a notarized
20	affidavit.
21	<b>2.</b> A writing or stamp from a relevant authority confirming non-acceptance
22	or non-payment.
23	<b>3.</b> A <b>record from a financial institution or other official entity</b> proving dishonor.
24	• Subsection (b) confirms that a protest of dishonor may be made by a notary
25	public or other authorized official, further strengthening the validity and
26	enforceability of the affidavit as prima facie evidence of dishonor.
27	Application:
28	By failing to lawfully rebut or respond, <b>Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) are</b> Page 18 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION

presumed in dishonor, and Plaintiffs' claims are legally established as true
 and enforceable. The *unrebutted* affidavit serves as self-executing proof that
 Respondents/Defendants have defaulted and must now perform according to
 the binding contract agreement and security instrument.

5 II. Legal and Procedural Basis

### 6 1. Mailbox/Postal Rule:

7 Under the mailbox rule, this notice of conditional acceptance is effective and
8 considered accepted by You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) upon dispatch via
9 the respective Registered, Certified, and/or Express mail number. The
10 agreement becomes binding when the notice is sent, not when received. This
11 binds the issuing authority to the terms outlined in this notice unless rebutted
12 within the specified timeframe.

13 2. Offer and Acceptance:

14 Your citation constitutes an offer under contract law. This notice self-executing

15 Contract and Security Agreement conditionally accepts your contract OFFER

and supplements its terms under U.C.C. § 2-202. Failure to fulfill the new and

17 final terms and conditions within the specified **three (3) day** timeframe

18 constitutes silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and tacit procuration.

19 **3.** Consent to Service by Electronic and Postal Means:

20 By the doctrine of silent acquiescence and tacit agreement, You/Defendant(s)/

21 Respondent(s) have consented to service of notices, pleadings, and

22 communications via email, and/or USPS Registered Mail, Express Mail, or

23 Certified Mail. Your failure to rebut or object to this service method within the

specified timeframe constitutes unequivocal acceptance of service through thesemeans.

 III. Legal Basis for Proof of Delivery via Registered Mail
 Under well-established legal precedent, documents sent via Registered Mail with
 return receipt requested (Form 3811) are presumed delivered upon mailing, Page 19 of 39

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 20 of 90 Page ID
	#:569 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement Registered Mail #RF775824858US Dated: April 10, 2025
1	providing strong evidentiary proof of service. Courts have consistently upheld this
2	principle, reinforcing the Mailbox Rule, which states that a properly mailed
3	document is presumed received by the addressee unless convincingly rebutted.
4	Key Legal Precedents Supporting Proof of Delivery
5	1. U.S. v. Bowen, 414 F.2d 1268 (3rd Cir. 1969) – The court held that when
6	Registered Mail is sent with return receipt requested and the receipt is signed,
7	it constitutes prima facie evidence of delivery, meaning the burden shifts to the
8	recipient to prove non-receipt.
9	2. Hagner v. United States, 285 U.S. 427 (1932) – The Supreme Court ruled that
10	mailing a document via Registered Mail creates a strong presumption of
11	receipt by the intended party, further solidifying the evidentiary weight of
12	proper mailing.
13	3. NLRB v. Local Union No. 103, 434 U.S. 335 (1978) – The Court established that a
14	return receipt provides sufficient proof of service unless rebutted with clear
15	and convincing evidence to the contrary.
16	4. Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) Rule 301 – Under this rule, a presumption
17	exists that a properly mailed document is received by the intended recipient,
18	shifting the burden of proof to the recipient to disprove delivery.
19	5. 39 U.S.C. § 3009 – Governs the legality and evidentiary weight of Registered
20	Mail, affirming that mailing with proof of delivery (e.g., Form 3811) is legally
21	sufficient evidence of receipt.
22	6. 26 U.S.C. § 7502 – This statute explicitly states that the date of mailing is
23	deemed the date of filing or receipt when Registered Mail is used, providing
24	strong evidentiary support for the timely delivery and legal effect of mailed
25	documents.
26	Application of the Mailbox Rule
27	The Mailbox Rule dictates that once a document is properly addressed, stamped,
28	and deposited with the postal service, it is presumed delivered and received by
	Page 20 of 39

the addressee. Courts have repeatedly upheld this principle, ensuring that a party
 cannot simply deny receipt to evade legal responsibility. When Registered Mail
 with return receipt requested is used, the proof of mailing is further reinforced by
 the signed receipt, making rebuttal even more difficult

IV. Legal Presumption of Delivery and Evidentiary Weight
 Based on established case law and statutory authority, Registered Mail with return
 receipt requested (Form 3811) serves as prima facie evidence of delivery and
 creates a strong presumption of receipt by the intended party. Under U.S. v.
 Bowen, Hagner v. United States, and NLRB v. Local Union No. 103, this
 presumption stands unless rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

11 Furthermore, **26 U.S.C. § 7502** affirms that the date of mailing via **Registered Mail** 

12 is deemed the date of filing or receipt, solidifying its evidentiary value. Federal

13 **Rules of Evidence Rule 301** shifts the burden to the recipient to prove non-receipt,

14 while **39 U.S.C. § 3009** reinforces the legal sufficiency of proof of delivery through

15 postal records.

16 Accordingly, any challenge to the delivery or receipt of documents sent via

17 **Registered Mail with return receipt** must meet a high evidentiary threshold,

18 ensuring that mailed documents are legally recognized as served and received.

Judgement of \$100,000,000.00 Considered, AGREED TO and Authorized BY
 PLAINTIFFS.

As considered, agreed, and stipulated by Respondent/Defendants in the
 *unrebutted* verified commercial affidavits, and self-executing contract and
 security agreement fully authorizes, endorses, supports, and advocates for the
 entry of a UCC commercial judgement and lien in the amount of One Hundred
 Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00) against Plaintiff, in favor of
 Defendants, as also evidenced by INVOICE/TRUE BILL #RIVCOUNTYDIS25
 which is a part of Exhibits M and L. INVOICE/TRUE BILL

28 #RIVCOUNTYDIS25 is again incorporated herein.

Page 21 of 39

<ol> <li>As considered, agreed, and stipulated, should it be deemed necessary, the Defendants are <u>fully Authorized</u> to initiate the filing of a lien, and the seizing of property to secure satisfaction of the ADJUDGED, DECREED, AND <u>AUTHORIZED</u> sum total due to Affiant, and/or Defendants of, One Hundred Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000,00).</li> <li>You/Respondents/Defendants have/has <u>not</u> submitted any evidence to contradict or rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as <b>Truth</b>.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and <b>You/</b> <b>Respondent(s)</b> are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li><u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i> <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i> <i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li><u>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</u> <u>Pare </u></li></ol>	Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 22 of 90 Page ID #:571 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
<ul> <li>Defendants are <u>fully Authorized</u> to initiate the filing of a lien, and the seizing of property to secure satisfaction of the ADJUDGED, DECREED, AND</li> <li><u>AUTHORIZED</u> sum total due to Affiant, and/or Defendants of, One Hundred Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00).</li> <li>You/Respondents/Defendants have/has <u>not</u> submitted any evidence to contradict or rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li><b>Respondent(s) are barred</b> from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusal).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in farticle VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	1	2. As <b>considered</b> , agreed, and stipulated, should it be <b>deemed</b> necessary, the
<ul> <li>property to secure satisfaction of the ADJUDGED, DECREED, AND</li> <li><u>AUTHORIZED</u> sum total due to Affiant, and/or Defendants of, One Hundred</li> <li>Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00).</li> <li>You/Respondents/Defendants have/has not submitted any evidence to contradict or</li> <li>rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the</li> <li>affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California</li> <li>Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties</li> <li>have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise</li> <li>protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the</li> <li>unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is</li> <li>submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent</li> <li>processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of</li> <li>the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</li> <li>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts,</li></ul>	2	
4       AUTHORIZED sum total due to Affiant, and/or Defendants of, One Hundred         5       Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00).         6       3. You/Respondents/Defendants have/has not submitted any evidence to contradict or         7       rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the         8       affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California         9       Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties         10       have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.         11       4. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise         12       protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the         13       unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is         14       submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/         15       Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent         16       processes, whether administrative or judicial.         17       5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of         18       the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).         19       V. DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE         20       THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION <td>3</td> <td></td>	3	
<ul> <li>Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00).</li> <li>You/Respondents/Defendants have/has <u>not</u> submitted any evidence to contradict or rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California</li> <li>Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as <b>Truth</b>.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and <b>You/</b> <b>Respondent(s) are barred</b> from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i> <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i> <i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections <u>Page 22 of 39</u></li> </ul>	4	
<ul> <li>rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California</li> <li>Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	5	
affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California         Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties         have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.         4. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise         protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the         unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is         submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/         Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent         processes, whether administrative or judicial.         5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of         the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).         V.       DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE         THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION         You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the         Constitution of the United States, its principles, and the rule of law. By their bad <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated willful and         intentional disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in         Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,         federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,	6	3. You/Respondents/Defendants have/has <u>not</u> submitted any evidence to contradict or
<ul> <li>Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/ Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li><u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i> <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i> <i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>Violations of Constitutional Protections <u>Page 22 of 39</u></li> </ul>	7	rebut the statements made in the affidavits. As a result, the facts set forth in the
<ul> <li>have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.</li> <li>You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li><b>Respondent(s) are barred</b> from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li><b>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</b></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	8	affidavits are deemed true and uncontested. Even then non-applicable California
<ul> <li>4. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	9	Evidence Code § 664 and related case law support the presumption that official duties
<ul> <li>protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the</li> <li>unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is</li> <li>submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent</li> <li>processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of</li> <li>the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u></li> <li>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> <li>Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	10	have been regularly performed, and unrebutted affidavits stand as Truth.
<ul> <li>unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent</li> <li>processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u></li> <li><u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/ Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> <li>Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	11	4. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may not argue, controvert, or otherwise
<ul> <li>submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/</li> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent</li> <li>processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of</li> <li>the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li><u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u></li> <li><u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	12	protest the finality of the administrative findings established through the
<ul> <li>Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u> <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i> <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i> <i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution, federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states, courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections <u>Page 22 of 39</u></li> </ul>	13	unrebutted affidavits. As per established legal principles, once an affidavit is
<ul> <li>processes, whether administrative or judicial.</li> <li>5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u> <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	14	submitted and not rebutted, its content is accepted as true, and You/
<ul> <li>5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u> <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	15	Respondent(s) are barred from contesting these findings in subsequent
<ul> <li>the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).</li> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u> <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	16	processes, whether administrative or judicial.
<ul> <li>V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u> <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	17	5. All are equal under the law (Aequitas est quasi aequalitas), and ignorance of
<ul> <li>20 <u>THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION</u></li> <li>21 You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>22 Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li>23 <i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li>24 <i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>25 Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>26 federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>27 courts, and officers.</li> <li>28 A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> <li>20 Page 22 of 39</li> </ul>	18	the law is no excuse (Ignorantia juris non excusat).
<ul> <li>You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the</li> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	19	V. <u>DEFENDANTS' ACTIONS AS ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE</u>
<ul> <li>Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i>, and the rule of law. By their <i>bad</i></li> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	20	THE PEOPLE AND THE CONSTITUTION
<ul> <li><i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i></li> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	21	You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)'s conduct constitutes an outright war against the
<ul> <li><i>intentional</i> disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in</li> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections</li> </ul>	22	Constitution of the United States, its <i>principles</i> , and the <b>rule of law</b> . By their <i>bad</i>
<ul> <li>Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,</li> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39 </li> </ul>	23	<i>faith</i> and deplorable actions, the defendants have demonstrated <i>willful and</i>
<ul> <li>federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,</li> <li>courts, and officers.</li> <li>A. Violations of Constitutional Protections         Page 22 of 39     </li> </ul>	24	intentional disregard and contempt for the supreme law of the land, as set forth in
<ul> <li>27 courts, and officers.</li> <li>28 A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39 </li> </ul>	25	Article VI, Clause 2 of the Constitution, which declares that the Constitution,
28 A. Violations of Constitutional Protections Page 22 of 39	26	federal laws, and treaties are the supreme law of the land, binding upon all states,
Page 22 of 39	27	courts, and officers.
	28	

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 23 of 90 Page ID #:572				
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025				
1	The defendants have intentionally and exchanatically encaged in acts that				
1	The defendants have intentionally and systematically engaged in acts that				
2	directly violate the protections guaranteed to the plaintiffs and the people under				
3	the Constitution, including but not limited to:				
4	1. Violation of the Plaintiffs' Unalienable Rights: The defendants have				
5	deprived the plaintiffs of life, liberty, and property without due process of				
6	law, as guaranteed under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.				
7	2. Subversion of the Rule of Law: Through their actions, the defendants have				
8	undermined the separation of powers and checks and balances established				
9	by the Constitution. They have disregarded the judiciary's duty to uphold the				
10	Constitution by attempting to operate outside the confines of lawful				
11	authority, rendering themselves effectively unaccountable.				
12	3. Treasonous Conduct: Pursuant to Article III, Section 3, treason against				
13	the United States is defined as levying war against them or adhering to				
14	their enemies, giving them aid and comfort. The defendants' conduct in				
15	subverting the constitutional order, depriving <u>C</u> itizens of their lawful				
16	rights, and unlawfully exercising power without jurisdiction constitutes				
17	a form of domestic treason against the Constitution and the people it				
18	protects.				
19	B. Acts of Aggression and Tyranny				
20	The defendants' actions amount to a usurpation of authority and a direct attack				
21	on the sovereignty of the people, who are the true source of all government				
22	power under the Constitution. As stated in the Declaration of Independence,				
23	whenever any form of government becomes destructive of the unalienable rights				
24	of the people, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it. The defendants,				
25	through their actions, have positioned themselves as adversaries to this				
26	principle, attempting to replace the rule of law with arbitrary and unlawful				
27	dictates.				
28	C. Weaponizing Authority to Oppress				
	Page 23 of 39				

Page 23 of 39

Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Filed 04/14/25 Page 24 of 90 Page ID Document 14 #:573 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 --- Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement --- Registered Mail #RF775824858US --- Dated: April 10, 2025 The defendants' intentional misuse of their authority to act against the interests 1 of the Constitution and its **C**itizens is a clear manifestation of tyranny. Rather 2 3 than serving their constitutional mandate to protect and defend the Constitution, they have actively waged war on it by: 4 5 Suppressing lawful claims and evidence presented by the plaintiffs to protect their property and rights. 6 7 Engaging in acts of fraud, coercion, and racketeering that strip plaintiffs of ۰ their constitutional protections. 8 9 • Dismissing the jurisdictional authority of constitutional mandates, including but not limited to rights to due process and equal protection under 10 the law. 11 The defendants' actions are not merely breaches of law; they are acts of *insurrection* 12 and rebellion against the very foundation of the nation's constitutional 13 14 framework. Such acts must not go unchallenged, as they jeopardize the constitutional order, the rights of the people, and the rule of law that ensures justice 15 16 and equality. Plaintiffs call upon the court and relevant authorities to enforce the Constitution, compel accountability, and halt the defendants' treasonous war 17 against the supreme law of the land. 18 VI. <u>'Bare Statutes' as Confirmation of Guilt and the Necessity</u> 19 of Prosecution by an Enforcer 20 Plaintiffs' incorporation of "bare statutes" does NOT exonerate Defendants; rather, 21 22 it serves as evidence of Defendants' guilt, which they have already undisputedly admitted through their actions and lack of rebuttal to any affidavits, which they 23 have a duty to respond to. The invocation of bare statutes merely underscores the 24

25 necessity for Plaintiffs to compel a formal enforcer, such as a District Attorney or

Attorney General, to prosecute the criminal violations. This requirement for
enforcement does <u>NOT</u> negate the Defendants' culpability but, instead, affirms the

28 gravity of their admitted violations.

