

Date: July 9, 2025

Kevin: Realworldfare (formerly Kevin: Walker)
Care of: 30650 Rancho California Road # 406-251
Temecula, California [92591]
non-domestic without the United States
Email: team@walkernovagroup.com

*Real Party In Interest, Secured Party, Injured Party,
Respondent*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA (fraudulently
substituted),**

Purported Plaintiff,

vs.

**KEVIN LEWIS WALKER (ENS
LEGIS),**

Purported Defendant.

Case No. 5:25-cr-00163-OD

**NOTICE OF AFFIDAVIT AND
VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF FACT IN
SUPPORT OF VERIFIED
EMERGENCY MOTION TO
STRIKE AND VACATE VOID
ORDER FOR **LACK OF
JURISDICTION, FRAUD ON THE
COURT, AND CONSTITUTIONAL
VIOLATIONS****

(SPECIAL LIMITED APPEARANCE — IN
EQUITY ONLY — EQUITY JURISDICTION
PRESERVED)

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES:

This matter is brought in equity, under the original and exclusive jurisdiction of this
Court as authorized by the Constitution of the United States, Article III, Section 2.

All statutory jurisdiction is expressly denied and rebutted. This is a Court of
Record. All rights are reserved without prejudice pursuant to UCC 1-308.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT, that I, Kevin: Realworldfare, proceeding
sui juris, in propria persona, explicitly not pro se, by *Special Limited Appearance*
only, **not** generally, with **all rights reserved without prejudice**, waiving none,
preserving **all** immunities, protections, and remedies, being over the age of 18,

competent to testify, and having **firsthand knowledge** of the facts stated herein, do hereby declare, certify, verify, and affirm under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the State of California, that the following is true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge, belief, and understanding, and made in **good faith**:

I. INTRODUCTION AND AUTHORITY

1. I am the undersigned in the above-captioned matter and the real party in interest.
2. I make this affidavit in support of the Verified Emergency Motion to Strike and Vacate the void July 9, 2025 Order issued by Judge Otis D. Wright, II.
3. This affidavit is made pursuant to Rule 56(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and all applicable **equity, commercial, and constitutional principles**.
4. All statements herein are made voluntarily, of my own **personal knowledge**, belief, and **firsthand experience**.

II. FACTUAL FOUNDATION, PROCEDURAL HISTORY, AND REMOVAL UNDER § 1443(1)

5. I lawfully removed Case No. MISW2501134 to the U.S. District Court pursuant to **28 U.S.C. § 1443(1)** on May 12, 2025, based on ongoing **civil rights violations**, simulated legal process, and fraudulent substitution of parties.
6. The Verified **Notice of Removal** was properly filed, docketed, and accompanied by affidavits, UCC filings, and documentary exhibits.
7. No hearing was ever held. No evidentiary rebuttal was submitted by the purported Plaintiff.
8. The July 9, 2025 Order issued by Judge Otis D. Wright, II dismisses the removal and remands the case — while failing to mention the actual removal statute **§ 1443(1)**, which has **no time limit**, and misapplying inapplicable statutes such as **§ 1455**.

III. UNREBUTTED AFFIDAVITS AND ADMISSIONS BY SILENCE

9. I have filed and served multiple **verified affidavits**, including:

- Verified Affidavit of Material Facts
- Judicial Notice of Jurisdictional Defects
- Affidavit Rebutting Presumptions of Citizenship, Agency, and Contract

10. All such affidavits have been met with **complete silence** from the purported Plaintiff, Prosecutor Michael Hestrin, and the Court.

11. Silence in the face of verified facts operates as **tacit acquiescence, dishonor, and commercial default.**

12. "Unrebutted affidavits are judicial admissions which the court must accept as true." — *United States v. Kis*, 658 F.2d 526 (7th Cir. 1981)

13. "When a party has accepted facts in an affidavit and fails to rebut them, they are estopped from later contesting those facts." — *New Hampshire v. Maine*, 532 U.S. 742 (2001)

IV. JUDICIAL ERROR, OMISSIONS, AND BIAS

14. The Court's July 9, 2025 Order is procedurally defective and substantively void because:

- It fails to mention **§ 1443(1)**, the explicit basis of removal;
- It misapplies inapplicable statutes governing unrelated criminal removals;
- It disregards all **verified unrebutted affidavits**, in violation of *United States v. Kis*, 658 F.2d 526 (7th Cir. 1981):
"Unrebutted affidavits are judicial admissions which the court must accept as true."