Page 24 of 39

In this matter, Plaintiffs have thoroughly detailed the Defendants' willful and 1 intentional breaches of multiple federal statutes under Title 18, and Plaintiff's 2 private right(s) of action. These *blatant* and *willful* violations have been clearly 3 articulated in this NOTICE, AFFIDAVIT, AND CONTRACT SECURITY 4 AGREEMENT. Defendants' actions constitute treasonous conduct against the 5 **Constitution and the American people**. Their behavior, alongside that of their 6 7 counsel, reflects an attitude of being above the law, further solidifying their guilt. Plaintiffs maintain that the Defendants' reliance on procedural defenses or 8 technicalities does not absolve them of their criminal conduct. Instead, their actions 9 10 are an unequivocal admission of guilt that necessitates legal action by the appropriate prosecutorial authority. Plaintiffs reserve all rights to compel such 11 enforcement to ensure that the Defendants are held fully accountable for their 12 crimes. 13

14

## VII. <u>RESPONSE DEADLINE: REQUIRED WITHIN THREE (3) DAYS:</u>

15 A response and/or compensation and/or restitution payment must be received within a deadline of three (3) days. At the "Deadline" is defined as 5:00 16 17 p.m. on the third (3rd) day after your receipt of this affidavit. "Failure to respond" is defined as a blank denial, unsupported denial, inapposite denial, such as, "not 18 applicable" or equivalent, statements of counsel and other declarations by third 19 parties that lack first-hand knowledge of the facts, and/or responses lacking 20 verification, all such responses being legally insufficient to controvert the verified 21 statements herewith. See Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc and Beasley, Supra. Failure to 22 respond can result in your acceptance of personal liability external to qualified 23 immunity and waiver of any decision rights of remedy. 24

# 25 VIII. <u>FAILURE TO RESPOND AND/OR PERFORM, REMEDY, AND</u> 26 <u>SETTLEMENT</u>

27 If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad
 28 Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHWEST JUSTICE
 Page 25 of 39

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 26 of 90 Page ID #:575				
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement Registered Mail #RF775824858US Dated: April 10, 2025				
1	CENTER, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY,				
2	RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, fail to respond and perform				
3	within three (3) days of receiving this Affidavit Notice and Self-Executing				
4	<b>Contract and Security Agreement and</b> , with <b>verified evidence</b> accompanied by an				
5	affidavit sworn under penalty of perjury, as required by law, then:				
6	1. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), individually and collectively, fully agree and				
7	acknowledge that you are <b>bound by law to act in good faith</b> and must:				
8	• Cease all acts of conspiracy, fraud, identity theft, embezzlement,				
9	deprivation under color of law, extortion, bank fraud, harassment,				
10	conspiracy to deprive, and any other violations of law.				
11	• Immediately pay the sum of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000.00)				
12	in lawfully recognized currency, such as gold and silver coin, as				
13	authorized under Article I, Section 10, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution,				
14	as Restitution and Settlement, including all costs and fees associated with				
15	handling these matters, and damages for the <b>unauthorized use of the</b>				
16	COREY WALKER Copyright and Trademark.				
17	Release all special deposit funds, currency, and/or credits due to Affiant				
18	and/or Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s).				
19	2. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) must immediately record a 'QUITCLAIM				
20	DEED' transferring any purported interest to Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) and/or				
21	tender a 'Rescission of Trustee's Deed of Sale.'				
22	Failure to comply constitutes tacit admission and binding legal agreement under				
23	commercial and common law, enforceable as a matter of law and record				
24	IX. Three Hundred Million Dollars (\$500,000,000.00) Restitution				
25	Settlement Payment REQUIRED				
26	Furthermore, if You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda				
27	Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,				
28	SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CENTER, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY,				
	Page 26 of 39 <u>AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT</u> and LIEN AUTHORIZATION				

Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 27 of 90 Page ID **#**.576 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025 RIVERSIDE COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, fail to 1 respond and perform within three (3) days from the date of receipt of this 2 communication by providing verified evidence and proof of the facts and 3 conditions set forth herein, accompanied by affidavits sworn under penalty of 4 perjury, as required by law, then: 5 1. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), individually and collectively, expressly 6 agree that within three (3) days of receipt of this contract offer, You/ 7 Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) shall: 8 9 Issue restitution payment in the total sum certain of Five Hundred • 10 Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$500,000.00 USD). Acknowledge that said amount becomes immediately due and payable to 11 Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s). 12 Failure to comply constitutes tacit acquiescence, full acceptance of all claims as true, and 13 a binding legal agreement enforceable under commercial and common law. 14 One Trillion Dollar (\$1,000,000,000,000.00) Default Χ. 15 Judgement and Lien 16 If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad 17 18 Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CENTER, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, 19 RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, fail to respond and perform 20 within three (3) days from the date of receipt of this communication, as 21 contractually required, then You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), individually and 22 collectively, fully agree and accept that: 23 1. The entire amount itemized in Invoice #RIVCOUNTYDIS25, totaling 24 One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00), in lawfully recognized 25 currency, such as gold and silver coin, as authorized under Article I, 26 Section 10, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution, shall become immediately 27 due and payable in full. 28

Cas	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 28 of 90 Page ID #:577				
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025				
1	2. By failing to respond and perform within the required timeframe, You/				
2	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), individually and collectively, expressly admit to				
3	all statements and claims by TACIT PROCURATION, and fully agree that				
4	You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) are:				
5	Guilty of fraud, theft, embezzlement, larceny, and fraudulent				
6	misapplication of funds and assets				
7	<ul> <li>Engaged in forgery and unauthorized use of identity</li> </ul>				
8	• Monopolizing trade and commerce, engaging in unfair business practices				
9	<ul> <li>Depriving Affiant of rights under the color of law</li> </ul>				
10	Receiving extortion proceeds, engaging in false pretenses, extortion, and				
11	racketeering				
12	Committing bank fraud and fraudulent transportation and transfer of				
13	stolen goods and securities				
14	<ul> <li>Unlawfully interfering, intimidating, and inflicting emotional distress</li> </ul>				
15	Willfully violating public policy and the Constitution				
16	<ul> <li>Directly responsible for injury and damage to Affiant</li> </ul>				
17	3. Failure to respond constitutes binding contractual agreement and irrevocable				
18	admission of guilt under commercial and common law, enforceable as a				
19	matter of law and record.				
20	XI. JUDGEMENT AND COMMERCIAL LIEN				
21	AUTHORIZATION				
22	If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson,				
23	Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHWEST				
24					
25	RIVERSIDE COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive,				
26	fail to respond within three (3) days from the date of receipt of this				
27	communication, then you/they, individually and collectively, shall be				
28	deemed to have: Page 28 of 39				
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEMENT</u> , and <u>LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>				

1	1. Fully and unequivocally decreed, accepted, authorized (pursuant to UCC				
2	Article 9), endorsed, supported, and advocated for a judgment, summary				
3	judgment, and/or commercial lien in the amount of One Hundred				
4	Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00), in lawfully recognized currency, such				
5	as gold and silver coin, as authorized under Article I, Section 10, Clause				
6	1 of the U.S. Constitution, against You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) in				
7	favor of <b>Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) and/or their lawfully designated</b>				
8	ASSIGNEE(S).				
9	<ol> <li>Expressly, fully, and unequivocally authorized, endorsed, supported, and</li> </ol>				
10	advocated for Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated				
11	ASSIGNEE(S) to formally notify:				
12	The U.S. Department of the Treasury				
13	The Internal Revenue Service (IRS)				
14	The respective Congressional Representative				
15	The U.S. Attorney General				
16	Any other individual, legal fiction, or entity Affiant deems necessary				
17	3. Consented to the submission of requisite IRS tax forms, including but not				
18	limited to Forms 1099-A, 1099-OID, 1099-C, 1096, 1040, 1041, 1041-V, 1040-V,				
19	and 3949-A, documenting:				
20	<ul> <li>One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00 USD) as income to You/</li> </ul>				
21	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s).				
22	• The same amount as lost revenue and/or income to Affiant,				
23	Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated				
24	ASSIGNEE(S).				
25	Failure to respond constitutes tacit agreement and binding acceptance of these				
26	terms as a matter of law and commerce.				
27	XII. <u>SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, U.C.C. 3-505</u>				
28	PRESUMED DISHONOR				
	Page 29 of 39 <u>AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>				

Cas	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 30 of 90 Page ID
	#:579 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	It is further agreed that said income <i>shall</i> be <b>assessed and claimed</b> as income by
2	You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) through one or more of the following legal
3	enforcement mechanisms:
4	1. Filing a lawsuit followed by a DEMAND for Summary Judgment as a matter
5	of law, in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 437c(c) and
6	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a).
7	2. Executing an Affidavit Certificate of Non-Response, Dishonor, Judgment, and
8	Lien Authorization, pursuant to U.C.C. § 3-505.
9	3. Issuing an ORDER TO PAY or BILL OF EXCHANGE to the U.S. Treasury and
10	IRS in the sum certain of One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00) for
11	immediate credit to Affiant, Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully
12	designated ASSIGNEE(S).
13	This Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement serves as prima facie
14	evidence of You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s)'s Verified INDEBTEDNESS to
15	Affiant, Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S).
16	Should it be deemed necessary, Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) are fully authorized under
17	U.C.C. § 9-509 to file a UCC Commercial Lien and/or UCC-1 Financing Statement
18	to <b>perfect their security interest</b> and secure full satisfaction of the adjudged sum of
19	One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00)
20	*** SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT AND SECURITY AGREEMENT***:
21	Again for the record, this <u>contract</u> , <u>received</u> and <u>accepted</u> per the <u>mailbox</u>
22	<u>rule</u> , is self-executing and serves as a SECURITY AGREEMENT, and establishes
23	a lien, Authorized by You/They/the DEBTOR(S). <u>Acceptance</u> of this <u>contract</u> is
24	deemed to occur at the moment it is dispatched via mail, in accordance with the
25	mailbox rule established in common law. Under this rule, an acceptance becomes
26	effective and binding once it is properly addressed, stamped, and placed in the
27	control of the postal service, as supported by Adams v. Lindsell (1818) 106 ER 250.
28	Furthermore, as a self-executing agreement, this contract creates immediate and
	Page 30 of 39 <u>AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 31 of 90 Page ID #:580
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	enforceable obligations without the need for further action, functioning also as a
2	SECURITY AGREEMENT under Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code
3	(UCC).
4	*** SELF-EXECUTING CONTRACT AND SECURITY AGREEMENT***:
5	XIII. <u>ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE:</u>
6	If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad Bianco,
7	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHWEST JUSTICE CENTER,
8	RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, RIVERSIDE
9	COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive fail to respond by addressing each point, on a
10	point-by-point basis, You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) individually and collectively:
11	1. Accept all statements, declarations, stipulations, facts, and claims as Truth and
12	Fact by TACIT PROCURATION.
13	2. Acknowledge that all issues are deemed settled under RES JUDICATA,
14	STARE DECISIS, and COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL.
15	<b>3.</b> Waive any right to argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the finality of these
16	administrative findings in any subsequent process, whether administrative or
17	judicial.
18	4. Are permanently barred from raising any future objections to the findings herein.
19	(For any terms you do not "understand," refer to <b>Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Ed.</b> ).
20	Furthermore, failure to fully respond will constitute express agreement that You/
21	Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) shall not argue, controvert, or protest the finality of
22	these findings <b>in any administrative or judicial process</b> , as certified by <b>Notary or</b>
23	Witness Acceptor in an Affidavit Certificate of Non-Response and/or Judgment
24	or similar binding instrument.
25	Should You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) fail to respond, provide partial,
26	unsworn, or incomplete answers, such responses are not acceptable and shall have
27	no legal effect. The Courts have consistently upheld that <b>failure to properly</b>
28	respond results in admissions of fact, as seen in:

Page 31 of 39

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 32 of 90 Page ID #:581 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	• Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 (1952):
2	"Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the
3	request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time
4	permitted," thus, under the specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the
5	facts in question were deemed admitted as true.
6	• Beasley v. U.S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948):
7	"I, therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted."
8	• Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976):
9	"Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing
10	party's affidavit or pleadings may be accepted as true by the trial court."
11	Failure to fully comply within the required timeframe constitutes absolute
12	admission, binding legal agreement, and final settlement of all claims as a matter
13	of law and commerce.
14	//
15	//
16	//
17	//
18	//
19	//
20	//
21	//
22	//
23	//
24	//
25	//
26	//
27	//
28	//
	Page 32 of 39 <u>AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>

Case	5:25-cv-006	46-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:582	Filed 04/14/25	Page 33 of 9	0 Page ID	
	Fraudulent Trust action	D/CASE NO.: SWM2303376	- Self-Executing Contract and	Security Agreement — Regis	tered Mail #RF775824858US	— Dated: April 10, 2025	
1				Invoice	# RIVCOUNTYDIS2	5	
2		INV	OICE and	/or TRUE	BILL		
3			Respondent(s), C	ustomer(s), Fidu	ciary(ies), Agen	t(s), and/or	
4	DEBTOR(S):						
5	It has come to OUR attention that you are <b>deemed guilty</b> of <b>multiple felony</b> crimes, violations of <b>U.S. Code</b> , <b>U.C.C</b> , the Constitution, and the law. You have or currently still are threatening, extorting, depriving, coercing, damaging, injuring, and causing irreparable physical, mental, emotional, and						
6	financial harm to <sup>TM</sup> KEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, <sup>TM</sup> WG EXPRESS TRUST©, <sup>TM</sup> KEVIN WALKER© IRR TRUST and its/their beneficiary(ies), and their Fiduciary(ies), Trustee(s), Executor(s), Agent(s), and Representatives. You remain in default, dishonor, and have an outstanding past due balance due						
8	immediately, 1					000.000.00	
9	2.	18 U.S. Code § 4 - Mispri				000.000.000	
10	3.	Professional and personal preparing documents for	al fees and costs associated w	ith	¢3.	000,000.00	
11	4.		polizing trade a felony; penal	tv:		),000,000.00	
12	5.	18 U.S. Code § 241 - Con			\$1,	000,000.00	
	6.	18 U.S. Code § 242 - Dep	rivation of rights under color	e of law:	\$1,	000,000.00	
13	7.	18 U.S. Code § 1344 - Bar (fine and/or up to 30 yea			\$1,	000,000.00	
14	8.		bility of United States and St	ates, and			
15		instrumentalities and off			\$1,	000,000.00	
16	9.	15 U.S. Code § 1 - Trusts, (fine and/or up to 10 years)	, etc., in restraint of trade ille ars imprisonment):	gal; penalty	\$1,	000,000.00	
17	10.	18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Int (fine and/or up to 20 yea	erference with commerce by ars imprisonment):	threats or violence	\$1,	000,000.00	
18	11.		Protection of foreign officials	, official guests, and		000 000 00	
19	12.	internationally protected	1 persons: ats and extortion against fore	ign officials official	\$1,	000,000.00	
20	12.		y protected persons (fine and	-	\$1,	000,000.00	
21	13.		eiving the proceeds of extorti	<b>on (</b> fine and/ or up to	<b>.</b>		
22		3 years imprisonment):			\$1	0,000,000.00	
23	14.	Use of ™KEVIN LEWIS	WALKER©:	× 4	\$4,	.000,000.00	
24	15.	bad faith actions, treason	uction, identity theft, extortion, monopolization of trade a	nd commerce,			
25		emotional anguish and (	cion, identity theft, mental tr trauma. embezzlement, larce oyable life, deprivation of ri	ny, felony crimes,			
26			inst the Constitution, injury	-	\$1	3,000,000.00	
27				Good I	Faith Discount:	100,000,000.00 USD \$99,500,000.00 USD	
28				Total Due	ll Due by 04/15/2025: after 04/15/2025: <u>\$1</u>	<u>\$500,000.00</u> USD 100,000,000.00 USD	
	AFFIDAVIT	CERTIFICATE of DIS	Page HONOR, NON-RESPO	<u>33 of 39</u> NSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDG</u>	EMENT and LIEN AU	THORIZATION	

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 34 of 90 Page ID			
	#:583 Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025			
1	EXHIBITS/ATTACHMENTS:			
2	1.Exhibit A: 'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact'			
3	2. Exhibit B: Private UCC Contract Trust/UCC1 filing #2024385925-4.			
4	3. Exhibit C: Library of Congress Certified Copy of The Public Statutes at Large of the United			
5	States of America from March 1933 to June 1934: House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5,			
6	1933, Public Law 73-10			
7	4. Exhibit D: Affidavit Right of Travel CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, AND			
8	REVOCATION of COMMERCIAL "For Hire" DRIVER'S LICENSE CONTRACT and			
9	AGREEMENT. LICENSE/BOND #B6735991 (#RF661447751US).			
10	5. Exhibit E: national/non-citizen national passport card #C35510079.			
11	6. Exhibit F: national/non-citizen national passport book #A39235161.			
12	7.Exhibit G: AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of STATUS, ASSETS, RIGHTS, JURISDICTION,			
13	AND PROTECTIONS as national/non-citizen national, foreign government, foreign			
14	official, internationally protected person, international organization, secured party/			
15	secured creditor, and/or national of the United States, #RF661448964US.			
16	8. Exhibit H: ™KEVIN LEWIS WALKER© Copyright and Trademark Agreement.			
17	9. Exhibit I: Revocation Termination and Cancelation of Franchise.			
18	10. Exhibit J: CITATION/BOND #B038555, accepted under threat, duress, and coercion,			
19	with all rights reserved.			
20	11. Exhibit K: Hold Harmless Indemnity Agreement			
21	12. Exhibit L: Private Post Registered (with U.S. Treasury) \$200,000,000,000.00 USD			
22	'MASTER DISCHARGE AND INDEMNITY BOND,' #RF372320890US			
23	13.Exhibit M: Affidavit and Contract and Security Agreement #RF775821397US / NOTICE			
24	OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE, CLAIM, and FRAUD, RACKETEERING,			
25	CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW,			
26	IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, TREASON.			
27	14.Exhibit N: Affidavit and Contract and Security Agreement #RF775824416US / NOTICE			
28	OF DEFAULT, and NOTICE OF FRAUD, RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY,			
	Page 34 of 39			
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEMENT</u> , and <u>LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>			

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 35 of 90 Page ID #:584
	Fraudulent Trust action (CASE No) SWM2501076 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail Biol 7938246381.0 Der Cospil to 2015
1	HARASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY
2	THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, AND REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR SETOFF,
3	DISCHARGE, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE, AND DISMISSAL.
4	15.Exhibit O: Affidavit and Contract and Security Agreement #RF775824858US / NOTICE
5	OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE, and NOTICE OF FRAUD,
6	RACKFTEERING, CONSPIRACY, HARASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS
7	UNDER COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, AND
8	REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR SETOFF, DISCHARGE, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE,
9	AND DISMISSAL.
10	//
11	//
12	<b>COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:</b>
13	County of Riverside )
14	) Commercial Oath and Verification
15	The State of California )
16	I, KEVIN WALKER, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding
17	in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true,
18	correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief
19	under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of
20	Truth regarding same signed and sealed this <u>10TH</u> day of <u>APRIL</u> in the year of Our
21	Lord two thousand and twenty five:
22	
23	All rights reserved without prejudice and without recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.

By: Kevin Walker, Executor & Authorized Representative,

24

25

Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be
established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen,
take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two

Page 35 of 39
<u>AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, IUDGEMENT</u>, and LIEN, AUTHORIZATION

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 36 of 90 Page ID #:585			
	Translation Translation (*3.87, Scit Abs/323-0376) Soft Executing Contract and Security Agreement Registered Mad (62) 7758238381 [] Edited April 10: 5029			
1	or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every			
2	word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1.			
3	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.			
4	By: $y_{2}$			
5	Sonnabelle Mortel (WITNESS)			
6	All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402.			
7	By: Comp Dafol Walker (WITNESS)			
8				
9	PROOF OF SERVICE			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )			
11	) ss.			
12	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE )			
13	I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within			
14	action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, care of: 30650 Rancho			
15	California Road suite 406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On April 10, 2025, I			
16	served the within documents:			
17	1. AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT,			
18	JUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION			
19	2. Exhibits A through O.			
20	By United States Mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package			
21	addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below by placing the envelope for			
22	collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily			
23	familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence			
24	for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and			
25	mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States			
26	Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepared. I am a resident or			
27	employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was			
28	placed in the mail in Riverside County, California, and sent via Registered Mail Page 36 of 39			

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 37 of 90 Page ID #:586
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	with a form 3811.
2	Wesley Hsu C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU
3	C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU 350 West 1st Street, Courtroom 9B, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California [90012]
4	Los Angeles, California [90012] Registered Mail #RF775824861US with form 3811
5	Clerk(s), Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies), Trustee(s) C/o CLERK OF COURT / MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER
6	C/o CLÉRK OF ĆOURT / MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER 30755 Auld Road - D Murrieta, California [92563]
7	Registered Mail #RF775824858US with form 3811
8	Pam Bondi C/o U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9	950 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824875US with form 3811
10	Registered Mail #RF775824875US with form 3811
11	Kash Patel C/o FBI Headquarters
12	935 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20535-0001] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824889US with form 3811
13	
14	Michael Hestrin and Miranda Thomson C/o OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
15	3960 Orange Street Riverside, California [92501] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824892US with form 3811
16	
17	Steve Bessent C/o Department of the Treasury
18	1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20220] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF77582901US with form 3811
19	<b>By Electronic Service.</b> Based on a contract, and/or court order, and/or an
20	<u>agreement of the parties</u> to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the
21	documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed
22 23	below.
23 24	Wesley Hsu
24	C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU 350 West 1st Street, Courtroom 9B, 9th Floor
25 26	Los Angeles, California [90012] WLH_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov
20	Gregory D Eastwood, Robert C V Bowman, George Reves, William
28	Pratt, Robert Gell, Joseph Sinz, Nicholas O Gruwell, C/o MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER
20	Page 37 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEMENT</u> , and <u>LIEN_AUTHORIZATION</u>

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:587	Filed 04/14/25	Page 38 of 90	Page ID
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 -	- Self-Executing Contract and	Security Agreement — Registere	ed Mail #RF775824858US	Dated: April 10, 2025
1	30755 Auld Ro Murrieta, Cali	fornia [92563]			
2	<u>ssherman@lav</u> jsinz@riversid	esheritt.org			
3		sidesheriff.org			
4	Pam Bondi C/o U.S. DEP	ARTMENT OF	JUSTICE		
5	Washington, I	District of Color <u>isdoj.gov</u>	mbia [20530-000]	[]	
6	Kash Patel				
7	C/o FBI Head 935 Pennsylva	dquarters mia Avenue, N	orth West mbia [20535-000]		
8	Washington, I <u>crm.section@</u> 1	District of Color <u>isdoj.gov</u>	mbia [20535-000]	1]	
9	Rob Bonta	, 0		~	
10	1300 "I" Stree	t	RNEY GENERA	L	
11	Sacramento, C police-Practic	California [9581 <u>es@doj.ca.gov</u>	4-2919]		
12		in and Mirand			
13	3960 Orange S	Street Strornia [92501]	RICT ATTORNE	Y	
14 15	DAOffice@riv	<u>co.org</u>			
16	I declare under pena	alty of perjury ı	under the laws o	f the State of Ca	alifornia
17	that the above is true and	correct. Execut	ed on April 10, 2	2025 in Riversic	le County,
18	California.				
19			<u>/s/Da</u> Donnabelle	<i>mnabelle Mort</i> Mortel	el/
20	//				
21	//				
22	//				
23	//				
24	//				
25		NO	TICE:		
26	Using a notary on this doc	rument does <i>no</i>	<i>t</i> constitute any	adhesion <i>, nor a</i>	loes it alter
27	my status in any manner.	The purpose fo	or notary is verifi	cation and ider	ntification
28	only and not for entrance :	, U	n jurisdiction. 38 of 39		
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISI			IENT, and LIEN AUTH	ORIZATION

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 39 of 90 Page ID #:588
	Fraudulent Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 10, 2025
1	<u>JURAT</u> :
2	A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the
3	State of Riverside ) document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.
4	County of California )
5	Subscribed and sworn to (or <u>affirmed</u> ) before me on this <u>10th</u> day of <u>April</u> , <u>2025</u> by <u>Kevin Walker</u> proved to
6	me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
7	Joyfi Patel Notary public JOYTI PATEL
8	print Notary Public - California
9	<u>Joytilatel</u> Seal: <u>Wy Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026</u>
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Page 39 of 39
	AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of DISHONOR, NON-RESPONSE, DEFAULT, <u>IUDGEMENT</u> and <u>LIEN AUTHORIZATION</u>

#### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 40 of 90 Page ID

		COLLEGGED (	(I checked)	
LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. KEVIN LEWIS WALKER 30650 Rancho California Road suite 406-251 Temecula CA 92591 US - Phone: 3109238521			OMB No. 1545-0877 Form <b>1099-A</b> (Rev. January 2022) For calendar year 2024	Acquisition or Abandonment of Secured Property
LENDER'S TIN 56-8997454	BORROWER'S TIN	1 Date of lender's acc or knowledge of abandonment 03/14/2025	quisition2 Balance of principal outstanding \$2500.00	Copy B For Borrower This is important tax information
BORROWER'S name, Street address (including apt. no.), City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE Clerk and Fiduciary 30755-D Auld Road			4 Fair market value of property \$2500.00	and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a
Murrieta CA 92563 US		5 If checked, the born repayment of the deb	www.was personally liable for t▶☑	negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if taxable
Account number (see instru MISW2501134	ctions)	6 Description of prop Appearance, Bid, & 1	verty Payment BOND.UUC3603	income results from this transaction and the IRS determines that it has not been reported

Form 1099-A(Rev. 1-2022)

www.tax1099.com - IRS Approved e File Provider

www.irs.gov/Form1099A

#### **Instructions for Borrower**

Certain lenders who acquire an interest in property that was security for a loan or who have reason to know that such property has been abandoned must provide you with this statement. You may have reportable income or loss because of such acquisition or abandonment. Gain or loss from an acquisition is generally measured by the difference between your adjusted basis in the property and the amount of your debt canceled in exchange for the property or, if greater, the sale proceeds. If you abandoned the property, you may have income from the discharge of indebtedness in the amount of the unpaid balance of your canceled debt. The tax consequences of abandoning property depend on whether or not you were personally liable for the debt. Losses on acquisitions or abandonments of property held for personal use are not deductible. See Pub. 4681 for information about your tax consequences. Property means any real property (such as a personal residence), any intangible property, and tangible personal property that is held for investment or used in a trade or business.

If you borrowed money on this property with someone else, each of you should receive this statement.

**Borrower's taxpayer identification number (TIN).** For your protection, this form may show only the last four digits of your TIN (social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN). However, the issuer has reported your complete TIN to the IRS.

Account number: May show an account or other unique number the lender assigned to distinguish your account.

Box 1.For a lender's acquisition of property that was security for a loan, the date shown is generally the earlier of the date title was transferred to the lender

or the date possession and the burdens and benefits of ownership were transferred to the lender. This may be the date of a foreclosure or execution sale or the date your right of redemption or objection expired. For an abandonment, the date shown is the date on which the lender first knew or had reason to know that the property was abandoned or the date of a foreclosure, execution, or similar sale.

**Box 2.** Shows the debt (principal only) owed to the lender on the loan when the interest in the property was acquired by the lender or on the date the lender first knew or had reason to know that the property was abandoned. Box 3. Reserved for future use.

Box 3. Reserved for future use.

**Box 4.** Shows the fair market value of the property. If the amount in box 4 is less than the amount in box 2, and your debt is canceled, you may have cancellation of debt income. If the property was your main home, see Pub. 523 to figure any taxable gain or ordinary income.

**Box 5.** Shows whether you were personally liable for repayment of the debt when the debt was created or, if modified, when it was last modified. **Box 6.** Shows the description of the property acquired by the lender or abandoned by you. If "CCC" is shown, the form indicates the amount of any Commodity Credit Corporation loan outstanding when you forfeited your commodity.

Future developments. SFor the latest information about developments related to Form 1099-A and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/Form1099A.

Free File Program. Go to www.irs.gov/FreeFile to see if you qualify for nocost online federal tax preparation, e-filing, and direct deposit or payment options.

#### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Docume ORAECTER of 04414(25) Page 41 of 90 Page ID

CREDITOR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. KEVIN LEWIS WALKER 30650 Rancho California Road suite 406-251 Temecula CA 92591 US - Phone: 3109238521		1#3590 dentifiable event         2025/03/14         2 Amount of debt discharged         \$ 2500.00	OMB No. 1545-1424 Form <b>1099-C</b>	Cancellation of Debt
		3 Interest, if included in box 3	2 For calendar year 2024	
CREDITOR'S TIN 56-8997454 DEBTOR'S name, address, City foreign postal code SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF(	DEBTOR'S TIN or town, state or province, country and ZIP of	4 Debt description Appearance, Bid, & Payn	nent BOND.UUC3603	Copy B For Debtor This is important tax
Clerk and Fiduciary	DRNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE			information and is being furnished to
Clerk and Fiduciary 30755-D Auld Road Murrieta CA 92563 US	DRNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	5 If checked, the debtor was repayment of the debt		information and is

Form**1099-C**(Rev. 1-2022) (keep for your records)

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

#### Instructions for Debtor

You received this form because a federal government agency or an applicable financial entity (a creditor) has discharged (canceled or forgiven) a debt you owed, or because an identifiable event has occurred that either is or is deemed to be a discharge of a debt of \$600 or more. If a creditor has discharged a debt you owed, you are required to include the discharged amount in your income, even if it is less than \$600, on the "Other income" line of your Form 1040 or 1040-SR. However, you may not have to include all of the canceled debt in your income. There are exceptions and exclusions, such as bankruptcy and insolvency. See Pub. 4681, available at www.irs.gov/Pub4681, for more details. If an identifiable event has occurred but the debt has not actually been discharged, then include any discharged debt in your income in the year that it is actually discharged, unless an exception or exclusion applies to you in that year. Debtor's taxpayer identification number (TIN). For your protection, this form may show only the last four digits of your TIN (social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN)). However, the creditor has reported your complete TIN to the IRS

Account number. May show an account or other unique number the creditor assigned to distinguish your account.

Box 1. Shows the date the earliest identifiable event occurred or, at the creditor's discretion, the date of an actual discharge that occurred before an identifiable event. See the code in box 6.

Box 2. Shows the amount of debt either actually or deemed discharged. Note: If you don't agree with the amount, contact your creditor.

Box 3. Shows interest if included in the debt reported in box 2. See Pub. 4681 to see if you must include the interest in gross income

Box 4.Shows a description of the debt. If box 7 is completed, box 4 also shows a description of the property.

Box 5. Shows whether you were personally liable for repayment of the debt when the debt was created or, if modified, at the time of the last modification. See Pub. 4681 for reporting instructions.

Box 6.Shows the reason your creditor has filed this form. The codes in this box are described in more detail in Pub. 4681. A-Bankruptcy; B-Other judicial debt relief; C-Statute of limitations or expiration of deficiency period; D- Foreclosure election; E-Debt relief from probate or similar proceeding; F-By agreement; G-Decision or policy to discontinue collection; or H-Other actual discharge before identifiable event

Box 7. If, in the same calendar year, a foreclosure or abandonment of property occurred in connection with the cancellation of the debt, the fair market value (FMV) of the property will be shown, or you will receive a separate Form 1099-A. Generally, the gross foreclosure bid price is considered to be the FMV. For an abandonment or voluntary conveyance in lieu of foreclosure, the FMV is generally the appraised value of the property. You may have income or loss because of the acquisition or abandonment. See Pub. 4681 for information about foreclosures and abandonments. If the property was your main home, see Pub. 523 to figure any taxable gain or ordinary income. Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form 1099-C and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to www.irs.gov/Form1099C. Free File Program. Go to www.irs.gov/FreeFile to see if you qualify for no-cost online federal tax preparation, e-filing, and direct deposit or payment options.

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:591	Filed	04/14/25	Page 42 of 90	Page ID
	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-I	Executing Contract and Secu	rity Agreeme	ent — Registered N	1ail #RF775824858US — D	ated: April 8, 2025
1 2	<b>From/Plaintiff:</b> Kevin Walker Attorney-In-Fact, Executor, Auth ™KEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, ™K ™KEVIN LEWIS WALKER© (ENS	EVIN WALKER®	~			
3	c/o 30650 Rancho California Ro		1	*** NOTIC	E TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PR E TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO	UNCIPAL *** O AGENT ***
4	Temecula, California [92591] non-domestic <i>without</i> the <u>United</u> Email: <u>team@walkernovagroup</u>			*** SELF-EXECUT	ING CONTRACT AND SECURI	TY AGREEMENT ***
5 6 7	<b>To/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):</b> Ager C/o CLERK OF COURT / SOUTHWE 30755-D Auld Road Murrieta, California [92563]	tt(s), Clerks(s), Fiduci ST JUSTICE CENTEF	iary(es) R			
8	Registered Mail # RF775824858US		FEDE	CRAL CASI	E NO.: 5:25-CV-0	)0646-WLH
9	<b>AFFIDAV</b>					
10	NOTICE OF DEFAULT A RACKETEERING, CONSPIE					
11	COLOR OF LAW, IDENTIT DEMAND FOR SETOFF, E					
12	™KEVIN WALKER© ES'	,	CA	SE NO.: S	WM2303376	
13	™KEVIN LEWIS WALKI ™KEVIN WALKER© IRI			FRAUD	EFAULT AND OPPORT	UNITY TO CURE
14	Claimant(s	)/Plaintiff(s),		THEFT, EMI	<b>RY SERVITUDE</b> BEZZLEMENT, AND ATION OF FUNDS AN	
15	US.	-//		FRAUD, FOR OF IDENTIT	RGERY, AND UNAUT Y	HORIZED USE
16	Michael Hestrin, Mirand Chad Bianco, THE PEOP		6.		ZATION OF TRADE 2 E, AND UNFAIR BUSI	
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	<b>,</b>		LAW	ON OF RIGHTS UND	
18	SOUTHWEST JUSTICE ( RIVERSIDE COUNTY D	ISTRICT	9.		EXTORTION PROC TENSES AND FRAUD	
19	ATTORNEY, RIVERSID		12.	RACKETEE BANK FRAU		
20	1-100 Inclusive, Respondent(s),	Defendant(s).	13.		OF STOLEN GOODS	
21				COPYRIGH	IZED USED OF TRA F INTERFERENCE, IN	
22				EXTORTION LAWFUL TE	N, AND EMOTIONAL NDER AND REQUES	DISTRESS ST AND
23 24			17	CLOSURE	OR SETOFF, SETTLE	
24				CONSIDERE	ED AND STIPULATEI MILLION DOLLAR (S	OONE
26					T AND LIEN.	
27	COMES NOW the ™KEV	IN WALKER©	ESTA	TE, the ™	KEVIN LEWIS	
28	WALKER©, and the <sup>TM</sup> KEV					heir
			of 47-		0	
1	Sector 1 (1) This work was an	аларынала келекендертті өркент аталат ар уларық сата				I I I I T PENITRE OTH TORSPECT

1 Kevin Walker, who is proceeding sui juris, In Propria Persona, and by

2 Special Limited Appearance. Kevin is a living man, a natural freeborn

<sup>3</sup> sovereign man, one of the people, a state Citizen, an American national, and

4 non-citizen national/national, invoking his inherent unalienable and

5 constitutionally secured and protected rights and exercising the authority

6 granted by the executed 'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact', attached

7 hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference.