15. The Order **makes no mention of party substitution fraud**, UCC filings, constitutional violations, or administrative defaults — and is therefore **void for want of jurisdiction.**

V. DEFAMATION, BIAS, AND PREJUDICIAL SLANDER

16. Judge Otis D. Wright, II labeled me a “**sovereign citizen**” in the body of the Order — a **weaponized slur** used by law enforcement and intelligence agencies to **vilify, defame, and discredit** private Americans asserting constitutional rights.

17. I have never identified as a “sovereign citizen,” and the record contains **no such admission or declaration**.

18. This label is not only defamatory and false, it establishes **judicial bias, intent to prejudice the factfinder, and prejudgment of the party**, in direct violation of:

- *Liteky v. United States*, 510 U.S. 540 (1994): judicial bias disqualifies a judge;
- *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868 (2009): due process is violated where there is an objective probability of bias;
- *Johnson v. Mississippi*, 403 U.S. 212 (1971): “[T]he Due Process Clause guarantees a fair trial before a fair tribunal.”

19. A judge engaging in prejudicial defamation and factual mischaracterization forfeits all claim to impartiality, and the resulting order is **null and void**.

VI. PARTY SUBSTITUTION FRAUD AND LACK OF JURISDICTION

20. The named Plaintiff “**THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**” is a **fictitious and unverified party**, with no standing, affidavit, or injured claimant.

21. The Court later substituted “**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**” as Plaintiff **without motion, affidavit, or lawful justification** — a direct fraud upon the court and unconstitutional substitution.

22. This conduct defiles the court and renders all resulting judgments **void ab initio**.

23. “A void judgment is a nullity and may be vacated at any time.” — *Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Ins. Co.*, 254 U.S. 348 (1920)

24. “Where there is fraud, there is no jurisdiction.” — *Ex parte Fisk*, 113 U.S. 713 (1885)

VII. DEMAND FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF

25.I, **Kevin: Realworldfare**, am a living, competent man – not the corporate fiction or legal construct “**KEVIN LEWIS WALKER**”, which is an artificial entity created and used without my consent. I act exclusively in my private capacity, with full reservation of rights under **UCC § 1-308** and in accordance with the principles of natural law, equity, and truth.

26.This Court has been lawfully and repeatedly noticed of:

- Fatal **jurisdictional defects**;
- Lack of **verified standing or real party in interest** by any Plaintiff;
- Violations of **constitutional and commercial due process**;
- The un rebutted record of **administrative defaults, UCC-1 Financing Statements, and verified affidavits**, which stand as conclusive evidence under law.

27.The July 9, 2025 “Order Striking Removal” is void *ab initio* for:

- Lack of subject matter jurisdiction;
- Omission of 28 U.S.C. § 1443(1) as the controlling removal authority;
- Judicial bias and factual mischaracterization;
- Participation in a **fraudulent party substitution and simulated legal process**.

28.Pursuant to the Court’s duty in equity to prevent injustice and enforce truth, and consistent with controlling case law:

- *Ex parte Fisk*, 113 U.S. 713 (1885): “A judgment rendered without jurisdiction is void and subject to collateral attack at any time.”
- *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.*, 322 U.S. 238 (1944): “Fraud upon the court is fraud which defiles the court itself.”
- *Caperton v. A.T. Massey Coal Co.*, 556 U.S. 868 (2009): “Due process requires an impartial tribunal.”

I hereby demand:

1. **Immediate vacatur** of the July 9, 2025 Order as void for want of jurisdiction and due process violations;
2. **Judicial notice** of all verified affidavits, un rebutted notices, and commercial filings in the record, pursuant to **Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)**;
3. **Reassignment** to a neutral and unbiased Article III judge with no prior involvement in the facts or parties of this matter;
4. Any additional **equitable and declaratory relief** necessary to restore due process, prevent further injury, and maintain the integrity of this tribunal.

29. Failure to act on this demand constitutes willful denial of access to remedy, color of law fraud, and judicial obstruction in violation of the Constitution and the solemn oath of office.

30. Final Demand for Judicial Action and Notice of Escalation The undersigned gives NOTICE that unless this Court vacates the July 9, 2025 void order, acknowledges the un rebutted record, and corrects the jurisdictional and procedural violations within three (3) calendar days of this filing, the undersigned shall seek emergency appellate relief via **Petition for Writ of Mandamus to the Ninth Circuit and/or an Application under Supreme Court Rule 20**, as necessary to preserve rights and prevent further irreparable injury under color of law.

//

//

//

//

//

Executed in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 2015.5,

FURTHER AFFIANTS SAYETH NOT.