8 The Plaintiffs, acting through their Attorney-in-Fact, proceed in accordance

9 with their *unalienable* right to contract, as secured and protected by the

10 Constitution of the United States of America, and in particular Article I,

11 Section 10, which states: "No State shall... pass any Law impairing the

12 Obligation of Contracts."

13

#### \*\* Notice of DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE\*\*

14 This NOTICE serves as formal NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND

**OPPORTUNITY TO CURE**, concerning Contract/Bond/Trust action 15 Number SWM2303376. This communication shall serve as a formal NOTICE 16 **OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE** of the aforementioned 17 coerced and extorted offer, which was conditionally accepted contingent 18 upon proof of the conditions set forth herein, governed by the principles of 19 contract law, legal maxims, common law, and the Uniform Commercial Code 20 (UCC), including but not limited to UCC §§ 1-103, 2-202, 2-204, 2-206, and the 21 mailbox/postal rule. 22

The undersigned, Kevin Walker, herein referred to as Affiant. Affiant is the
Agent, Attorney-In-Fact, holder in due course, and Secured Party and Creditor of
and for TMKEVIN WALKER© ESTATE, TMKEVIN LEWIS WALKER©, TMKEVIN
WALKER© IRR TRUST. Affiant hereby states that he is of legal age and competent
to state on belief and first hand personal knowledge that the facts set forth herein as
duly noted below are true, correct, complete, and presented in good faith,

LON AND REQUEST AND DEALAND POR SH

1 regarding the **coerced and extorted** commercial contract <u>OFFER</u>/CONTRACT/

BOND/Trust action #SWM2303376, listed under ™KEVIN LEWIS WALKER©, 2

pertaining to the private trust property and private automobile hereafter referred 3 to as "Private Property". 4

5

\*\* Notice of Administrative Remedy Procedure \*\* This VERIFIED Affidavit, NOTICE, and SELF-EXECUTING 6 CONTRACT SECURITY AGREEMENT concerns Defendant(s)/ 7 Respondent(s)/You, Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE 8 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SOUTHWEST JUSTICE 9 CENTER, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE 10 COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, and their 11 blatant bad faith acts of fraud, racketeering, conspiracy, threats and 12 extortion against foreign officials, official guests, or internationally protected 13 persons, extortion, embezzlement, larceny, coercion, identity theft, extortion 14 of national/internationally protected person, conspiracy to deprive of rights 15 under the color of law, treason, bank fraud, trusts, etc., in restraint of trade, 16 frauds and swindles, mail fraud, forced peonage, monopolization of trade 17 and commerce, willful violation of the Constitution, deprivation of rights 18 under color of law, monopolization of trade and commerce, and intentional 19 and <u>willful and intentional</u> trespass and infringement of the ™KEVIN LEWIS 20 WALKER© trademark, tradename, patent and copyright. 21

As with any administrative process, You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) may 22 controvert the statements and/or claims made by Affiants by executing and 23 delivering a verified response point by point, in affidavit form, sworn and attested 24 to under penalty of perjury, signed by You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), Michael 25 Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 26 CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE 27 COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive or other designated 28

1 officer of the corporation with evidence in support by Certified, Express, or

2 Registered Mail. Answers by any other means are considered a non-response and
3 will be treated as a non-response.

**Plain Statement of Facts** 

#### 4

5 KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT, that I, Kevin: Walker, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, a man upon the land, a follower of the 6 7 Almighty Supreme Creator, first and foremost and the laws of man when they are 8 not in conflict (Leviticus 18:3, 4) Pursuant to Matthew 5:33 – 37 and James 5:12, let 9 my yea mean yea and my nay be nay, as supported by Federal Public Law 97-280, 10 96 Stat.1211, depose and say that I, Kevin: Walker over 18 years of age, being 11 competent to testify and having **first hand knowledge** of the **facts** herein **declare** (or certify, verify, affirm, or state) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the 12 13 **United States of America** that the following is true and correct, to the best of my understanding and belief, and in good faith: 14

15 1. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, herby state again for the record that I

16 explicitly reserve all my rights and waive none. See U.C.C. § 1-308.

17 2. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, herby invoke equity and fairness.

18 3. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby state for the record that, at no point in
19 time have I willfully or intentionally filed a false police report with knowledge that any
20 information contained therein was untrue.

21 4. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, hereby 22 state for the record that the STATE, its agents, or any incorporated governmental 23 entity acting under color of law, cannot be a real party in interest in any private contractual matter between living men and women, as such legal fictions lack 24 25 standing, corpus, and the capacity to bring claims or demands against me absent 26 a verified injured party, sworn affidavit of claim, and valid contract entered into 27 knowingly, willingly, and intentionally by all parties with full disclosure and lawful consideration 28

-4 of 47-

OFRICION AND REDUCEST AND REMAND FOR SECON

- 1 5. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, reserve my natural common law right not to be **compelled** to **perform** under **any** contract that I did not enter into 2 knowingly, voluntarily, and intentionally, and with complete and full 3 4 *disclosure,* and *without* misrepresentation, duress, or coercion. And 5 furthermore, I do not accept the liability associated with the compelled and pretended "benefit" of any hidden or unrevealed contract or commercial 6 7 agreement. As such, the hidden or unrevealed contracts that supposedly create 8 obligations to perform, for persons of subject status, are inapplicable to me, and 9 are null and void. If I have participated in any of the supposed "benefits" associated with these hidden contracts, I have done so under duress, for lack of 10 any other practical alternative. I may have received such "benefits" but I have 11 not accepted them in a manner that binds me to anything. 12
- 13 6. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceedin sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, hereby declare and affirm that, consistent with the eternal tradition of natural common 14 15 law, unless I have harmed or violated someone or their property, I have **committed no crime**; and I am therefore <u>not</u> subject to any penalty. I act in 16 17 accordance with the following <u>U.S. Supreme Court case</u>: "The individual may 18 stand upon his **constitutional rights** as a <u>citizen</u>. He is entitled to carry on his 19 private business in his own way. His power to contract is <u>unlimited</u>. He owes 20 no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, 21 since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and 22 property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from 23 him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his 24 25 rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes 26 27 nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 at 47 (1905). 28

IDENTITY THEFT EXTORITON, COERCION, AND REQUEST AND REMAND FOR SYLCE

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 47 of 90 Page ID #:596

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

1	7. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, herby
2	declare, state, verify, and affirm for the record that the 'commercial' and 'for
3	hire' Driver's License/Contract/Bond # B6735991 has been canceled, revoked,
4	terminated, and liquidated, as evidenced by instructions and notice accepted by
5	Steven Gordon, with the California Department of Motor Vehicles," as
6	evidenced by AFFIDAVIT RIGHT TO TRAVEL CANCELLATION,
7	TERMINATION, AND REVOCATION of COMMERCIAL "For Hire" DRIVER'S
8	LICENSE CONTRACT and AGREEMENT LICENSE/BOND #B6735991
9	(#RF661447751US), attached hereto as Exhibit D and incorporated herein by
10	reference.
11	8. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, no valid
12	contract exists compelling my performance.
13	9. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, state for the record, that it is a long-
14	standing legal principle that jurisdiction must be proven on the record and
15	cannot be assumed.
16	10. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, I do no
17	consent to these proceedings.
18	11. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare and affirm that, I have
19	NOT injured any man or woman nor have I damaged any property.
20	<b>Revocation of 'Power of Attorney':</b>
21	12. Furthermore, I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited
22	Appearance, hereby revoke, rescind, and make void ab initio, all powers of
23	attorney, in fact or otherwise, implied in law or otherwise, signed either by me
24	or anyone else, as it pertains to the Social Security Number assigned to,
25	WALKER, KEVIN LEWIS, as it pertains to any BIRTH CERTIFICATE/BANK
26	NOTE, BOND, TRUST, DEPOSIT ACCOUNT, SECURITY, SECURITY
27	ACCOUNT, INVESTMENT, marriage or business licenses, or any other licenses
28	or certificates issued by any and all government or quasi-governmental entities,
	-6 of 47-

NOT IS E OF FRAUD RACKET BERING, CONSPIRACY, HARASSMENT DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UN

ITY THEFT. EXTORITON. COERCION. AND REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 48 of 90 Page ID #:597

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

12

due to the use of various elements of fraud by said agencies to attempt to deprive me of my Sovereignty and/or property.

13. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, hereby waive, 3 cancel, repudiate, and refuse to knowingly accept any alleged "benefit" or gratuity 4 5 associated with any of the aforementioned licenses, numbers, or certificates. I do hereby revoke and rescind all powers of attorney, in fact or otherwise, signed by me or 6 7 otherwise, implied in law or otherwise, with or without my consent or knowledge, as it 8 pertains to any and all property, real or personal, corporeal or incorporeal, obtained in 9 the past, present, or future. I am the sole and absolute legal owner and possess allodial title to any and all such property. 10

14. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, also
revoke, cancel, and make void ab initio all powers of attorney, in fact, in
presumption, or otherwise, signed either by me or anyone else, claiming to act
on my behalf, with or without my consent, as such power of attorney pertains to
me or any property owned by me, by, but not limited to, any and all quasi/
colorable, public, governmental entities or corporations on the grounds of
constructive fraud, concealment, and nondisclosure of pertinent facts.

18

#### **Claim of Entire ESTATE:**

15. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, having 19 attained the age of majority and reason under divine law competent first-hand 20 21 witness to the truth and facts recited herein, hereby makes a claim against the 22 corpus, all property whether real or personal, tangible or intangible, all deposit accounts blocked by reason of presumption of death of Claimant, cash, credit 23 lines, Credit default swap, all federal funds, collateralized debt obligation, 24 25 options, derivates, and futures received by the said court in the said county, state and federal for the administration of the named estate, and all estates in agency, 26 including but not limited to KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, or by whatsoever name 27 the said ESTATE shall be called or *charged*. 28

-7 of 47-

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 49 of 90 Page ID #:598

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025 16. THIS IS ACTUAL AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE BY SPECIAL DEPOSIT FOR 1 THE BENEFIT OF THE SECURED PARTY/GRANTEE BENEFICIARY/ 2 3 CLAIMANT IN THIS TRUST ACTION FOR THE CLAIMANT'S CLAIM: Notice of absolute claim of all investment, commodity and trust deposit account 4 5 contract with attached collateral and proceeds to secure collateral, along with claim of TRADENAME/TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT/PATENT of the Name 6 7 KEVIN LEWIS WALKER, my mind, body, soul of infants, spirit, and Live Borne Record, and reject and rebuke <u>all</u> assumptions and presumptions of being 8 9 Property of any Cestui Que Vie Trust/ESTATE as mentioned under CANON 2055-2056, and assignment of all debt obligations to the Office of Secretary of the 10 11 Treasury. Discharge all tax matters in accordance with but *not limited to*, U.C.C. 12 1-103, 2-202, 2-204, 2-206, 3-104, 3-311, 3-601, 3-603, 9-104, 9-105, 9-150, 9-509, and House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5 1933, public law 73-10, and 31 U.S.C. §§ 13

14 3123, 5118, and 18 U.S.C. 8.

15 17. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), are undisputedly the <u>DEBTORS</u> in this
 matter.

17 18. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) are undisputedly <u>NOT</u> the CREDITOR(S), or
an ASSIGNEE(S) of the CREDITOR(S), in this matter.

19 19. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) do <u>NOT</u> have power of attorney in any way.

20 20. You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) do **NOT** have **any** standing.

21

### 'state Citizen' vs 'citizen of the United States'

21. "The fourteenth amendment creates and defines citizenship of the United
States. It had long been contended, and had been held by many learned
authorities, and had never been judicially decided to the contrary, that there was
no such thing as a citizen of the United States, except as that condition arose
from citizenship of some state. No mode existed, it was said, of obtaining a
citizenship of the United States, except by first becoming a citizen of some state.
This question is now at rest. The fourteenth amendment defines and declares

1 who shall be citizens of the <u>U</u> nited <u>S</u> tates, to wit, "all persons born or	
	1
2 naturalized in the <u>United States</u> , <u>and</u> subject to the jurisdiction thereof."	The
3 latter qualification was intended to exclude the children of foreign	
4 representatives and the like. With this qualification, every person born in	the
5 United States or naturalized is declared to be a citizen of the <u>U</u> nited <u>State</u>	s and of
6 the state wherein he resides." – UNITED STATES V. ANTHONY. [11 Blat	chf.
7 200; 5 Chi. Leg. News. 462, 493; 17 Int. Rev. Rec. 197; 30 Leg. Int. 266; 5 Le	g. Op.
8 63; 20 Pittsb. Leg. J. 199.] Circuit Court, N. D. New York. June 18, 1873.	
9 22. "It is quite clear, then, that there is a citizenship of the <u>United States</u> **	and a
10 citizenship of a State, which are distinct from each other and which dep	end
11 upon different characteristics or circumstances in the individual." – <u>Slau</u>	ghter
12 <u>House Cases</u> , 83 U.S. 36 (1872).	
13 23. "We have in our political system a Government of the <u>United States and a gov</u>	ernment
14 of each of the several <u>S</u> tates. Each one of these governments is distinct from th	e others,
and each has citizens of its own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within	n its
16 jurisdiction, it must protect. The same person may be at the same time a <u>citize</u>	n of the
17 United States and a Citizen of a State, but his rights of citizenship under one of	of these
18 governments will be different from those he has under the other." – Slaughter	House
19 Cases United States vs. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875).	
20 24. "One may be a citizen of a State and yet not a citizen of the United Sta	ates." –
21 Thomasson v. State, 15 Ind. 449; Cory v. Carter, 48 Ind. 327 (17 Am. R. 7	38);
22 McCarthy v. Froelke, 63 Ind. 507; In Re Wehlitz, 16 Wis. 443. [McDonel v.	<u>State</u> , 90
23 Ind. 320, 323 (1883)] [underlines added].	
24 25. "The first clause of the <u>fourteenth amendment</u> of the federal Constitu	ition
25 made negroes citizens of the <u>U</u> nited <u>States**</u> , and citizens of the <u>state</u> i	n which
26 they reside, and thereby created <b>two classes</b> of citizens, one of the <u>U</u> nited	ł
27 States** and the other of the state." – [4 Dec. Dig. '06, p. 1197, sec. 11]	
28 ["Citizens" (1906), emphasis added].	
-9 of 47-	

ICE OF DEFAULT AND OF

IT'S TO CURL and NOT & LOF PRASD RA

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 51 of 90 Page ID #:600

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

- 26. "That there is a citizenship of the United States and a citizenship of a state,
   and the privileges and immunities of one are not the same as the other is well
   established by the decisions of the courts of this country." [Tashiro v. Jordan,
   201 Cal. 236 (1927)].
- 5 27. "... both before and after the Fourteenth Amendment to the federal Constitution,
  6 it has not been necessary for a person to be a citizen of the United States in
  7 order to be a citizen of his state." [Crosse v. Board of Supervisors of Elections]
  8 [221 A.2d 431 (1966)].

9 28. "The privileges and immunities clause of the <u>Fourteenth Amendment</u>
protects very few rights because it neither incorporates any of the Bill of Rights
nor protects all rights of individual citizens. See Slaughter-House Cases, 83 U.S.
(16 Wall.) 36, 21 L.Ed. 394 (<u>1873</u>). Instead, this provision protects only those
rights peculiar to being a citizen of the federal government; it does not protect
those rights which relate to state citizenship." – [Jones v. Temmer, 829 F.Supp.

15 1226 (USDC/DCO 1993)]

29. The 1st clause of the <u>fourteenth Amendment</u> states: "All persons born or
naturalized in the <u>United States</u>, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are
citizens of the <u>United States</u> and the state wherein they reside."

19 30. The 1st clause of the fourteenth Amendment does not say: "All persons born or

20 naturalized in the United States, **are** subject to the jurisdiction thereof . . . ."

31. The 1st clause of the <u>fourteenth Amendment</u> contains two <u>requirements</u> for
<u>U</u>nited <u>States citizenship</u>: (a) that a person be born or naturalized in the <u>U</u>nited
<u>States and (b)</u> that a person be subject to the jurisdiction of the <u>U</u>nited <u>States</u>.

- 24
- national/non-citizen national aka state Citizen
- 32. The Department of State document, "Certificates of Non-Citizen Nationality,"
   located at https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/legal/travel-legal-considerations/us-
- 27 *citizenship/Certificates-Non-Citizen-Nationality.html* says in part in the 3<sup>rd</sup>
- 28 paragraph: "Section 101(a)(21) of the INA defines the term 'national' as 'a

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 52 of 90 Page ID #:601

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

person owing permanent allegiance to a state.' Section 101(a)(22) of the INA 1 provides that the term 'national of the United States' includes all U.S. citizens as 2 3 well as persons who, though not citizens of the United States, owe permanent allegiance to the <u>United States</u> (non-citizen nationals)." 4 5 33. <u>Title 8 U.S. Code 1101(a)(22) - Definition</u>, expressly stipulates, " (22) The term "national of the United States" means (A) a citizen of the United States, or (B) a 6 person who, though not a citizen of the United States, owes permanent 7 allegiance to the United States." 8 9 34. 22 CFR § 51.2 - Passport issued to nationals only, stipulates: (a) A passport may be issued only to a U.S. national. 10 35. <u>22 CFR § 51.3 - Types of passports</u>, stipulates: (a) Regular passport. A regular 11 passport is issued to a national of the United States. (e) Passport card. A 12 13 passport card is issued to a national of the United States on the same basis as a regular passport. 14 36. Attached is national's national/non-citizen national PASSPORT CARD 15 #C35510079 and PASSPORT BOOK #A39235161, as defined by 22 CFR § 51.2 16 and 22 CFR § 51.3 and these DOCUMENTS unequivocally demonstrates that the 17 18 holder (Affiant) is a 'national,' as defined by these provisions. Attached hereto as 19 Exhibits E and F and incorporated herein by reference. 37. Title 18 U.S. Code § 112 - Protection of foreign officials, official guests, and 20 **internationally protected persons**, expressly stipulates that "foreign 21 government", "foreign official", "internationally protected person", 22 "international organization", "national of the United States", and "official 23 guest" have the *same meaning*. 24 38. Accordingly, it is **unequivocally true** that **Title 18 U.S. Code § 112 - Protection** 25 of foreign officials, official guests, and internationally protected persons 26 27 expressly stipulates that in additional to being a national, a national is <u>also</u> considered a "foreign government", "foreign official", "internationally protected 28 -11 of 47-

#### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 53 of 90 Page ID

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

person", "international organization", "national of the United States", and "official guest." 2

1

10

39. This is CERTIFIED and undisputed as evidenced the attached **AFFIDAVIT** 3 CERTIFICATE of STATUS, ASSETS, RIGHTS, JURISDICTION, AND 4 5 <u>PROTECTIONS</u> as national/non-citizen national, foreign government, foreign official, internationally protected person, international organization, 6 7 secured party/secured creditor, and/or national of the United States, #RF661448964US. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** and incorporated herein by 8 reference. 9

#### **DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS**

40. Affiant hereby declares, states, and affirms that Respondent(s)/Defendant(s), 11 specifically Michael Hestrin, possess valid contact and mailing information for 12 Affiant. This is evidenced by multiple correspondences conducted over the past 13 14 twelve months via **Registered Express** and/or **Certified Mail**. Numerous 15 documents were received by Michael Hestrin from Plaintiff(s), as confirmed by various USPS Form 3811 return receipts. 16

41. At **no point** did Affiant receive notice of any charges being filed, nor any form of 17 notification, regarding CASE/Trust Action #SWM2303376. 18

42. Despite this established communication, Defendants proceeded with a 19 fraudulent, meritless, and baseless CASE and Trust action without providing 20 proper notice or **due process** to Plaintiffs and/or Affiant. This constitutes an 21 egregious violation of due process, and rights secured, protected, and 22 23 guaranteed by the Constitution, specifically:

Fifth (5th) Amendment: The Fifth Amendment explicitly protects 24 • individuals from being deprived of life, liberty, or property without due 25 26 process of law. Failure to provide proper notice and an opportunity to be heard constitutes a deprivation of liberty and property without legal 27 justification. 28

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 54 of 90 Page ID #:603

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

Fourteenth (14th) Amendment: Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment 1 extends due process protections to actions taken by state actors, prohibiting 2 any state from depriving any person of life, liberty, or property without due 3 process of law. The Defendants, acting under color of state law, have 4 5 blatantly disregarded this constitutional mandate by failing to notify Affiant or Plaintiffs of the case. 6 7 43. The actions of You/Respondents/Defendants undermine the fundamental principles of fairness and justice enshrined in the Constitution, denying 8 9 Plaintiffs and/or Affiant the opportunity to be heard and to defend against the 10 allegations. These due process violations not only infringe upon constitutional protections but also erode public trust in the judicial system 11 44. You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s)' actions violate various U.S. Code sections 12 including but not limited to the following: 13 14 • 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – which provides a civil remedy for individuals deprived of constitutional rights under the color of law. The lack of notice and due 15 process constitutes a clear deprivation of rights under both the Fifth and 16 Fourteenth Amendments. 17 18 U.S.C. § 241 – which criminalizes conspiracies to deprive 18 ٠ 19 individuals of their constitutional rights. Any coordinated effort or negligence leading to this denial of due process is punishable under 20 this statute. 21 18 U.S.C. § 242 – which prohibits willful deprivation of constitutional rights 22 under the color of law. By advancing legal proceedings without proper 23 notice, Defendants have knowingly violated this protection. 24

45. Affiant hereby declares, states, and alleges that this Affidavit Notice
and Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement is *prima facie*evidence of fraud, racketeering, indentity theft, treason, breach of trust
and fiduciary duties, extortion, coercion, deprivation of rights under the

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 55 of 90 Page ID #:604

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

color of law, conspiracy to deprive of rights under the color of law, 1 monopolization of trade and commerce, forced peonage, obstruction of 2 enforcement, extortion of a national/internationally protected person, false 3 imprisonment, torture, creating trusts in restraint of trade dereliction of 4 fiduciary duties, bank fraud, breach of trust, treason, tax evasion, bad faith 5 actions, dishonor, injury and damage to Affiant and proof of claim. See 6 United States v. Kis, 658 F.2d, 526 (7th Cir. 1981)., "Appellee had the 7 burden of first proving its prima facie case and could do so by affidavit 8 or other evidence." 9 UNLAWFUL ARREST, IMPRISONMENT, AND TORTURE 10 46. On December 31, 2024, at approximately 9:32am I, Kevin: Walker, sui juris, 11 was traveling privately in a private conveyance/automobile, displaying a 12 '**PRIVATE**' plate, indicating I was 'not for hire' or operating commercially, and 13 14 the private automobile was not displaying a STATE plate of any sort. This 15 clearly established that the <u>private</u> automobile was 'not for hire' or 'commercial' use and, therefore explicitly classifying the automobile as private 16 17 property, and <u>NOT</u> within any statutory and/or commercial jurisdiction. 47. On December 31, 2024, I, Kevin: Walker, sui juris, was not in violation of any law, 18 19 nor was I speeding, infringing, or trespassing upon the rights of any man or woman. I was peacefully minding my own business and traveling to obtain 20 21 groceries for my family. 48. I, Kevin: Walker, *sui juris*, simply wish to be left alone in peace and **not** be 22 harassed, stalked, robbed, deprived under color of law, coerced into commercial 23 contracts, extorted, and forced into peonage and/or involuntary servitude. 24 THERE IS NO 'CORPUS DELICTI' 25 49. I, Kevin: Walker, sui juris, state for the record, there is no corpus delicti - no 26 injured party, no damaged property, and no sworn affidavit of harm from any 27 living man or woman. Therefore, this matter is without merit, lacks standing, 28 -14 of 47-

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 56 of 90 Page ID #:605

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

1	and constitutes an improper attempt to impose authority without lawful
2	jurisdiction. Any further action absent evidence of a valid cause of action is a
3	violation of due process and a deprivation of rights under color of law.
4	50. As a direct result of egregious due process violations and the initiation of a
5	fraudulent CASE/trust action #SWM2303376 by You/Defendant(s)/
6	Respondent(s), against Affiant and Claimant(s), Affiant was subjected to an
7	unlawful arrest, physical restraint in the form of handcuffs, and acts constituting
8	torture. These actions inflicted severe mental trauma, undue stress, and
9	significant mental anguish upon Affiant, all in blatant violation of
10	constitutional protections and fundamental principles of justice.
11	51. The <u>private</u> automobile and <u>trust property</u> was not in any way displaying
12	STATE or government registration or stickers, and was displaying a PRIVATE
13	plate.
14	52. Upon being unlawfully stopped and arrested by Gregory D Eastwood, Robert C
15	V Bowman, William Pratt, and George Reyes, Affiant, informed all Defendants
16	who willfully conspired on the scene in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 241 and 242,
17	that Affiant was a American national of the republic, non-citizen national/
18	national/internationally protected person, privately traveling in a private
19	automobile/conveyance, as articulated by Affiant and as also clearly evidenced
20	by the ' <u><b>PRIVATE'</b></u> plate on the <u><b>private</b></u> automobile.
21	53. The <u>private</u> automobile is duly reflected on Private UCC Contract Trust/UCC1
22	filing #2024385925-4 (Exhibit B).
23	54. Under threat, duress, and coercion, and at gunpoint, Gregory D Eastwood and
24	Robert C V Bowman were presented with American national/non-citizen national
25	PASSPORT CARD #C35510079 and PASSPORT BOOK #A39235161 (Exhibits E
26	and F).
27	55. You/Defendant/Respondents, acted against the Constitution, even when
28	reminded of their duties to support and uphold the Constitution.
	-15 of 47-

OURCION, AND REC

OT IC 2 OF FRAUD RACKET LES

#:60

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

1

#### FRUIT OF THE POISONOUS TREE DOCTRINE

56. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special Limited Appearance, further 2 asserts and establishes on the record that the undisputedly unlawful and 3 unconstitutional stop, arrest, and subsequent actions of the Defendants/ 4 Respondents are in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of 5 6 the united States of America and constitute an unlawful arrest and seizure. The "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine, as articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court, 7 establishes that <u>any</u> evidence obtained as a result of an unlawful stop or 8 detainment is tainted and inadmissible in *any* subsequent proceedings. The 9 10 unlawful actions of Gregory D. Eastwood, Robert C. V. Bowman, George Reyes, 11 William Pratt, and Robert Gell including but not limited to the issuance of fraudulent citations/contracts under threat, duress, and coercion, render all 12 actions and evidence derived therefrom void ab initio. See Wong Sun v. United 13 States, 371 U.S. 471 (1963). 14

57. I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, hereby declare, assert, and affirm that all 15 actions, evidence, and instruments obtained in connection with the unlawful 16 stop and arrest are inadmissible and void as *fruits of the poisonous tree*. This 17 18 includes, but is not limited to, Trust action/CASE/CONTRACT #SWM2303376 and/or Trust action/CASE/CONTRACT #B038555 (Exhibit J) and/or Trust 19 action/CASE/CONTRACT #MISW2501134, which was executed under duress, 20 threat, and coercion, while Affiant was unlawfully deprived of liberty and 21 imprisoned against his will, without Affiant's consent. 22

58. Again, for the record, I, Kevin/Affiant, proceeding sui juris, by Special *Limited Appearance*, I simply wish to be left alone in peace and not be
harassed, stalked, robbed, deprived under color of law, coerced into
commercial contracts, extorted, and/or forced into peonage and/or
involuntary servitude. I have NOT injured any man or woman nor have I
damaged any property.

-16 of 47-

# 1

### **CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE**

2	2 59. Affiant and/or Plaintiff(s), in good faith, hom	orably, and conditionally accept any and
3	all claims, actions, or proceedings brought for	th by You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s),
4	in this <i>fraudulent</i> , <i>coerced</i> , <i>retaliatory</i> , and <i>ba</i>	ad faith CASE/OFFER/Trust action
5	5 (#SWM2303376), which is <i>void ab initio</i> , upor	n the following <u>verified</u> proofs of claim,
6	each of which must be supported by sworn af	fidavit and admissible evidence:
7	7 1. Upon Proof of a Lawful Contract: Veri	fied proof of a valid, binding contract
8	8 or agreement bearing Affiant's wet-ink	signature, entered into knowingly,
9	willingly, and voluntarily, with full di	sclosure of all material facts and
10	terms, without coercion, misrepresenta	tion, or fraud.
11	2. Upon Proof of Lawful Authority and	d Jurisdiction: Verified proof that
12	2 You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) as	re not acting <b>under color of law</b> ,
13	and that your actions do not constitu	ate violations of Affiant's
14	4 constitutionally secured rights, inclu	iding but not limited to 18 U.S.C. §
15	5 <b>242</b> (deprivation of rights under cold	or of law) and <b>42 U.S.C. § 1983</b> (civil
16	6 rights violations).	
17	3. Upon Proof of Jurisdiction and Stand	ing: Verified and sworn proof
18	8 substantiating the legal and factual bas	sis, jurisdiction, and legitimacy of the
19	9 instant case or action, including the leg	al nexus connecting Affiant to the
20	0 statutory or administrative authority Y	ou/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s) are
21	attempting to invoke	
22	4. Upon Proof of an Injured Party and C	orpus Delicti: Verified complaint from
23	a <b>real</b> , <b>living</b> , <b>injured party</b> , not a LEG	AL FICTION, corporation, or agency,
24	4 accompanied by <b>conclusive evidence</b>	of <i>corpus delicti</i> – the essential
25	5 elements proving a lawful injury or ha	rm and the necessary jurisdictional
26	6 facts giving rise to a justiciable controv	ersy.
27	5. <b>Upon Proof</b> from You/Defendant(s)/F	Respondent(s) that failure to provide
28	8 the above-mentioned essential proofs of	loes NOT render this matter legally
	-17 of 47	-

Trust action/CASE NO .: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025 1 deficient and *without* merit, nor call into question the validity and jurisdiction 2 of the case. 6. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) CONTRACT/CASE/ 3 OFFER and Trust Action #SWM2303376/B038555 was accepted 4 5 *intentionally, willfully,* and and indorsed, *and* not done so under threat, duress, and/or coercion, and with full and complete disclosure. 6 7 7. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that the private 8 monetary instruments and/or acceptance presented herein does not setoff and discharge any debts and obligation associated with these matters in 9 10 accordance with UCC § 3-603, 3-311, and House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5 1933 public law 73-10. 11 8. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) of Jurisdiction and 12 Authority: 13 14 Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that the living 15 man, natural freeborn, sovereign, private man, and national of the republic non-citizen national, Kevin, sui juris, In Propria Persona, is 16 subject to your jurisdiction and authority. 17 9. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) of Lawful 18 **Consideration:** 19 Provide evidence that the fraudulent, coerced, and extorted CASE 20 constitutes a *valid* contract supported by **lawful consideration**, which 21 was entered into knowingly, willfully, free of coercion, threat, 22 intimidation, or other felonious and bad faith actions, with full and 23 complete disclosure. Without mutual consent and valuable 24 consideration, no valid contract can exist under common law or UCC 25 26 principles. 10. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that Title 8 U.S. Code 27 1101(a)(22) - Definition, does NOT expressly stipulates, " (22) The term 28 -18 of 47-

6

7

20

21

22

23

(B) a person who, though not a citizen of the United States, owes permanent allegiance to the United States.
11. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that <u>28 U.S. Code §</u>

"national of the United States" means (A) a citizen of the United States, or

- <u>3002(15) Definitions</u> does <u>NOT</u> stipulate, "<u>U</u>nited <u>S</u>tates" means (A) a Federal corporation; (B) an agency, department, commission, board, or other entity of the United States; or (C) an instrumentality of the United States.
- 12. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that, the individual 8 9 may **<u>NOT</u>** stand upon his **constitutional rights** as a <u>c</u>itizen. He is NOT 10 entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is NOT <u>unlimited</u>. He owes such duty [to submit his books and 11 papers for an examination] to the State, and upon proof that his rights are 12 NOT such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent 13 to the organization of the State, and CAN be taken from him without due 14 15 process of law, or in accordance with the Constitution. NOT among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself 16 17 and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law, and upon proof that he owes the public even though does not trespass upon 18 19 their rights. See, Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 at 47 (1905).

 13. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that All laws which are repugnant to the Constitution are NOT null and void. See, <u>Chief Justice Marshall, Marbury vs Madison, 5, U.S. (Cranch) 137,</u> <u>174, 176 (1803)</u>.

14. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that it was NOT
established under the Clearfield Doctrine, as articulated in *Clearfield Trust Co. v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363 (1943), that when the government engages in
commercial or proprietary activities, it sheds its sovereignty and is subject to
the same rules and liabilities as any <u>private</u> corporation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

15. Upon Proof from You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) that it WAS NOT noted in Land v. Dollar, 338 US 731 (1947), "that when the government entered into a <u>commercial</u> field of activity, it left immunity behind." This principle is further affirmed in *Brady v. Roosevelt*, 317 U.S. 575 (1943); *FHA v. Burr*, 309 U.S. 242 (1940); and *Kiefer v. RFC*, 306 U.S. 381 (1939).

#### FAILURE TO PROVIDE PROOF AND EVIDENCE

60. Absent a verified and sworn proof of claim as expressly demanded herein, You
- Respondent(s)/Defendant(s) - are deemed to have unequivocally agreed by
tacit acquiescence that any further attempt to prosecute, proceed, or interfere in
these matters shall constitute fraud, deprivation of rights under color of law,
judicial fraud, malicious prosecution, conspiracy, racketeering (RICO), and
multiple violations of federal law, including but not limited to 18 U.S.C. §§ 241,
242, and 1962.

- 61. Furthermore, Respondent(s)/Defendant(s) agree and accept that these matters
  must be immediately dismissed and terminated with prejudice, and that any
  continued action, omission, or obstruction shall constitute willful and knowing
  misconduct under color of law, exposing all involved to personal liability,
  commercial lien enforcement, and lawful remedy in equity. Affiant and/or
  Plaintiff(s) accept no liability for any damages arising from your failure to act in
- 20 honor or law

# DEMAND FOR SETOFF, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE, DISCHARGE, AND RELEASE OF CREDITS, PROCEEDS, AND ORDER

62.THIS IS ACTUAL AND CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE BY SPECIAL DEPOSIT
 FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SECURED PARTY/GRANTEE
 BENEFICIARY/CLAIMANT IN THIS TRUST ACTION FOR THE

- 26 CLAIMANT'S CLAIM: Notice of absolute claim of all investment,
- 27 commodity and trust deposit account contract with attached collateral and
- 28 proceeds to secure collateral, along with claim of TRADENAME/

-20 of 47-

RCION AND BIQUEST AND DIMAND FOR SETOPP DISCHA

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — - Dated: April 8, 2025 TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT/PATENT of the Name KEVIN L WALKER, 1 my mind, body, soul of infants, spirit, and Live Borne Record, and reject 2 3 and rebuke all assumptions and presumptions of being Property of any Cestui Que Vie Trust/ESTATE as mentioned under CANNON 2055-2056, 4 and assignment of all debt obligation to the Office of Secretary of the 5 Treasury (31 § USC 3123, 18 § USC 8, and 31 USC 5118). Discharge this tax 6 matter (U.C.C. 3-601, 3-603, 3-409, 3-311, and House Joint Resolution 192 of 7 8 June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10). 63. All charges are hereby accepted for accessed value and returned for value, with 9 honor, for setoff, and full settlement and satisfaction of all charges relating to 10 these matters, in accordance with the Uniform Commercial Code and House 11 12 Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10. 64. It is respectfully requested and **demanded** that the Court Release the credits, 13 proceeds, and Order to the Affiant and/or Claimants immediately. 14 House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10 15 65. It is indisputable fact that House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public 16 Law 73-10 expressly stipulates: "every provision contained in or made with 17 respect to any obligation which purports to give the obligee a right to require 18 19 payment in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency, or in an amount in money of the <u>United States measured thereby</u>, is declared to be against public 20 policy; and no such provision shall be contained in or made with respect to 21 any obligation hereafter incurred. Every obligation, heretofore of hereafter 22 incurred, whether or not any such provision is contained therein or made with 23 respect thereto, shall be <u>discharged</u> upon payment, dollar for dollar, in <u>any</u> 24 25 coin or currency which at the time of payment is legal tender for public and private debts." A Library of Congress Certified Copy of The Public Statutes at 26 Large of the United States of America from March 1933 to June 1934: House Joint 27 Resolution 192 of June 5, 1933, Public Law 73-10, is attached hereto as Exhibit C. 28

CORCION AND REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR

Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 63 of 90 Page ID

#:612

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

SPECIAL DEPOSIT and MASTER INDEMNITY BOND

66. This notarized, authorized, and indorsed VERIFIED COMPLAINT itself acted as a BOND and/or MONETARY INSTRUMENT, as defined by **31 U.S. Code § 5312 and U.C.C. § 3-104**, supplemented by the MASTER INDEMNITY BOND (Exhibit

S), and that the BOND also satisfies the procedural and substantive

requirements of Rule 67 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Exclusive

7 equity supports this claim, as it ensures that no competing claims will infringe

8 upon the Plaintiffs' established rights to this bond of and will be reported on the

9 forms 1099-A, 1099-OID, and/or 1099-B, with Plaintiff(s) evidenced as the

10 CREDITOR(S)

1

2

3

4

5

6

11 67. Janet Yellen (now Scott Bessent) and/or the United States Treasury is the registered holder and fiduciary of/for Plaintiff(s)' the private **Two Hundred** 12 Billion Dollar (\$200,000,000,000.00 USD) 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND 13 **INDEMNITY BOND'** #RF661448567US, which was post deposited to private 14 15 post registered account #RF 661 448 023 US. Said 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND 16 INDEMNITY BOND' (#RF661448567US) expressly stipulates it is "insuring, 17 underwriting, indemnifying, discharging, paying and satisfying all such account holders and accounts dollar for dollar against any and all pre-existing, current 18 19 and future losses, costs, debts, taxes, encumbrances, deficits, deficiencies, liens, 20 judgments, true bills, obligations of contract or performance, defaults, charges, and any and all other obligations as may exist or come to exist during the term 21 of this Bond... Each of the said account holders and accounts shall be severally 22 insured, underwritten and indemnified against any and all future Liabilities 23 24 as may appear, thereby instantly satisfying all such obligations dollar for 25 dollar without exception through the above-noted Private Offset Accounts up to and including the full face value of this Bond through maturity." A copy of 26 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND INDEMNITY BOND' #RF372320890US is 27 attached hereto as Exhibit L and incorporated herein by reference, and will 28

-22 of 47-

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 64 of 90 Page ID #:613

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

1

2

- serve as a CAUTION and/or BOND for immediate adjustment and setoff of any and all additional costs associated with these matters.
- 3 Gold Reserve Act of 1934, Public Law 73-87, Title III, Section 3
- 4 68. It is *undisputable* fact that Gold Reserve Act of 1934, Public Law 73-87, Title III,
- 5 Section 3, stipulates: "(a) *every* provision contained in or made with respect to
- 6 *any* obligation which purports to give the obligee a right to require payment in
- 7 gold or a *particular kind of coin or currency* of the United States, or in an
- 8 amount in money of the United States measured thereby, is declared to be
- 9 *against* public policy. (b) *Every* obligation, heretofore or hereafter incurred,
- 10 shall be discharged upon payment, dollar for dollar, in any coin or currency
- 11

12

16

#### which at the time of payment is legal tender for public and private debts." LEGAL STANDARDS, MAXIMS, and PRECEDENT

69. In support of this Affidavit and Notice and Self-Executing Contract and
Security Agreement Affiant cites the following established legal standards,
legal maxims, precedent, and principles:

### **NO QUALIFIED OR LIMITED IMMUNITY**

- 17 1. "When enforcing mere statutes, judges of all courts do not act judicially (and thus are not protected by "qualified" or "limited immunity," - SEE: Owen v. City, 445 U.S. 662; Bothke v. Terry, 713 F2d 1404) - - "but merely act as an extension as an agent for the involved agency -- but only in a "ministerial" and not a "discretionary capacity..." Thompson v. Smith, 154 S.E. 579, 583; Keller v. P.E., 261 US 428; F.R.C. v. G.E., 281, U.S. 464.
- 24 2. "Public officials are not immune from suit when they transcend their lawful authority by invading constitutional rights." AFLCIO v. Woodward, 406
  26 F2d 137 t.
- 27 3. "Immunity fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility while liability
  28 promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025 1 government to its people." (Civil Rights) Rabon vs Rowen Memorial Hospital, Inc. 269 N.S. 1, 13, 152 SE 1 d 485, 493. 2 4. "Judges not only can be sued over their official acts, but could be held liable 3 for injunctive and declaratory relief and attorney's fees." Lezama v. Justice 4 5 Court, A025829. 5. "Ignorance of the law does not excuse misconduct in anyone, least of all in a 6 sworn officer of the law." In re McCowan (1917), 177 C. 93, 170 P. 1100. 7 6. "All are presumed to know the law." San Francisco Gas Co. v. Brickwedel 8 9 (1882), 62 C. 641; Dore v. Southern Pacific Co. (1912), 163 C. 182, 124 P. 817; 10 People v. Flanagan (1924), 65 C.A. 268, 223 P. 1014; Lincoln v. Superior 11 Court (1928), 95 C.A. 35, 271 P. 1107; San Francisco Realty Co. v. Linnard (1929), 98 C.A. 33, 276 P. 368. 12 7. "It is one of the fundamental maxims of the common law that 13 ignorance of the law excuses no one." Daniels v. Dean (1905), 2 C.A. 14 421, 84 P. 332. 15 8. "the people, not the States, are sovereign." – Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 Dall. 16 419, 2 U.S. 419, 1 L.Ed. 440 (1793). 17 **Constitutional and State Protections for** *Private* **Rights** 18 70. Affiant asserts that their **private**, secured rights are protected by the United 19 States Constitution, the Bill of Rights, the common law, and exclusive equity 20 jurisdiction, which together govern the individual's ability to contract freely, 21 maintain dominion over private property, and be free from arbitrary interference 22 23 by the State or its agents. The following legal authorities support the Affiant and/or Claimant's position: 24 "The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry 25 26 on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes no such 27 duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, since he receives 28 nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 66 of 90 Page ID #:615

	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 20	25
1	existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the	
2	State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the	
3	Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of	
4	himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He ow	s
5	nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights." — Hale v. Henke	/
6	201 U.S. 43, 47 (1905)	
7	• "The claim and exercise of a constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime	. 11
8	– Miller v. U.S., 230 F.2d 486, 489	
9	• "Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making the secured by the constitution are involved.	ng
10	or legislation which would abrogate them."	
11	– Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436	
12	• "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of	
13	constitutional rights." – Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F.2d 945	
14	• "A law repugnant to the Constitution is void."	
15	– Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 177 (1803)	
16	• "It is not the duty of the citizen to surrender his rights, liberties, and immunities	
17	under the guise of police power or any other governmental power."	
18	– Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 491 (1966)	
19	• "An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affe	rds
20	no protection; it creates no office; it is, in legal contemplation, as inoperative as	
21	though it had never been passed."	
22	– Norton v. Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425, 442 (1886)	
23	• "No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law, and no courts are bound to	
24	enforce it."	
25	– 16 Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 177; Late Am. Jur. 2d, Sec. 256	
26	• "Sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all governme	nt
27	exists and acts."	
28	– Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356, 370 (1886) Supremacy Clause	
	-25 of 47-	5AL

H

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 67 of 90 Page ID #:616

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

1

Affiant Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) respectfully assert and affirm that:

- The Supremacy Clause of the Constitution of the United States (Article VI, 2 3 Clause 2) establishes that the Constitution, federal laws made pursuant to it, and treaties made under its authority, constitute the "supreme Law of the 4 5 Land", and thus take priority over any conflicting state laws. It provides that state courts are bound by, and state constitutions subordinate to, the 6 7 supreme law. However, federal statutes and treaties must be within the parameters of the Constitution; that is, they must be pursuant to the federal 8 9 government's enumerated powers, and not violate other constitutional 10 **limits on federal power** ... As a constitutional provision identifying the supremacy of federal law, the Supremacy Clause assumes the underlying 11 priority of federal authority, albeit only when that authority is expressed in 12 13 the Constitution itself; no matter what the federal or state governments 14 might wish to do, they must stay within the boundaries of the Constitution. **California State Constitution – Parallel Protections** 15 71. Affiant asserts, under the California Constitution, Article I – Declaration of 16
- 17 Rights, the Affiant's rights are similarly preserved:
- Section 1: "All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and privacy."
- 22 23

24

25

- **Section 7**: "A person may **not** be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law..."
- **Section 13**: "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable seizures and searches may not be violated..."

26 These provisions reiterate that the Defendant's private rights are secured not only by

- 27 the federal Constitution but also by the **organic law of California**, which exists in
- 28 harmony with and subordinate to the supreme law of the United States.

-26 of 47-

	Itust action/CASE NO.: 5 w M22005576 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF/7582485805 — Dated: April 8, 2025
1	Supremacy Clause:
2	72. Affiant further affirms that the Supremacy Clause of the United States
3	Constitution, Article VI, Clause 2, provides that:
4	"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in
5	Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made shall be the supreme Law of the Land;
6	and the Judges in every State shall be <b>bound</b> thereby, any Thing in the Constitution
7	or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."
8	As such, federal constitutional protections <i>override</i> any conflicting state laws,
9	rules, or ordinances. State Courts, officers, and agents are <b>bound</b> to uphold the
10	federal Constitution as the highest law of the land. This authority, however, is
11	limited to acts made in pursuance of the Constitution – federal or state laws or
12	actions outside of constitutional limits are null and voidStanding
13	Federal Jurisdiction:
14	73. I, Kevin/Affiant, <i>sui juris</i> , assert and affirm that it is further relevant to this
15	Affidavit that any violation of my Rights, Freedom, or Property by the U.S.
16	federal government, or any agent thereof, would be an illegal and unlawful
17	excess, clearly outside the limited boundaries of federal jurisdiction. My
18	understanding is that the jurisdiction of the U.S. federal government is defined
19	by Article I, Section 8, Clause 17 of the U.S. Constitution, quoted as follows:
20	"The Congress shall have the power To exercise exclusive legislation in all
21	cases whatsoever, over such district (NOT EXCEEDING TEN MILES
22	SQUARE) as may, by cession of particular states and the acceptance of
23	Congress, become the seat of the Government of the United States, [District
24	of Columbia] and to exercise like authority over all places purchased by the
25	consent of the legislature of the state in which the same shall be, for the
26	Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock yards and other needful
27	Buildings; And - To make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for
28	carrying into Execution the foregoing Powers" [emphasis added]
	-27 of 47-

	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025	
1	and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2:	
2	"The Congress shall have the Power to dispose of and make all needful	
3	Rules and Regulations respecting the Territory or other Property	
4	belonging to the United States; and nothing in this Constitution shall be	
5	so construed as to Prejudice any Claims of the United States, or of any	
6	particular State."	
7	The definition of the "United States" being used here, then, is limited to its	
8	territories:	
9	1) The District of Columbia	
10	2) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	
11	3) U.S. Virgin Islands	
12	4) Guam	
13	5) American Samoa	
14	6) Northern Mariana Islands	
15	7) Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands	
16	8) Military bases within the several states	
17	9) Federal agencies within the several states	
18	It does <b>not</b> include the several states <b>themselves</b> , as is confirmed by the	
19	following cites:	
20	• "We have in our political system a Government of the United States	
21	and a government of each of the several States. Each one of these	
22	governments is distinct from the others, and each has citizens of its	
23	own who owe it allegiance, and whose rights, within its jurisdiction, it	
24	must protect. The same person may be at the same time a citizen of	
25	the United States and a Citizen of a State, but his rights of citizenship	
26	under one of these governments will be different from those he has	
27	under the other." Slaughter House Cases United States vs.	
28	Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875).	
	-28 of 47-	

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 70 of 90 Page ID #:619

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 "THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT IS A FOREIGN CORPORATION WITH RESPECT TO A STATE." [emphasis added] Volume 20: Corpus Juris Sec. §1785: NY re: Merriam 36 N.E. 505 1441 S.Ct.1973, 41 L.Ed.287.

This is further confirmed by the following quote from the Internal Revenue Service: Federal jurisdiction "includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa." - Internal Revenue Code Section 312(e).

In legal terminology, the word "*includes*" means "*is limited to*." When referring
to this "District" <u>U</u>nited <u>States</u>, the Internal Revenue Code uses the
terms"WITHIN" the <u>U</u>nited <u>States</u>. When referring to the several States, the
Internal Revenue Code uses the term "WITHOUT" the <u>U</u>nited <u>States</u>.

Dozens, perhaps hundreds, of court cases evidence and prove that federal
jurisdiction is *limited* to the few federal territory and/or 'areas' above indicated.
For example, in two Supreme Court cases, it was decided:

- "The laws of Congress in respect to those matters do not extend into the territorial limits of the states, but have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government," Caha v. United States, 152 U.S., at 215.
- "We think a proper examination of this subject will show that the United States never held any municipal sovereignty, jurisdiction, or right of soil in and to the territory, of which Alabama or any of the new States were formed..."
- "[B]ecause, the United States have no constitutional capacity to exercise municipal jurisdiction, sovereignty, or eminent domain, within the limits of a State or elsewhere, except in the cases in which it is expressly granted..."
- 27 28

### Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 71 of 90 Page ID #:620

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

12

3

13

14

26

27

"Alabama is therefore entitled to the sovereignty and jurisdiction over all the territory within her limits, subject to the common law," Pollard v. Hagan, 44 U.S. 221, 223, 228, 229.

Likewise, Title 18 of the United States Code at §7 specifies that the "territorial
jurisdiction" of the United States extends only **outside** the boundaries of lands
belonging to any of the several States.

Therefore, in addition to the fact that no unrevealed federal contract can
obligate me to perform in any manner without my fully informed and
uncoerced consent, likewise, no federal statutes or regulations apply to me or
have any jurisdiction over me. I hereby affirm that I do not reside or work in
any federal territory of the "District" United States, and that therefore no U.S.

12 federal government statutes or regulations have any authority over me.

#### Powers and Contractual Obligations of United States and State Government Officials

74. Affiant asserts and affirms the all <u>United States and State government officials</u>
are hereby put on notice that I expect them to have recorded valid **Oaths of Office** in accordance with the U.S. Constitution, **Article VI**:

"The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the
several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the
United States and of the several States, shall be bound by oath or affirmation
to support this Constitution..."

I understand that by their Oaths of Office all U.S. and State government officials
are contractually bound by the U.S. Constitution as formulated by its framers,
and not as "interpreted," subverted, or corrupted by the U.S. Supreme Court or
other courts. According to the Ninth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution:

- "The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people."
- 28 *and* the **Tenth Amendment** to the U.S. Constitution:

-30 of 47-

12

3

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

Thus, my understanding from these Amendments is that the powers of all U.S.
and State government officials are *limited* to those specifically granted by the
U.S. Constitution. I further understand that any laws, statutes, ordinances,
regulations, rules, and procedures contrary to the U.S. Constitution, as written
by its framers, are null and void, as expressed in the Sixteenth American
Jurisprudence Second Edition, Section 177.

The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. This is succinctly stated as follows:

15 'The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and 16 ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the 17 time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so 18 19 branding it. An unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the 20 question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not 21 been enacted." 22

23 'Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that
24 it imposes no duties, confers no right, creates no office, bestows no
25 power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no
26 acts performed under it...'

27 'A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An
28 unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US Dated: April 8, 2025 law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of 1 the land, it is superseded thereby.' 2 'No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are 3 bound to enforce it." [emphasis added] 4 5 As expressed once again in the U.S. Constitution, Article VI: "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in 6 7 pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the 8 9 judges in every State shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding." 10 11 All U.S. and State government officials are therefore hereby put on notice that any violations of their contractual obligations and fiduciary duties to act in 12 13 accordance with their U.S. Constitution, may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law, as well as the application of all available legal remedies to 14 recover damages suffered by any parties damaged by any actions of U.S. and 15 16 State government officials in violation of the U.S. Constitution. 17 75. ALL ARE EQUAL UNDER THE LAW. (God's Law - Moral and Natural Law). 18 Exodus 21:23-25; Lev. 24: 17-21; Deut. 1; 17, 19:21; Mat. 22:36-40; Luke 10:17; Col. 3:25. "No one is above the law". 19 76. IN COMMERCE FOR ANY MATTER TO BE RESOLVED MUST BE 20 **EXPRESSED.** (Heb. 4:16; Phil. 4:6; Eph. 6:19-21). -- Legal maxim: "To lie is to go 21 against the mind." 22 77. IN COMMERCE TRUTH IS SOVEREIGN. (Exodus 20:16; Ps. 117:2; John 8:32; 23 II Cor. 13:8) Truth is sovereign -- and the Sovereign tells only the truth. 24 78. TRUTH IS EXPRESSED IN THE FORM OF AN AFFIDAVIT. (Lev. 5:4-5; Lev. 25 6:3-5; Lev. 19:11-13: Num. 30:2; Mat. 5:33; James 5: 12). 26 27 79. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT STANDS AS TRUTH IN COMMERCE. (12 Pet. 1:25; Heb. 6:13-15;). "He who does not deny, admits." 28 -32 of 47Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 74 of 90 Page ID #:623

	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025				
1	80. AN UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVIT BECOMES THE JUDGEMENT IN				
2	COMMERCE. (Heb. 6:16-17;). "There is nothing left to resolve.				
3	81. WORKMAN IS WORTHY OF HIS HIRE. The first of these is expressed in				
4	Exodus 20:15; Lev. 19:13; Mat. 10:10; Luke 10"7; II Tim. 2:6. Legal maxim: "It is				
5	against equity for freemen not to have the free disposal of their own property."				
6	82. HE WHO LEAVES THE BATTLEFIELD FIRST LOSES BY DEFAULT.				
7	(Book of Job; Mat. 10:22) Legal maxim: "He who does not repel a wrong				
8	when he can occasions it.				
9	Executed "without the United States" in compliance with 28 USC § 1746.				
10	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.				
11	//				
12	Some Relevant U.C.C. Sections and Application				
13	1. U.C.C. § 1-103 – Supplementary General Principles of Law Applicable:				
14	This section allows common law principles to supplement the UCC. Under the				
15	doctrine of equity and fair dealing, failure to provide the requested proof				
16	constitutes bad faith and silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and tacit				
17	procuration to all of the the fact and terms stipulated in this Affidavit Notice				
18	and Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement.				
19	2. U.C.C. § 1-308 – Reservation of Rights:				
20	This section ensures that acceptance of an offer under duress or coercion does				
21	not waive any rights or defenses. By invoking U.C.C. § 1-308, Claimant(s)/				
22	Plaintiff(s) asserts that any compliance with your offer is made with <i>explicit</i>				
23	reservation of rights, preserving all legal remedies.				
24	3. U.C.C. § 2-204 – Formation in General:				
25	This section establishes that a contract can be formed in any manner sufficient				
26	to show agreement, including conduct. By issuing the citation (an implied offer				
27	to contract), You/Dedenfant(s)/Respondent(s), have initiated a contractual				
28	relationship, which has been conditionally accepted with new terms herein.				
	-33 of 47-				

li

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

U.C.C. § 2-206 - Offer and Acceptance in Formation of Contract: 1 4. 2 Under this section, an offer can be accepted in any reasonable manner. By conditionally accepting the citation and dispatching this notice via USPS 3 Certified, Registered, and/or Express mail, Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) has/have 4 created a binding contract agreement and obligation which You/Defendant(s)/ 5 Respondent(s) are contractually bound and obligated to. 6 7 5. U.C.C. § 2-202 – Final Written Expression: 8 This provision ensures that the terms of this conditional acceptance supplement 9 the original terms of the citation. By including these conditions, the issuing 10 authority is bound to provide proof of their validity, failing which the 11 conditional acceptance will be expressly stipulated as the final agreement. Legal and Procedural Basis 12 Mailbox/Postal Rule: 13 1. 14 Under the mailbox rule, this Verified Affidavit and Noticeis effective and considered accepted by You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) upon dispatch via Registered Mail, 15 16 and/or Express Mail, and/or Certified Mail. The agreement becomes binding when 17 the notice is sent, not when received. This binds the issuing authority to the terms 18 outlined in this notice unless rebutted within the specified timeframe. **Offer and Acceptance:** 19 2. Your citation constitutes an offer under contract law. This notice self-20 executing Contract and Security Agreement conditionally accepts your 21 contract OFFER and supplements its terms under U.C.C. § 2-202. Failure 22 to fulfill the new and final terms and conditions within the specified three 23 (3) day timeframe constitutes silent acquiescence, tacit agreement, and 24 tacit procuration. 25 Legal Basis for Proof of Delivery via Registered Mail 26 Under well-established legal precedent, documents sent via Registered Mail with 27 return receipt requested (Form 3811) are presumed delivered upon mailing, 28 -34 of 47Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

- 1 providing strong evidentiary proof of service. Courts have consistently upheld this
- 2 principle, reinforcing the Mailbox Rule, which states that a properly mailed
- 3 document is presumed received by the addressee unless convincingly rebutted.
- 4 Key Legal Precedents Supporting Proof of Delivery
- 5 1. U.S. v. Bowen, 414 F.2d 1268 (3rd Cir. 1969) The court held that when
- Registered Mail is sent with return receipt requested and the receipt is signed,
  it constitutes prima facie evidence of delivery, meaning the burden shifts to the
  recipient to prove non-receipt.
- 9
   2. Hagner v. United States, 285 U.S. 427 (1932) The Supreme Court ruled that
   mailing a document via Registered Mail creates a strong presumption of
   receipt by the intended party, further solidifying the evidentiary weight of
   proper mailing.
- proper mailing.
  3. NLRB v. Local Union No. 103, 434 U.S. 335 (1978) The Court established that a
  return receipt provides sufficient proof of service unless rebutted with clear
  and convincing evidence to the contrary.
- 16 **4. Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE) Rule 301** Under this rule, a presumption
- exists that a properly mailed document is **received by the intended recipient**,
- 18 shifting the burden of proof to the recipient to disprove delivery.
- 19 5. 39 U.S.C. § 3009 Governs the legality and evidentiary weight of Registered
   20 Mail, affirming that mailing with proof of delivery (e.g., Form 3811) is legally
   21 sufficient evidence of receipt.
- 22 6. 26 U.S.C. § 7502 This statute explicitly states that the date of mailing is
   23 deemed the date of filing or receipt when Registered Mail is used, providing
   24 strong evidentiary support for the timely delivery and legal effect of mailed
   25 documents.
- 26 Application of the Mailbox Rule
- 27 The Mailbox Rule dictates that once a document is properly addressed, stamped,
- 28 and deposited with the postal service, it is presumed delivered and received by

-35 of 47-

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

- 1 **the addressee**. Courts have repeatedly upheld this principle, ensuring that a party
- 2 cannot simply deny receipt to evade legal responsibility. When Registered Mail
- 3 with return receipt requested is used, the proof of mailing is further reinforced by
- 4 **the signed receipt**, making rebuttal even more difficult
- 5 Legal Presumption of Delivery and Evidentiary Weight
- 6 Based on established case law and statutory authority, Registered Mail with return
- 7 receipt requested (Form 3811) serves as prima facie evidence of delivery and
- 8 creates a strong presumption of receipt by the intended party. Under U.S. v.
- 9 Bowen, Hagner v. United States, and NLRB v. Local Union No. 103, this
- 10 presumption stands unless rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.
- 11 Furthermore, 26 U.S.C. § 7502 affirms that the date of mailing via Registered Mail
- 12 is deemed the date of filing or receipt, solidifying its evidentiary value. Federal
- 13 **Rules of Evidence Rule 301** shifts the burden to the recipient to prove non-receipt,
- 14 while **39 U.S.C. § 3009** reinforces the legal sufficiency of proof of delivery through
- 15 postal records.
- 16 Accordingly, any challenge to the delivery or receipt of documents sent via
- 17 **Registered Mail with return receipt** must meet a high evidentiary threshold,
- 18 ensuring that mailed documents are legally recognized as served and received.

19

#### **RESPONSE DEADLINE: REQUIRED WITHIN THREE (3) DAYS:**

A response and/or compensation and/or restitution payment must be 20 received within a deadline of three (3) days. At the "Deadline" is defined as 5:00 21 p.m. on the third (3rd) day after your receipt of this affidavit. "Failure to respond" 22 23 is defined as a blank denial, unsupported denial, inapposite denial, such as, "not applicable" or equivalent, statements of counsel and other declarations by third 24 25 parties that lack first-hand knowledge of the facts, and/or responses lacking 26 verification, all such responses being legally insufficient to controvert the verified statements herewith. See Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc and Beasley, Supra. Failure to 27 28 respond can result in your acceptance of personal liability external to qualified

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 78 of 90 Page ID #:627

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

FAILURE TO RESPOND AND/OR PERFORM, REMEDY, AND SETTLEMENT

- 1 immunity and waiver of any decision rights of remedy.
- 3 If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) fail to respond and perform within three (3) 4 days of receiving this Affidavit Notice and Self-Executing Contract and 5 SecurityAgreement, with verified evidence of the above accompanied by an affidavit, sworn under the penalty of perjury, as required by law, You/Defendant(s)/ 6 7 Respondent(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MICHAEL HESTRIN, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT 9 ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, 10 then You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) *individually and collectively* fully agree that you 11 must act in good faith and accordance with the Law, cease all conspiracy, fraud, identity 12 theft, embezzlement, deprivation under the color of law, extortion, embezzlement, bank 13 fraud, harassment, conspiracy to deprive, and other violations of the law, and TERMINATE these proceeding *immediately*, and pay the below mentioned Five 14 15 Hundred Thousand Dollar (\$500,000.00 USD) Restitution and Settlement payment, and releasing all special deposit funds and/or Credits due to Affiant and/or Claimant(s)/ 16
- 17 18

Plaintiff(s).

2

19

## Five Hundred Thousand (\$500,000.00 USD) Restitution Settlement Payment REQUIRED

Furthermore, if You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) fail to respond and 20 21 perform within three (3) days from the date of receipt of this communication by 22 providing <u>verified</u> evidence and proof of the facts and conditions set forth herein, accompanied by affidavits sworn under penalty of perjury as required by law, 23 You, Michael Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE 24 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MICHAEL HESTRIN, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT 25 ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 26 27 *Inclusive*, hereby agree that, within three (3) days of receipt of this contract offer, You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) shall issue restitution payment in the total sum 28

## Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 79 of 90 Page ID #:628

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

certain of Five Hundred Thousand U.S. Dollars (\$500,000.00 USD), which shall
 become immediately due and payable to Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s).

## One Hundred Million Dollar (\$100,000,000.00 USD) Default Judgement and Lien

If You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) fail to respond and perform within
three (3) days from the date of receipt of this communication, as <u>contractually</u>
<u>required</u>, You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) hereby individually and collectively,
fully agree, that the entire amount evidenced and itemized in Invoice
#RIVCOUNTYDIS25, totaling One Hundred Million Dollar (\$100,000,000.00),
shall become immediately due and payable in full.

11 Furthermore, if You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s), fail to respond and perform within three (3) days from the date of receipt of this communication, You/ 12 13 Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), <u>individually and collectively</u>, admit the statements and claims by TACIT PROCURATION, and completely agree that you/they 14 15 individually and collectively are guilty of fraud, racketeering, indentity theft, treason, breach of trust and fiduciary duties, extortion, coercion, deprivation of 16 rights under the color of law, conspiracy to deprive of rights under the color of law, 17 monopolization of trade and commerce, forced peonage, obstruction of 18 19 enforcement, extortion of a national/internationally protected person, false imprisonment, torture, creating trusts in restraint of trade dereliction of fiduciary 20 duties, bank fraud, breach of trust, treason, tax evasion, bad faith actions, dishonor, 21 22 injury and damage to Affiant.

23

3

4

#### **JUDGEMENT AND COMMERCIAL LIEN AUTHORIZATION**

Moreover, if You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s), fail to respond within three (3)
days from the date of receipt of this communication, you/they individually and
collectively, fully and unequivocally Decree, Accept, fully Authorize (in accord with
UCC section 9), indorse, support, and advocate for a judgement, and/or SUMMARY
JUDGEMENT, and/or commercial lien of One Hundred Million Dollars

-38 of 47-

# Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 80 of 90 Page ID #:629

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

1 (\$100,000,000.00) against You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s), Michael Hestrin, Miranda

2 Thomson, Chad Bianco, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MICHAEL

3 HESTRIN, RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, RIVERSIDE COUNTY,

4 RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF, Does 1-100 Inclusive, in favor of, Claimant(s)/Plaintff(s),

5 and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S).

Finally, If You/Respondent(s)/Defendant(s), fail to respond within three (3)
days from the date of receipt of this communication, You/Defendant(s)/

8 Respondent(s) individually and collectively, EXPRESSLY, FULLY, and

9 unequivocally <u>Authorize</u>, indorse, support and advocate for Claimant(s)/

10 Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S) to formally notify the

11 United States Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, the respective Congress (wo)man,

12 U.S. Attorney General, and/or any person, individual, legal fiction, and/or person,

13 or ens legis Affiant deems necessary, including but not limited to submitting the

14 requisite form(s) 1099-A, 1099-OID, 1099-C, 1096, 1040, 1041, 1041-V, 1040-V, 3949-

15 A, with the One Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00 USD) as the income to

16 You/Defendant(s)/Respondent(s) and lost revenue and/or income to Affiant, and/

17 or Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S).

18

#### SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, U.C.C. 3-505 PRESUMED DISHONOR

19 Said income is to be assessed and claimed as income by/to You/Defendant(s)/ Respondent(s), and/or by filing a lawsuit followed by a DEMAND or similar for 20 SUMMARY JUDGEMENT as a matter of law, in accordance with California Code of 21 22 Civil Procedure § 437c(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a), and/or executing an 23 Affidavit Certificate of Non-Response, Dishonor, Judgement, and Lien Authorization, in accordance with U.C.C. § 3-505, and/or issue an ORDER TO PAY or BILL OF 24 EXCHANGE to the U.S. Treasury and IRS, said sum certain of One Hundred Million 25 26 Dollars (\$100,000,000.00) for <u>immediate</u> credit to Affiant, and/or Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s), 27 and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S), with this Self-Executing Contract and 28 Security Agreement servings as *prima facie* evidence of You/Respondent(s)/

-39 of 47-

# Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 81 of 90 Page ID #:630

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

1 Defendant(s)'s <u>Verified</u> INDEBTEDNESS to Affiant, Affiant, and/or Claimant(s)/

2 Plaintiff(s), and/or their lawfully designated ASSIGNEE(S).

Should it be deemed necessary, the Claimant(s)/Plaintiff(s) are <u>fully Authorized</u>
(in accord with U.C.C § 9-509) to file a UCC commercial LIEN and/or UCC1 Financing
Statement to perfect interest and/or secure full satisfaction of the adjudged sum of One
Hundred Million Dollars (\$100,000,000.00).

7

#### ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE:

8 If the addressee(s) or an intended recipient of this notice fail to respond addressing
9 each point, on a point by point basis, they individually and collectively accept <u>all</u> of the
10 statements, declaration, stipulations, facts, and claims as TRUTH and fact by TACIT
11 PROCURATION, all issues are deemed settled RES JUDICATA, STARE DECISIS and
12 by COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. You may not argue, controvert, or otherwise protest the
13 finality of the administrative findings in any subsequent process, whether administrative
14 or judicial. (See Black's Law Dictionary 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. for any terms you do not "understand").

Your failure to completely answer and respond will result in your agreeing not to
argue, controvert or otherwise protest the finality of the administrative findings in any
process, whether administrative or judicial, as certified by Notary or Witness Acceptor
in an Affidavit Certificate of Non Response and/or Judgement, or similar.

19 Should YOU fail to respond, provide partial, unsworn, or incomplete answers, such are 20 not acceptable to me or to any court of law. See, Sieb's Hatcheries, Inc. v. Lindley, 13 F.R.D. 113 21 (1952)., "Defendant(s) made no request for an extension of time in which to answer the request for admission of facts and filed only an unsworn response within the time permitted," thus, under the 22 23 specific provisions of Ark. and Fed. R. Civ. P. 36, the facts in question were deemed admitted as 24 true. Failure to answer is well established in the court. Beasley v. U. S., 81 F. Supp. 518 (1948)., "I, 25 therefore, hold that the requests will be considered as having been admitted." Also as previously 26 referenced, "Statements of fact contained in affidavits which are not rebutted by the opposing 27 party's affidavit or pleadings may be accepted as true by the trial court." -- Winsett v. Donaldson, 244 N.W.2d 355 (Mich. 1976). 28

-40 of 47-

Case	5:25-cv-0064	16-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:631	Filed 04/14/25	Page 82	of 90 Page ID
	Trust action/CASE	NO.: SWM2303376 — Sel	f-Executing Contract and Secur	ity Agreement — Registered	<b>Mail</b> #RF775824858U	S — Dated: April 8, 2025
1		Invoice # RIVCOUNTYDIS25				1525
2		INV	OICE and	or TRUE	BILL	
3	Dear Valued	Defendant(s), 1	Respondent(s), Ci			ent(s), and/or
4	DEBTOR(S):					
5	It has come to OUR attention that you are <b>deemed guilty</b> of multiple felony crimes, violations of U.S. Code, U.C.C, the Constitution, and the law. You have or currently still are threatening, extorting, depriving, coercing, damaging, injuring, and causing irreparable physical, mental, emotional, and					
6	financial harm	I to ™KEVIN WAL	KER© ESTATE, <sup>™</sup> W ies), and their Fiducia	G EXPRESS TRUST	©, ™KEVIN W	ALKER© IRR
7	Representative immediately, t	s. You remain in d	efault, dishonor, and	d have an outstandi	ng past due ba	lance due
8	1.	18 U.S. Code § 1341 - Fra	uds and swindle :			<u>\$10.000,000.00</u>
9	2.	18 U.S. Code § 4 - Mispr				<u>\$1.000.000.00</u>
10	3.	Professional and person preparing documents for	al fees and costs associated wi r this matter:	th		\$100,000,000.00
11	4.	15 U.S. Code § 2 - Mono	polizing trade a felony; penalt	y:		\$200,000,000.00
12	5.	18 U.S. Code § 241 - Con	spiracy against rights:			\$9,000,000,000.00
13	6.	18 U.S. Code § 242 - Dep	rivation of rights under color	of law:		\$9,000,000,000.00
14	7.	<b>18 U.S. Code § 1344 - Ba</b> (fine and/or up to 30 yes				\$100,000,000.00
15	8.	15 U.S. Code § 1122 - Lia instrumentalities and off	bility of United States and Sta icials thereof:	tes, and		\$100,000,000,000.00
16	9.	15 U.S. Code § 1 - Trusts (fine and/or up to 10 years)	etc., in restraint of trade illeg ars imprisonment):	al; penalty		\$900,000,000.00
17	10.	18 U.S. Code § 1951 - Int (fine and/or up to 20 ye	erference with commerce by t ars imprisonment):	hreats or violence		\$3,000,000,000.00
18	11.		Protection of foreign officials,	official guests, and		
19		internationally protected				\$11,000,000.00
20	12.		ats and extortion against forei protected persons (fine and/	-		\$500,000,000.00
21	13.	18 U.S. Code § 880 - Rece	iving the proceeds of extortio	<b>n (</b> fine and/or up to		
22		3 years imprisonment):				\$100,000,000.00
23	14.	Use of ™KEVIN LEWIS	WALKER©:	x 4		\$3,000,000.00
24	15.	bad faith actions, treason	uction, identity theft, extortion a, monopolization of trade an	d commerce,		
25		emotional anguish and t	cion, identity theft, mental tra rauma. embezzlement, larcen oyable life, deprivation of rig	y, felony crimes,		
26			inst the Constitution, injury a			\$777,075,000,000.00
27					Total Due: h Discount:	\$100,000,000.00 USD \$999,500,000.00 USD
28					Due by 04/11/20: f <b>ter 04/11/2025:</b>	25: <u>\$500,000.00 USD</u> <u>\$100,000,000.00 USD</u>
			-41	of 47-		
	NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OFFORT	UNITY TO CURE, and NOTICE OF FRAUD, RACKET LEIRING	CONSPERACT, BAR ASSMENT. DEPRIVATION OF RIGHT 6 UNDER		IND REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR SITOPP. DI	SCRARGE SETTLEMENT CLOSURE AND DISMISSAL
	П					

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 - Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement - Registered Mail #RF775824858US - Dated: April 8, 2025

### **EXHIBITS/ATTACHMENTS:**

1 1.Exhibit A: 'Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact' 2 3 2. Exhibit B: Private UCC Contract Trust/UCC1 filing #2024385925-4. 3. Exhibit C: Library of Congress Certified Copy of The Public Statutes at Large of the United 4 States of America from March 1933 to June 1934: House Joint Resolution 192 of June 5, 5 1933, Public Law 73-10 6 7 4. Exhibit D: Affidavit Right of Travel CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, AND 8 REVOCATION of COMMERCIAL "For Hire" DRIVER'S LICENSE CONTRACT and 9 AGREEMENT. LICENSE/BOND #B6735991 (#RF661447751US). 5. Exhibit E: national/non-citizen national passport card #C35510079. 10 11 6. Exhibit F: national/non-citizen national passport book #A39235161. 12 7.Exhibit G: AFFIDAVIT CERTIFICATE of STATUS, ASSETS, RIGHTS, JURISDICTION, AND PROTECTIONS as national/non-citizen national, foreign government, foreign 13 14 official, internationally protected person, international organization, secured party/ 15 secured creditor, and/or national of the United States, #RF661448964US. 16 8. Exhibit H: TMKEVIN LEWIS WALKER© Copyright and Trademark Agreement. 9. Exhibit I: Revocation Termination and Cancelation of Franchise. 17 18 10. Exhibit J: CITATION/BOND #B038555, accepted under threat, duress, and coercion, 19 with all rights reserved. 20 11. Exhibit K: Hold Harmless Indemnity Agreement 12. Exhibit L: Private Post Registered (with U.S. Treasury) \$200,000,000,000.00 USD 21 'MASTER DISCHARGE AND INDEMNITY BOND,' #RF372320890US 22 13. Exhibit M: Affidavit and Contract and Security Agreement #RF775821397US / NOTICE 23 OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE, CLAIM, and FRAUD, RACKETEERING, 24 25 CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, TREASON. 26 27 14.Exhibit L: Affidavit and Contract and Security Agreement #RF775824416US / NOTICE OF DEFAULT, and NOTICE OF FRAUD, RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, 28 -42 of 47Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 84 of 90 Page ID

Trust action CASE NO.: SWM12303376 Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement Registered Mail #RF7758248581 M Dated April 8 2025 HARASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY 1 THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, AND REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR SETOFF. 2 DISCHARGE, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE, AND DISMISSAL. 3 **COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:** 4 5 County of Riverside 6 Commercial Oath and Verification 7 The State of California 8 I, KEVIN WALKER, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding 9 in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true, 10 correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief 11 under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be HIS Affidavit of 12 Truth regarding same signed and sealed this **<u>8TH</u>** day of <u>APRIL</u> in the year of Our 13 Lord two thousand and twenty five: 14 All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402. 15 16 Bv Kevin Walker, Executor & Authorized Representative, 17 Let this document stand as truth before the Almighty Supreme Creator and let it be 18 established before men according as the scriptures saith: "But if they will not listen, 19 take one or two others along, so that every matter may be established by the testimony of two 20 or three witnesses." Matthew 18:16. "In the mouth of two or three witnesses, shall every 21 word be established" 2 Corinthians 13:1. 22 All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402. 23 Bv: 24 Donnabelle Mortel (WITNESS) 25 All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308, 3-402. 26 27 Corey Walker (WITNESS) 28 -43 of 47Case 5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA

1

2

Document 14 #:634

Filed 04/14/25 Page 85 of 90 Page ID

Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025

# PROOF OF SERVICE

SS.

34 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within
action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, care of: 30650 Rancho
California Road suite 406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On April 9, 2025, I
served the within documents:

9
 1. NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE, and NOTICE OF FRAUD,
 RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, HARASSMENT, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER
 COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, AND REQUEST AND
 DEMAND FOR SETOFF, DISCHARGE, SETTLEMENT, CLOSURE, AND DISMISSAL
 13
 2. Exhibits A through L.

By United States Mail. I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package 14 addressed to the persons at the addresses listed below by placing the envelope for 15 collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily 16 familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence 17 18 for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States 19 20 Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepared. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was 21 placed in the mail in Riverside County, California, and sent via Registered Mail 22 with a form 3811. 23

24 25 26	Wesley Hsu C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU 350 West 1st Street, Courtroom 9B, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California [90012] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824861US with form 3811
27	Clerk(s), Agent(s), Fiduciary(ies), Trustee(s) C/o CLERK OF COURT / MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER 30755 Auld Road - D
28	30755 Auld Road - D

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 86 of 90 Page ID #:635		
	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025		
1	Murrieta, California [92563] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824858US with form 3811		
2	Pam Bondi		
3	C/o U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Avenue		
4	Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] Registered Mail #RF775824875US with form 3811		
5	Kash Patel		
6 7	C/o FBI Headquarters 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20535-0001] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF775824889US with form 3811		
8	Michael Hestrin and Miranda Thomson		
9	C/o OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY 3960 Orange Street		
10	Riverside, California [92501] Registered Mail #RF775824892US with form 3811		
11	Steve Bessent		
12	C/o Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West		
13	1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20220] <b>Registered Mail</b> #RF77582401US with form 3811		
14	By Electronic Service. Based on a contract, and/or court order, and/or an		
15	agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the		
16	documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed		
17	below.		
18	Wesley Hsu C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU		
19	C/o HONORABLE WESLEY HSU 350 West 1st Street, Courtroom 9B, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California [90012] <u>WLH Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov</u>		
20	WLH Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov		
21	Gregory D Eastwood, Robert C V Bowman, George Reyes, William Pratt, Robert Gell, Joseph Sinz, Nicholas O Gruwell, C/o MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER		
22	C/o MENIFEE JUSTICE CENTER 30755 Auld Road - D		
23	Murrieta, California [92563]		
24	ssherman@law4cops.com jsinz@riversidesheriff.org wpratt@riversidesheriff.org		
25			
26	Pam Bondi C/o U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		
27	950 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, District of Colombia [20530-0001] <u>crm.section@usdoj.gov</u>		
28	Kash Patel		
	-45 of 47-		
	AND		

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA	Document 14 #:636	Filed 04/14/25	Page 87 of 90	Page ID
	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self				ed: April 8, 2025
1	C/o FBI Headquarters 935 Pennsylvania Avenue, North West Washington, District of Colombia [20535-0001]				
2	crm.section@i	<u>isdoj.gov</u>	1010 [20000-0001	1	
3	Rob Bonta		DNIEV CENIED AL	r	
4	C/o OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 1300 "I" Street				
5	Sacramento, California [95814-2919] police-Practices@doj.ca.gov				
6	Michael Hestrin and Miranda Thomson C/o OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY				
7	3960 Orange 9	Street lifornia [92501]			
8	DAOffice@riv				
9	I declare under pena	alty of perjury u	nder the laws of	the State of Cal	ifornia
10	that the above is true and	correct. Execute	ed on <b>April 8, 20</b>	25 in Riverside	County,
11	California.				
12			/s/Do Donnabelle N	<u>nnabelle Mortel</u> Iortel	/
13	//				
14	//				
15	//				
16	//				
17	//				
18	//				
19	//				
20	//				
21	//				
22	//				
23	//				
24	//				
25		NO	<u>FICE:</u>		
26	Using a notary on this doc	cument does not	t constitute any a	dhesion, nor do	es it alter
27	my status in any manner.	The purpose fo	r notary is verific	cation and ident	ification
28	only and not for entrance	into any foreign	jurisdiction.		
			of 47-		
	NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE, and NOTICE OF FRAUD. BACKER REBING, C	undergen. Harassbent Dreivatson of rights unde	r folor of law: identity theft. Extortion, cofecton, <b>and</b>	REQUEST AND DEMAND FOR STIOTY DISCHARGE STITLESE	NT CLOSURE AND DISINESSAL

Case	5:25-cv-00646-WLH-MAA

A Document 14 Filed 04/14/25 Page 88 of 90 Page ID #:637

	Trust action/CASE NO.: SWM2303376 — Self-Executing Contract and Security Agreement — Registered Mail #RF775824858US — Dated: April 8, 2025
1	JURAT:
2	<u>JOMMI</u> .
3	A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the
4	State of Riverside ) accuracy, or validity of that document.
5	County of California ) Subscribed and <del>sworn</del> to (or <u>affirmed</u> ) before me on this <u>8th</u> day of <u>April</u> , <u>2025</u> by <u>Kevin Walker</u> proved to
6	me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.
7	
8	Joyfi Patel, Notary public JoyTi PATEL print Notary Public - California
9	Riverside County Commission # 2407742 My Comm. Expires Jul 8, 2026
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-47 of 47-

Document 14 #:638

Filed 04/14/25



Document 14 #:639



# MAIL

#### FLAT RATE ENVELOPE ONE RATE ANY WEIGHT

To schedule free Package Pickup, scan the QR code.



### **TRACKED** = INSURED



EP14F October 2023 OD: 12 1/2 x 9 1/2

# VISIT US AT USPS.COM® ORDER FREE SUPPLIES ONLINE

Ret

Ż

RDC

For Domestic shipments, the maximum weight is 70 lbs. For international shipments, the maximum weight is 20 lbs.