//

COMMERCIAL OATH AND VERIFICATION:

County of Riverside)

) Commercial Oath and Verification

The State of California)

I, Kevin: Realworldfare, under my unlimited liability and Commercial Oath proceeding in good faith being of sound mind states that the facts contained herein are true, correct, complete and not misleading to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief under penalty of International Commercial Law and state this to be His Affidavit of Truth regarding same signed and sealed this 9th day of July in the year of Our Lord two thousand and twenty five:

All rights reserved without prejudice or recourse, UCC § 1-308

By: Kevin: Realworldfare

**Kevin: Realworldfare, RealParty In Interest,
Secured Party, Injured Party, national
On the land known as California Republic**

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

LIST OF EXHIBITS / EVIDENCE:

1. **Exhibit A:** Affidavit and **Contract** Security Agreement #**RF775820621US**, titled:
NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE, and **FRAUD, RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, TREASON.**
2. **Exhibit B:** Affidavit and **Contract** Security Agreement #**RF775821088US**, titled:
NOTICE OF DEFAULT, and **FRAUD, RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, TREASON**
3. **Exhibit C:** Affidavit and **Contract** Security Agreement #**RF775822582US**, titled:
NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE AND NOTICE OF FRAUD, RACKETEERING, CONSPIRACY, DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW, IDENTITY THEFT, EXTORTION, COERCION, KIDNAPPING.
4. **Exhibit D:** Affidavit and **Contract** Security Agreement #**RF775823645US**, titled:
Affidavit Certificate of Dishonor, Non-response, **DEFAULT, JUDGEMENT, and LIEN AUTHORIZATION.**
5. **Exhibit E:** *PURPORTED* DEFENDANT'S **VERIFIED** NOTICE OF **CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE**, NOTICE OF **MANDATORY COUNTERCLAIM**, AND NOTICE OF **JUDICIAL FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE UNDER COLOR OF LAW**, AND **DEMAND** FOR DISMISSAL, **SANCTIONS, RESTITUTION**, AND SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW IN FAVOR OF *PURPORTED* DEFENDANT
6. **Exhibit F:** UCC Financiing Statement No. **2024385925-4**
7. **Exhibit G:** UCC Financiing Statement No. **2025470746-9**
8. **Exhibit H** AFFIDAVIT of Truth: **RIGHT TO TRAVEL CANCELLATION**, TERMINATION, AND REVOCATION of **COMMERCIAL "For Hire" DRIVER'S LICENSE CONTRACT and AGREEMENT. LICENSE/BOND # B6735991.**

1 9. **Exhibit I:** Affidavit: Resolution, Revocation, and Termination of Franchise

2 10. **Exhibit J:** Affidavit: Power of Attorney In Fact

3 11. **Exhibit K:** TMKEVIN LEWIS WALKER© Trademark and Copyright Agreement.

4 12. **Exhibit L:** Hold Harmless Agreement.

5 13. **Exhibit M: Docket Record from Superior Court of California, County of**

6 **Riverside, Case No. MISW2501134**, titled *The People of the State of California v.*

7 *Kevin Lewis Walker*, evidencing the original administrative citation and absence

8 of any adjudicated conviction or lawful removal by the prosecuting agency.

9 //

10 //

11 //

12 //

13 //

14 //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

I competent, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My mailing address is the Walkernova Group, care of: 30650 Rancho California Road suite #406-251, Temecula, California [92591]. On or about July 9, 2025, I served the within documents:

1. NOTICE OF AFFIDAVIT AND VERIFIED AFFIDAVIT OF FACT IN SUPPORT OF VERIFIED EMERGENCY MOTION TO STRIKE AND VACATE VOID ORDER FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, FRAUD ON THE COURT, AND CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

By Electronic Service. Based on a court order and/or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses listed below.

Michael: Hestrin, Miranda Thomson, Monika Vermani
C/o THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
OF CALIFORNIA
3960 Orange Street,
Riverside, California [92501-3611]
DAOoffice@rivco.org

US Attorney's Office
Ausa - Office Of Us Attorney
[213-894-2434](tel:213-894-2434)
usacac.criminal@usdoj.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on July 9, 2025 in Riverside County, California.

/s/Chris Yarbra/
Chris Yarbra

//

//

//

Date: July 9, 2025

NOTICE:

Using a notary on this document does *not* constitute joinder adhesion, or consent to any foreign jurisdiction, *nor does it alter my status in any manner*. The purpose for notary is verification and identification only and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction.

//

JURAT:

State of Riverside }
County of California } ss.

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 9th day of July, 2025 by Kevin: Realworldfare (formerly Kevin Walker) proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

Joyti Patel, Notary public
print
Joyti Patel Seal:

